Changes in Extreme Temperature Events and Their Relationships with the El Niño-Southern Oscillation in the Wei River Basin, China
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Abstract. Extreme temperature indices (ETIs) are used to assess extreme temperature events, to further reveal possible climate impacts of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) on temperature extremes. The primary objective of this paper is to investigate the spatial and temporal variability of ETIs, and further examine the linear and nonlinear relationships between ETIs and two ENSO anomalies including the Niño 3.4 and Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) between 1969 and 2016 in the Wei River Basin (WRB) of China. The trends of ETIs were analyzed using Mann-Kendall test and cloud model, and the relationships between ENSO and ETIs were quantified using correlation and wavelet analysis. Results showed that the ETIs had increased from 1969 to 2016. Most of the stations exhibited similar trends for each ETIs except diurnal temperature range (DTR). The trend of minimum temperature (Tmin) was larger than that of maximum temperature (Tmax). Meanwhile, the variability of Tmin in the south of WRB was more stable than in other regions. Niño 3.4 was mainly positively correlated with PC1 of ETIs, and vice versa for SOI. The results help to understand the changes in extreme temperature events and their relationships with climate anomalies, which can provide scientific references for the water resource management of the watershed.
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Introduction

According to the fifth Assessment Report (AR5) of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), surface air temperature had increased for past several decades, especially since 1850. In addition to the conclusive multi-decadal warming, the global average surface temperature showed obvious inter-decadal and inter-annual variations (IPCC, 2014). The frequency and magnitude of extreme climate events had significantly increased around the world (Utsumi et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2016). Therefore, it is of great significance to understand and reveal the trends and possible climate change impacts of extreme climate events.

The increasing frequency of extreme climate events has caused severe socioeconomic losses, which has garnered widespread attention (Zhang et al., 2008; Farajzadeh et al., 2015; Ruml et al., 2017). Many previous studies mainly focused on the extreme circumstances of climate change, including the causes, intensity and frequency. For example, Jiang et al. (2019b) investigated the trends of extreme
precipitation indices (EPIs) for the period of 1969-2016 in the Wei River Basin (WRB). The results found that El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) exerted great impacts on the extreme precipitation events. Liu et al. (2018) analyzed the spatial-temporal change patterns of maximum and minimum temperature in the WRB. The results found that solar activity and large-scale atmospheric circulation have stronger influences on annual Tmin than annual Tmax. Tong et al. (2019) found that extreme temperature indices (ETIs) have significantly increased but the EPIs did not show significant changes in the Inner Mongolia.

Previous studies mainly investigated the spatiotemporal changes of extreme climate indices and obtained some results (Menang, 2017; Xiao et al., 2017; Agnihotri et al., 2018). However, few studies focused on the extreme climate events especially extreme temperature events in the WRB, which is the largest tributary of the Yellow River of China (Liu et al., 2017). Based on observed daily temperature data, this paper assessed the spatial and temporal variability of extreme temperature events using fourteen ETIs for the period of 1969-2016 in the WRB. The principal component analysis (PCA), Pearson's correlation, wavelet coherence and phase difference were used to explore the linear and nonlinear relationships between ETIs and typical large-scale global climate anomalies. This paper is organized as follows: material and methods are provided in the Section 2, followed by results and discussion in the Section 3, and conclusions in the Section 4.

**Material and methods**

**Study area**

The WRB is located between 33.68°N-37.39°N latitude and 103.94°W-110.03°W longitude, with basin area nearly 135,000 km². It originates from Gansu province, and flows through Ningxia Hui autonomous region and Shaanxi province from west to east, with length of 818 km, as shown in Figure 1. It can be divided into five sub-basins including the upstream, midstream and downstream of the WRB, Jing River Basin (JRB) and Beiluo River Basin (BRB). The upstream of WRB rises from the Qin Mountains with a length of 430 km. The midstream flows from Linjiacun to Xiwanyang, with length about 180 km. The downstream flows into the Yellow River from Xianyang, with length about 208 km. The JRB originates in the eastern foot of Liupan Mountain (Jiang et al., 2019b).

Due to the diversity of geological, geographical and climatic conditions, the climate of the WRB has obvious seasonal characteristics (Zou et al., 2017). The average temperature in summer is about 25°C, and below 0°C in winter. The WRB is a grain production and important economic zone in the northwest of China, especially after the establishment of Guanzhong-Tianshui Economic Zone (Chang et al., 2015). However, the climate of the WRB varied from region to region, and many extreme climate events happened in the history (Jiang et al., 2013, 2015).

**Climate data**

Daily temperature data from 34 meteorological stations that were downloaded from China Meteorological Administration (http://data.cma.cn/), covering the period from January 1st, 1969 to December 31st, 2016, were used to calculate the ETIs. The temperature data have passed strict quality control, the missing data and unreasonable
data were carefully checked. The standard deviation exceeding 3 times was defined as the out-of-bound value, compared with the records of adjacent stations through manual inspection, reasonable reservation and unreasonable treatment according to absence. Two anomalies including Niño 3.4 and SOI were used as ENSO indices. The Niño 3.4 data was downloaded from Earth System Research Laboratory of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/. The SOI was downloaded from website at https://crudata.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/soi/.

**Figure 1. Locations of the WRB and meteorological stations**

**Definition and calculation of ETIs**

Fourteen ETIs were defined to identify the extreme temperature events in this paper. The details of ETIs are shown in Table 1. These indices identify the changes of temperature in different aspects including intensity, duration and percentile, which have been widely used in previous studies (Popov et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2019a). A R based software named RClimDex was used to calculate the ETIs. RClimDex was based on a powerful and freely available statistical package R which runs under both Windows and Unix/Linux. It has a friendly graphical user interface and provides simple quality control process on the input daily temperature data, which has been widely used after it was developed (Almazroui et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2018). More details of extreme climate indices and RClimDex can refer to the website at http://etccdi.pacificclimate.org/software.shtml.
Table 1. Details of 14 ETIs used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>ETIs</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD0</td>
<td>Frost days</td>
<td>Annual count when daily minimum (TN) &lt; 0°C</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU25</td>
<td>Summer days</td>
<td>Annual count when daily maximum (TX) &gt; 25°C</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID0</td>
<td>Ice days</td>
<td>Annual count when TX &lt; 0°C</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>Growing season length</td>
<td>Annual count between first span of at least 6 days with daily mean temperature (TG) &gt; 5°C and first span after July 1 of 6 days with TG &lt; 5°C</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN10p</td>
<td>Cool nights</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TN &lt; 10th percentile</td>
<td>% of Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX10p</td>
<td>Cool days</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TX &lt; 10th percentile</td>
<td>% of Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN90p</td>
<td>Warm nights</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TN &gt; 90th percentile</td>
<td>% of Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX90p</td>
<td>Warm days</td>
<td>Percentage of days when TX &gt; 90th percentile</td>
<td>% of Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSDI</td>
<td>Warm spell duration indicator</td>
<td>Annual count of days with at least 6 consecutive days when TX &gt; 90th percentile</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDI</td>
<td>Cold spell duration indicator</td>
<td>Annual count of days with at least 6 consecutive days when TN &lt; 10th percentile</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR</td>
<td>Diurnal temperature range</td>
<td>Monthly mean difference between TX and TN</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR20</td>
<td>Tropical nights</td>
<td>Annual count when TN &gt; 20°C</td>
<td>Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax</td>
<td>Annual maximum temperature</td>
<td>Annual maximum temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmin</td>
<td>Annual minimum temperature</td>
<td>Annual minimum temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research methodology

Non-parametric Mann-Kendall test

The non-parametric Mann-Kendall test, recommended by the World Meteorological Organization, which has been widely used to estimate the trends of time series, was used to examine the trends of the ETIs (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1975; Du et al., 2015).

For a data series \( X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\} \), the statistic \( S \) is calculated by Equation 1.

\[
S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) \quad \text{(Eq.1)}
\]

where

\[
\text{sgn}(x_j - x_i) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x_j - x_i > 0 \\
0, & x_j - x_i = 0 \\
-1, & x_j - x_i < 0 
\end{cases} \quad \text{(Eq.2)}
\]

The variance \( V \) is calculated using Equation 3.

\[
V = \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5)}{18} \quad \text{(Eq.3)}
\]
where \( n \) is the length of the data sequences, and Mann-Kendall’ Z is calculated by Equation 4.

\[
Z = \begin{cases} 
(S - 1)/\sqrt{V}, & S > 0 \\
0, & S = 0 \\
(S - 1)/\sqrt{V}, & S < 0 
\end{cases}
\] (Eq.4)

The value of \( Z \) indicates the trend of series. \( Z>0 \) means positive trend, and vice versa for \( Z<0 \). Two significance levels including 1\% and 5\% were used to detect the statistically significant trends of ETIs.

Wavelet coherence and phase difference

Wavelet coherence (WTC) can be used to measure the part of local correlation between two data series in time and frequency domain. The wavelet transform of data series \( X \) and \( Y \) were \( W_n^X(S) \) and \( W_n^Y(S) \). The wavelet coherence defined by Torrence and Webster was given as follows:

\[
R_n^2(s) = \frac{\left| S\left(s^{-1}W_n^{XY}(s)\right) \right|^2}{S\left(s^{-1}|W_n^X(s)|^2\right)S\left(s^{-1}|W_n^Y(s)|^2\right)}
\] (Eq.5)

where \( R_n^2(s) \in [0,1] \), and \( W_n^{XY}(s) = W_n^X(s) \times W_n^Y(s) \).

The smoothing operator \( S \) of \( W_n^{XY}(s) \) in numerator and wavelet power spectrum in denominator is given as follows:

\[
S(W) = S_{\text{scale}}(S_{\text{time}}(W(s,t)))
\] (Eq.6)

\[
S(W)_{\text{s}} = (W(t,s)c_1 e^{-t^2/2c_1^2})_{\text{s}}
\] (Eq.7)

\[
S(W)_{\text{n}} = (W(t,s)c_2 \Pi(0.6s))_{\text{n}}
\] (Eq.8)

where \( S_{\text{scale}} \) indicates smoothing along the wavelet scale axis and \( S_{\text{time}} \) denotes smoothing in time, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are the normalized constants, \( \Pi \) is the rectangle function. The wavelet phase difference is given as follows:

\[
\phi_s(s) = \tan^{-1}\left[\Im\left\{s^{-1}W_n^{XY}(s)\right\}/\Re\left\{s^{-1}W_n^{XY}(s)\right\}\right]
\] (Eq.9)

where \( \Im\{} \) and \( \Re\{} \) are the imaginary and real part of wavelet spectra, respectively. More details of WTC can be found at previous studies (Torrence and Compo, 1998; Grinsted et al., 2004).
Normal Cloud model

The cloud model is mainly used to reveal the uncertain transformation between qualitative concept and quantitative instance (Li et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014). It has been widely used in many fields such as data mining, pattern recognition. (Li et al., 1998; Grecu and Krajewski, 2000; Zhou et al., 2015). The cloud model uses three indicators to represent its characteristics: 1) Expectation (Ex), is the expectation of cloud droplets in domain space division, 2) Entropy (En), is a measure of qualitative concept uncertainty, which is determined by the randomness and fuzziness of concepts, 3) Hyper-Entropy (He), is the entropy of entropy. More details of normal cloud model can be found in previous studies (Li et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2011).

Let $U$ be the universe of discourse and $\tilde{A}$ be a qualitative concept in $U$. If $x \in U$ is a random instantiation of concept $\tilde{A}$, which satisfies $x \sim N(Ex, En^2)$, $En' \sim N(En, He^2)$, and the certainty degree of $x$ belong to concept $\tilde{A}$ satisfies.

$$y = e^{-\frac{(x-Ex)^2}{2(En')^2}} \quad \text{(Eq.10)}$$

Then the distribution of $x$ in the universe $U$ is called as a normal cloud.

Principal component analysis

As a frequently-used statistical method, the PCA obtain different orthogonal principal components by reducing the dimension of multivariate data series, and explain most of the variability of original data series through a certain number of principal components. The leading principal component (PC1) was used as a substitution for each ETIs (Singh, 2006; Jiang et al., 2014).

Results and discussion

Change patterns of ETIs in the WRB

Trends of each ETIs were analyzed using non-parametric Mann-Kendall test. The trends were classified into seven categories including positive, statistically significant positive (5% & 1%), stationary, negative, statistically significant negative (5% & 1%), to provide more knowledge of the change characteristics of the ETIs.

The trends of 14 ETIs are shown in Table 2, which demonstrate the proportions of positive, stationary and negative trends of 14 ETIs in 34 stations, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the spatial distributions of trends in 14 ETIs for 34 meteorological stations for the period of 1969-2016 in the WRB. All fourteen ETIs except DTR were significantly increasing trends dominated. Eight ETIs including Tmax, Tmin, SU25, TR20, TN90P, TX90P, WSDI and GSL showed increasing trends for most of the stations. Other six ETIs showed decreasing trends.
Table 2. Trends of 14 ETIs (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETIs</th>
<th>Positive trend</th>
<th>SS (5%)</th>
<th>SS (1%)</th>
<th>Negative trend</th>
<th>SS (5%)</th>
<th>SS (1%)</th>
<th>Stationary trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tmax</td>
<td>94.12%</td>
<td>17.65%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmin</td>
<td>82.35%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>14.71%</td>
<td>17.65%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FD0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>91.18%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td>47.06%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU25</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>32.35%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR20</td>
<td>91.18%</td>
<td>17.65%</td>
<td>38.24%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN10P</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>94.12%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>88.24%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX10P</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>8.82%</td>
<td>91.18%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN90P</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>94.12%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX90P</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>17.65%</td>
<td>79.41%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSDI</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDI</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>94.12%</td>
<td>14.71%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR</td>
<td>47.06%</td>
<td>5.88%</td>
<td>8.82%</td>
<td>52.94%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>14.71%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>11.76%</td>
<td>79.41%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SS denote statistically significant trends at 5% or 1%, respectively

Among 34 stations, only Changwu and Yanchi station showed downward trends in Tmax, which are located at the north and south of the JRB, respectively. Other stations showed upward trends. Three stations including Fengxiang and Wugong stations in the WRB midstream, and Luochuan station in the middle of the BRB, had significant increasing trends. Compared with Tmax, six stations had decreasing trends in Tmin. The trends of FD0 and ID0 in 34 stations were similar, with mainly downward trends dominated, and more than 90% of the stations had statistically significant decreasing trends at 5% significance level for FD0. Compared with the upward trends of SU25 for all stations, one station showed decreasing trend and two stations showed stationary trends for TR20. It is worth noting that TR20 in Minxian and Huajialing were all stationary. TX10P and TN10P showed downward trends for almost 34 stations. However, TX90P and TN90P showed upward trends. The trends of WSDI and CSDI were mainly opposite. The CSDI showed upward trends in all stations, but only several stations were statistically significant at 5% significance level for the period of 1969-2016.

Spatial distributions of Tmax and Tmin

In this study, the natural neighborhood method was used to interpolate three primary numerical characteristics in the WRB, and details are shown in Figure 3. Generally, three numerical characteristics have obvious and irregular spatial distributions. Figure 3a shows that the Tmax ranges from 25.29°C to 39.08°C, and the western WRB is lower than other regions. Figure 3b shows that the Tmin ranges from -23.50°C to -8.53°C, but the northern WRB is lower than that in the Guanzhong Plain. Figure 3c shows that the entropy values in the north and centre of the WRB are lower than those in the south and west, which indicates that the change in the north is more stable. Figure 3d show that the change of Tmin entropy is greater than the annual maximum. It can be concluded that the change of Tmin is more stable in the south-central region near the Qinling Mountains, but it is unstable in the north.
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Figure 2. Spatial distributions of trends of 14 ETIs: (a) $T_{\text{max}}$, (b) $T_{\text{min}}$, (c) FD0, (d) ID0, (e) SU25, (f) TR20, (g) TN10P, (h) TX10P, (i) TN90P, (j) TX90P, (k) CSDI, (l) WSDI, (m) DTR, (n) GSL.
Ex indicates average values of Tmax and Tmin. En is used to react the instability of Tmax and Tmin sequences. The entropy value of Tmin is larger than that of Tmax, which indicates that the Tmin in the WRB is more unstable and scattered than that of Tmax. The standard deviations of them are calculated to further verify that the Tmin series is more uneven and unstable.

He represents the dispersion of entropy, and the larger the hyper entropy, the more the instability. Similar with entropy, the super entropy of Tmin is larger than that of Tmax, which indicates that the Tmin is more nonuniform the Tmax. In general, the Tmin variation has higher dispersion and instability than the Tmax variation in the WRB. Guanzhong Plain is the main grain production area in Shaanxi province. Annual minimum temperature changes have a negative impact on grain production. Therefore, the local government should scientifically prevent and adopt appropriate strategies to deal with this phenomenon.

The results show that generally the ETIs have similar trends for different stations, which are increasing trends dominated, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 2. The cold extreme indices such as FD0 and ID0 have significant negative trends, and the warm
Correlation between ETIs and typical climate anomalies

PCA was used to extract the PC1 of each ETIs before correlation analysis between ETIs and two typical large-scale global climate anomalies including Niño 3.4 and SOI, because the PC1 of each ETIs represented the largest proportion of total variability.

Table 3 shows the correlation coefficients between each ETIs. The results show that PC1 of FD0 was negatively correlated with TN90P ($\rho=-0.87$). FD0 showed decreasing trends in 34 stations, but TN90P showed increasing trends. Thus, PC1 of FD0 and TN90P showed negative correlation. It is worth noting that there has positive correlations between typical warm temperature extremes including TX90P, TN90P, SU25, TR20 and WSDI. Similarly, there has positive correlations between typical cold temperature extremes including TN10P, TX10P, FD0, ID0 and CSDI, indicating that the warm ETIs are generally correlated with the cold ETIs. DTR and GSL also have positive correlations with warm ETIs and negative correlations with cold ETIs, except that the DTR is positively correlated with TN10P.

Table 3. Cross correlation coefficients between different ETIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ETIs</th>
<th>FD0</th>
<th>ID0</th>
<th>TMAX</th>
<th>TMIN</th>
<th>SU25</th>
<th>TR20</th>
<th>TN10P</th>
<th>TX10P</th>
<th>TN90P</th>
<th>TX90P</th>
<th>WSDI</th>
<th>CSDI</th>
<th>DTR</th>
<th>GSL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FD0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMAX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMIN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN10P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX10P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN90P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX90P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSDI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSDI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates statistically significant at 5% significant level
Figure 4 shows the correlation coefficients between ETIs and Niño 3.4, SOI. In general, all cold ETIs except TN10P are negatively correlated with Niño 3.4 and positively correlated with SOI, and all warm ETIs are positively correlated with SOI and negatively correlated with Niño 3.4, except TN90P, which indicated that Niño 3.4 had negative impacts on the cold ETIs and positive impacts on the warm ETIs, and vice versa for SOI. Among the cold ETIs, ρ between ID0 and two typical climate anomalies was the strongest. In case of the warm ETIs, TX90P and WSDI was more strongly correlated with Niño 3.4 and SOI than other ETIs. Therefore, four ETIs including TN10P, TX10P, TN90P and TX90P were selected to analysis the nonlinear relationships between Niño 3.4 and SOI using wavelet analysis in the following section.

![Correlation between ETIs and climate anomalies](image)

**Figure 4.** Pearson's correlation coefficients between ETIs and Niño 3.4, SOI

**Wavelet analysis between ETIs and SOI**

Figure 5a shows the wavelet coherence and phase difference between SOI and PC1 of TN10P. The results show that there is a 0-3 years cycle during 1969-1975 with negative correlations, a 2-4 years cycle after 2005 with a -90° phase difference and an 8-12 years cycle during 1970-1988 with a 45° phase difference. A 3 year cycle during 1995-2000 with a -90° phase difference and a 6 years cycle during 1994-1996 with negative correlations are also detected. Figure 5b shows wavelet coherence and phase difference between SOI and PC1 of TX10P. The results indicate that there is a 0-4 years cycle during 1995-2000, and the coherence was in-phase dominated. Figure 5c shows the wavelet coherence and phase difference between SOI and PC1 of TN90P. There is a 2-4 years cycle during 1990-2000, and the phase difference was 45°. The WTC analysis between SOI and PC1 of TX90P is shown in Figure 5d. There have a 3-6 years cycle during 1969-2016 and a 0-3 years cycle during 1985-1990, both of the coherence were dominated by anti-phase.
Wavelet analysis between ETIs and Niño 3.4

Figure 6a shows the wavelet coherence and phase difference between Niño 3.4 and PC1 of TN10P. The results show that PC1 of TN10P is positively correlated with Niño 3.4, with a signal of 6-7 years during 1993-2003 and a 0-3 years signal during 1969-1977, it is noteworthy that half of the area are outside the cone of influence (COI), which need to be interpreted in cautious. The results also show that the Niño 3.4 leads PC1 of TN10P a quarter cycle, with a signal of 3 years during 1995-2000 and a 0-4 years signal during 2005-2016. The results also show the Niño 3.4 lags PC1 of TN10P a quarter cycle with a 12 years signal during 1970-1988, but most of the area are outside the COI. Figure 6b shows the wavelet coherence and phase difference between Niño 3.4 and PC1 of TX10P. There has a 2-year cycle during 1995-2000, and the phase difference was 45°. There are also statistically significant negative correlations with a 4 years cycle during 1983-1985. Figure 6c shows the wavelet coherence and phase difference between Niño 3.4 and PC1 of TX90P. There has a 4-year cycle during 1999-2005, and the phase difference was -90°. The WTC analysis between Niño 3.4 and PC1 of TX90P is shown in Figure 6d. The results show that PC1 of TX90P is positively correlated with Niño 3.4, with a signal of 4-6 years during 1980-2005 and a 0-4 years cycle during 1988-1990.

To reveal the change mechanism and potential influencing factors of ETIs in the WRB, we further investigate the teleconnections between typical ETIs and climate

Figure 5. WTC between SOI and PC1 of typical ETIs: (a)TN10P, (b)TX10P, (c)TN90P, (d)TX90P during 1969-2016
anomalies including Niño 3.4 and SOI. The results show that the correlation between ETIs and global climate anomalies is intricate, which vary in both time and frequency. However, the results of correlation between PC1 of ETIs and Niño 3.4, SOI (as shown in Figure 4) are consistent with the phase difference in Figure 5 and Figure 6. Take TN10P and TX10p for examples, we found that the PC1 of TN10P and SOI are negatively correlated in Figure 4, and their phase differences are anti-phase, similarly, TX10P and SOI are positively correlated with each other and their phase differences are in-phase. The teleconnections between ETIs and ENSO indicated that the extreme temperature events might be predicted using large-scale climate anomalies as potential predictors. Previous studies have shown that the negative correlation between rainfall and SOI in the Loess Plateau is significant on the high time-frequency scale (Wang et al., 2019). However, the effects of atmospheric circulation patterns on regional temperature have been studied by many previous studies, such as Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Newman et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018; Geng et al., 2019), Pacific/North American (PNA) (Ning and Bradley, 2016), North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Pokorna and Huth, 2015; Wang et al., 2017) and Arctic Oscillation (AO) (Otomi et al., 2013; Park and Ahn, 2016). Therefore, it should be better to include more global climate anomalies to predict the extreme temperature events based on their strong relationships (Loikith and Broccoli, 2012; Grotjahn et al., 2016).

Figure 6. WTC between Niño 3.4 and PC1 of typical ETIs: (a)TN10P, (b)TX10P, (c)TN90P, (d)TX90P during 1969-2016
Conclusions

In this paper, fourteen ETIs were used to evaluate the extreme temperature events. The temporal and spatial variability of ETIs were analyzed using non-parametric Mann–Kendall test method during 1969-2016 in the WRB. To reveal the potential relationships between extreme temperature change and atmospheric circulation factors, Niño 3.4 and SOI were used to investigated their linear and nonlinear relationships with ETIs. The main conclusions are summarized as follows:

In general, ETIs exhibited similar variability for different stations. Eight ETIs including Tmax, Tmin, SU25, TR20, TN90P, TX90P, WSDI and GSL showed mainly increasing trends, and five ETIs including FD0, ID0, TN10P, TX10P and CSDI showed mainly negative trends. Using cloud model of Tmax and Tmin, the results showed that the geographical location has an important influence on the spatial variability of En, Ex and He. Tmax, Tmin and Ex in the middle and lower reaches are higher than the JRB and BRB, which might be caused by the rapid urbanization of Guanzhong Plain and the urban heat island effect. En and He in the middle reaches are higher, which indicates that the Guanzhong Plain is more uneven and stable than other regions, which may be due to the influence of human activities and the difference of solar activities in different regions.

Two climate anomalies including Niño 3.4 and SOI had similar wavelet coherence and phase difference with PC1 of four ETIs. The inter-decadal oscillation between Niño 3.4 (SOI) and TN10P was found at about 12 years cycle. The results of phase difference between ETIs and SOI, Niño 3.4 are mainly opposite, positive with SOI, and negative with Niño 3.4, which are consistent with the results of Pearson’s correlation (Figure 4). It is noteworthy that the oscillations between ETIs and climate anomalies need to be interpreted in cautious because of the limited data series.

The results of this study provide a reliable estimation of extreme temperature events, which provide references for water resource management and climate planning in the WRB. In particular, the potential impacts between large-scale climate anomalies and extreme temperature events provide some scientific basis and ideas for using large-scale climate anomalies to estimate the occurrence of regional extreme temperature events. The future work will focus on the prediction of extreme climate events using multiple global climate anomalies.
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