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Abstract. Gastrointestinal (GI) parasitic diseases are a serious problem in cattle management, and one of the most significant reasons for economic losses in cattle for developing countries. This study aimed to assess the prevalence of helminth and protozoan infection of gastrointestinal tract in local dairy cattle in Sulaymaniyah province of Iraq. A total of 1,376 rectal fecal specimens of local dairy cattle were randomly collected in different regions of Sulaymaniyah province. Direct fecal smear, saturated sugar flotation technique, and simple sedimentation method were used to detect the parasitic stages in the coprological specimens. The overall prevalence rate was 60.46%. The single and mixed parasite infection rates were 37.21% and 23.25%, respectively. The infection rates of protozoa, nematodes, trematodes, and cestodes were 58.14%, 18.60%, 15.11%, and 3.48%, respectively. Among all parasites, Eimeria spp. (29.07%) was the most abundant one, followed by Buxtonella sulcata (18.60%) and Strongyle nematodes (17.44%). There was significant variation in the prevalence rates of nematodes and protozoa between various age categories and distributional regions. The results indicated that improved management system and proper anthelmintic treatment strategies should be applied in the regions to diminish the high incidence of GI parasitism in local dairy cattle.
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Introduction

Parasitosis is one of the biggest issues affecting livestock especially cattle of all age and breed categories (Rafiullah et al., 2011; Awraris et al., 2012). The endoparasites intervene with dietary status, growing process and byproducts of the cattle population (Pilarczyk et al., 2009; Awraris et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2013).

The animals’ gastrointestinal tract holds a broad range of parasitic protozoa and helminthes, which cause subclinical and clinical parasitic infestation. These internal parasites inauspiciously affect the health conditions of animals and cause great economic impacts on the livestock industry (Wimmer et al., 2004; Bilal et al., 2009).

In the case of rearingfarm animals for food production, investigations that aid in evaluating the economic impacts caused by parasitic infestation are significant, particularly in smallholder farming structures in developing countries (Perry and Randolph, 1999; Sahoo et al., 2002). As parasites may cause clinical and nonclinical parasitism leading to economic losses, the purpose of veterinarians and producers is to avoid parasitism via goodhusbandry, adequate nutrition, control of epidemiological factors, and effective therapeutic treatment (McDermott et al., 1999; Kaewthamasornand Wongsamee, 2006).

Although the numbers of studies have reported the prevalence of gastrointestinal (GI) parasite of ruminants in Iraq (Al-Taee et al., 2011; Nassrullah, 2011; Nasrullah et al., 2014; Minnat, 2014; Al-Zandee et al., 2016; Hassan et al., 2018; Al-Robaiiee et al., 2019), there is no published study available related to GI parasites of cattle in the province of Iraq. Thus, the objective of the current study was to record the prevalence of...
GI parasites among local dairy cattle in different regions of Sulaymaniyah province. The recorded data will provide fundamental knowledge of GI parasite infection levels in the province and it could be supportive for control strategies.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study area and sample population**

This study was conducted in Sulaymaniyah province, Kurdistan Region, north-east of Iraq. It is located between 35°04’- 36°30’ latitude and 44°50’- 46°16’ longitude. The area is characterized by seasonal rainfall from October to May, and inadequate farmer awareness about the husbandry and control of the endoparasites in the ruminants. Cattle population in the study regions (Penjwen, Chwarta, Said Sadq, and Piramagroon) is estimated to 40,355 according to the data recorded by Sulaymaniyah Veterinary Directorate in December 2015.

A total of 1,376 rectal fecal specimens of local dairy cattle, involving 560 calves and 816 cows, were randomly collected from different regions of Sulaymaniyah province. Among these samples, 200 were collected from Penjwen, 330 from Chwarta, 470 from Said Sadq, and 376 from Piramagroon. The samples were stored at 4 °C until parasitological assessment. The age groups of cattle were sorted as calves (under 1 year old) and cows (above 1 year old). The sampling period was begins from March to August 2018.

**Parasitological analysis**

Fecal specimens were analyzed for the existence of helminth eggs, protozoan oocysts and cysts, applying the simple flotation technique using saturated sugar solution (Sheather’s sucrose solution). A simple sedimentation procedure was utilized to find the ova of flukes and some other nematodes and cestodes, whose ova do not recover in a saturated sugar solution. For diagnosis of protozoan trophozoites, the direct fecal smears were performed. The parasitic stages were identified based on morphological keys (Urquhart et al., 1994; Zajac and Conboy, 2012; Kandasamy et al., 2013).

**Statistical interpretation**

The data calculated by Chi-square (X²) test using SPSS® software V.25. The probability values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

Out of 1,376 fecal samples examined, the overall infestation rate of GI parasite was 60.46%. The single and mixed parasite infection rates were 37.21% and 23.25%, respectively with statistically significant differences. *Eimeria* spp. was the most dominant parasite that recognized in majority of multiple infections (*Table 1*).

This study found that the highest prevalence of protozoan infection was (58.14%), followed by nematodes (18.60%), trematodes (15.11%), and cestodes (3.48%). There was significant variation in the prevalence rates of nematodes and protozoa between various age categories and distributional regions (*Table 2; Fig. 1; Fig. 2*).
Table 1. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in local dairy cattle (N\(^a\) = 1,376) in Sulaymaniyah province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of parasite</th>
<th>No.(^b) (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Single parasitic infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp.</td>
<td>144(10.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Buxtonella sulcata</em></td>
<td>176(12.80)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyle</em> type</td>
<td>96(6.97)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cryptosporidium</em> spp.</td>
<td>48(3.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dicrocoelium dendriticum</em></td>
<td>48(3.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>512(37.21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple parasitic infection</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Strongyle</em> type</td>
<td>64(4.65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Cryptosporidium</em> spp.</td>
<td>32 (2.32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Buxtonella sulcata</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Moniezia</em> spp.</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Toxocara vitulorum</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Fasciola</em> spp.</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Paramphistomum cervi</em> + <em>Fasciola</em> spp.</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Cryptosporidium</em> spp. + <em>Moniezia</em> spp.</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Paramphistomum cervi</em> + <em>Buxtonella sulcata</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Paramphistomum cervi</em> + <em>Strongyle type</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eimeria</em> spp. + <em>Cryptosporidium</em> spp. + <em>Buxtonella sulcata</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyle</em> type + <em>Moniezia</em> spp. + <em>Fasciola</em> spp.</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyle</em> type + <em>Paramphistomum cervi</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyle</em> type + <em>Fasciola</em> spp.</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strongyle</em> type + <em>Buxtonella sulcata</em></td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>320(23.25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (Overall)</strong></td>
<td>832(60.46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)No. = total number of examined cattle, \(^b\)No. = number of infected cattle

It was recorded three kinds of protozoan cysts/oocysts or trophozoites in the examined fecal samples, namely *Eimeria* spp. (29.07%), *Buxtonella sulcata* (18.60%), and *Cryptosporidium* spp. (10.46%). The prevalence of *Eimeria* spp. in calves (35.89%) was statistically higher than in cows (24.38%), while *Cryptosporidium* spp. was significantly lower in calves (6.96%) than cows (12.86%). The increasing prevalence of *B. sulcata* associated with an expansion in age.

In the current investigation, the recognized nematode eggs included *Strongyle* nematodes and *Toxocara vitulorum*. *Strongyle* nematode (17.44%) was the most predominant species detected in local dairy cattle, and the commonness in Piramagroon was fundamentally higher than those in other regions. *T. vitulorum* was recovered in 16 cattle.
Table 2. Risk factors associated with prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in local dairy cattle (N\textsuperscript{a} = 1,376) in Sulaymaniyah province

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species of parasites</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Age category</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.\textsuperscript{b} (%)</td>
<td>Calf (n=560)</td>
<td>Cow (n=816)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protozoa</td>
<td>800(58.14)</td>
<td>303(54.10)</td>
<td>497(60.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria spp.</td>
<td>400(29.07)</td>
<td>201(35.89)</td>
<td>199(24.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buxtonella sulcata</td>
<td>256(18.60)</td>
<td>63(11.25)</td>
<td>193(23.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptosporidium spp.</td>
<td>144(10.46)</td>
<td>39(6.96)</td>
<td>105(12.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematodes</td>
<td>256(18.60)</td>
<td>143(25.53)</td>
<td>113(13.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongyles</td>
<td>240(17.44)</td>
<td>137(24.46)</td>
<td>103(12.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxocara vitulorum</td>
<td>16(1.16)</td>
<td>6(1.07)</td>
<td>10(1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trematodes</td>
<td>208(15.11)</td>
<td>43(7.67)</td>
<td>165(20.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramphistomum cervi</td>
<td>80(5.81)</td>
<td>10(1.78)</td>
<td>70(8.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasciola spp.</td>
<td>80(5.81)</td>
<td>24(4.28)</td>
<td>56(6.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicrocoelium dendriticum</td>
<td>48(3.48)</td>
<td>9(1.60)</td>
<td>39(4.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestodes</td>
<td>48(3.48)</td>
<td>18(3.21)</td>
<td>30(3.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moniezia spp.</td>
<td>48(3.48)</td>
<td>18(3.21)</td>
<td>30(3.67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}N= total number of examined cattle, \textsuperscript{b}No. = number of infected cattle, \textsuperscript{c}n = number of examined cattle

Figure 1. Fecal smears of cattle under the X40 objective microscope, scale bars are 100 µm.a. Toxocara vitulorum (egg); b. Eimeria spp. (oocyst); c. Cryptosporidium spp. (oocyst); d. Fasciola spp. (egg); e. Moniezia spp. (egg); f. Dicrocoelium dendriticum (egg)
Figure 2. Fecal smears of cattle under the X40 objective microscope, scale bars are 100 µm: a. Buxtonella sulcata (trophozoite); b. Buxtonella sulcata (cyst); c. Paramphistomum cervi (egg); d.; e.; f. Strongyle type (eggs)

The infection rate of trematodes (15.11%) was comparatively lower than that of nematodes and protozoa. The identified trematodes involved Paramphistomum cervi (5.81%), Fasciola spp. (5.81%), and Dicrocoelium dendriticum (3.48%). There was apparent variation between different age groups and different regions for these flukes infection.

The prevalence of tapeworms (3.48%) was markedly lower than that of other parasites. Moniezia spp. eggs only recovered among infected cattle. There was no obvious difference between age categories of cattle; however, the prevalence rates in Piramagroon and Said Sadq regions were statistically higher than those in Chwarta and Penjwen areas.

Discussion

Control of GI parasitic diseases in livestock requires comprehensive information about the epidemiology, field management, and environmental conditions such as rainfall and temperature. The numbers of protozoan oocysts and helminth eggs developed inside the host animals vary according to the parasite species, degree of host susceptibility, the health, and immune status of the animals (Sharma and Busang, 2013).

Gastrointestinal nematode diseases of cattle continue to be a limitation on the proficient raising of cattle all through the world. In much less developed agricultural
systems, parasitic infections may also cause serious clinical signs, such as stunted growth, tissue edema, and diarrhea (Gasbarre et al., 2001). Indeed in well-managed herds with no signs and symptoms of parasitism, the existences of GI parasites restrict the growth in young animals and diminish milk production in growing-up bovines (Hawkins, 1993). In Sulaymaniyah province of Iraq, infections triggered by GI parasites are predominant in small ruminants since of the helpful local weather for the transmission of infection (Nassrullah et al., 2014).

With this study, the findings demonstrated highly GI parasitic infections in cattle (60.46%) in the Sulaymaniyah province of Iraq. The results are consistent with other reports from a number of countries (Regassa et al., 2006; Tung et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2014; Hussain et al., 2014; Hamid et al., 2017). These high levels of infection rate have reflected the lack of success from the de-worming program and highlighted ineffective husbandry.

In present research recorded a high prevalence of protozoan infection (58.14%). This finding was similar to the consequence of the studies mentioned by Tung et al. (2012) and Huang et al. (2014). During the current study, a significantly higher proportion of calves (35.89%) were infected with *Eimeria* spp. than cows (24.38%). A recent investigation carried out in Sri Lanka has also recorded the similar findings (Gunathilaka et al., 2018). This might be due to the excessive humidity and reasonable temperature encourages the survival and sporulation of the oocysts. As their immunity is additionally lower than the adult cattle, calves might bmore susceptible to coccidian diseases (Bilal et al., 2009). *B. sulcata* infection was the second most dominant protozoan infection found in 18.60% of the local dairy cattle in the present study, and the increasing prevalence correlated with the rising in age. This finding was lower than the results of a previous research performed in Iraq (Al-Bakri et al., 2010). Fox and Jacobs (1986) showed that the quantity of carbohydrate in the food would affect the population growth or decrease of *B. sulcata*. The variation in the prevalence of infection may be due to different factors, such as environment, farm management practices and stress factors. *Cryptosporidium* spp. was the third protozoa recorded 10.46% of cattle with a significantly higher prevalence of infection in cows compared to calves. However, Roy et al. (2006) indicated calves to be most susceptible to infection and they also act as reservoirs.

According to the results of the current investigation, nematodes infected 18.60% of local dairy cattle. The infection rate was markedly lower than a recent study conducted by Hamid et al. (2017). Improper anthelmintic administration, poor husbandry, or the evasion of immune responses might accelerate the risk of nematode infection.

In this study, *P. cervi* (5.81%) and *Fasciola* spp. (5.81%) were the most abundant trematodes followed by *D. dendriticum* (3.48%). On the contrary, this result was higher than that registered by Tung et al. (2012). Environmental pollution may be one of the factors that reduce the number of snail population in the areas. Additionally, feeding fresh grass contaminated with metacercaria to their cattle from farmers could increase the risk of trematode infection.

During this research, *Moniezia* spp. was infected a very low proportion of cattle. A similar observation was reported by Jittapalapong et al. (2011). The variation in the prevalence rate of monieziiasis between distributional regions may be due to the distribution of intermediate host, the free-living soil mites on pasture, in these regions.
Conclusion

To the best knowledge, this is the first report on prevalence of GI parasites in Cattle in Sulaymaniyah province, Iraq. This study showed a high rate of GI parasites in cattle and it was concluded that GI parasite was common and endemic in the study areas. In addition to that, *Eimeria* spp. and *Strongyle* nematodes were the most abundant parasites recovered in the cattle. The farmers should apply improved management systems and regular de-worming treatments for controlling and prevention of parasitic diseases. Future investigations are essential to evaluate the economic impact of GI parasites in the study regions.
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