CONVERSION FROM GRASSLAND TO CROPLAND AND LENGTH OF CROPPING HISTORY DRIVING SOIL METHANE UPTAKE IN CHINA

YANG, W. 1,3* – KANG, Y. 1,2 – HOU, J. 3 – Zhao, J. 3

¹Inner Mongolia Potato Engineering & Technology Research Center, College of Life Sciences, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, China

²Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

³Inner Mongolia Key Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry, Hohhot 010022, China

*Corresponding author e-mail: yangwzh@imnu.edu.cn

(Received 17th Jan 2019; accepted 27th Feb 2019)

Abstract. The change of land use from grassland to cropland in Northern China has raised serious concern about regional carbon (C) cycle and greenhouse gas balance. We measured soil methane (CH₄) uptake using manual static chambers in grassland and cropland soils in the agro-pastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia over three growing seasons (2010-2012). The primary aims were to assess the effect of undisturbed grassland and croplands from converted grassland with different land use histories on gas fluxes and systematically compare the site-specific CH₄ uptake factor. We found a significant difference (P < 0.001) in CH₄ uptake between grassland and croplands from reclaimed grassland for 5, 10 and 50 years old, and cropland soils in 5 and 10 years old were a significant sink of CH₄. Compared with cropland soils, the grassland had the lowest cumulative CH₄ uptake, with 141.4, 210.0 and 236.0 mg/m² during growing seasons of 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively. Over the 3 growing seasons, the cumulative CH₄ uptake of croplands aged 5, 10 and 50 was 544.5, 361.7 and 266.1 mg/m². With the increase of farming time, the methane accumulation and absorption of C5, C10 and C50 decreased. Differences in CH₄ uptake of grassland and cropland with different length of cropping history can be explained by the amount of soil ammonium nitrogen (NH₄⁺-N) and soil moisture. We conclude that (i) croplands for 5 and 10 years old from reclaimed grassland are the best approach considered here for optimizing the land use as a sink for atmospheric CH₄, and (ii) the practice that croplands from grassland reclaimed for more than 10 years old should be planted into grasslands is recommended for managing CH₄ uptake and soil carbon sink in the agro-pastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia, China.

Keywords: land-use change, greenhouse gases, soil physical and chemical properties, carbon sink

Introduction

Methane (CH₄) is the second most important greenhouse gas in the atmosphere after carbon dioxide (CO₂). The atmospheric concentration of CH₄ has been increasing by 0.3%/yr (Liu et al., 2007). Although the main sink for atmospheric CH₄ is its oxidation in the troposphere by hydroxyl(-OH) (Khalil, 2000), aerobic soils are the only biological sinks for atmospheric CH₄ with an estimated global sink of 20-45 Tg CH₄/yr² (IPCC, 2007). Methane uptake is influenced by several factors including land use change, temperature, precipitation, N input and soil properties (e.g., moisture, temperature, texture, pH and C/N ratio) (Liu et al., 2009; Mou et al., 2014). Among these factors, land use change and soil properties are considered to be important drivers of the magnitude of methane uptake (Dörr et al., 1993; Ojima et al., 1993; Steudler et al., 1995). Changes in land use or intensification of land management directly affect the

CH₄ uptake and the atmospheric CH₄ budget (Smith et al., 2000; Verchot et al., 2000; Merino et al., 2004).

Inner Mongolia steppes account for approximately 80% of grassland in China. Land use conversions from grassland to cropland have occurred in the arid and semi-arid lands of Asia (ASAL) during the 20th century. The agro-pastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia is included in the ASAL of Asia. The transition from livestock grazing to farming causes changes in land use practice. The grassland in Inner Mongolia is a typical *Leymus chinensis* temperate steppe, where land use types are often diverse with frequent changes. Wang et al. (2005) and Liu et al. (2007) found that CH₄ uptake rates and soil moisture were negatively correlated, while other soil properties were not discussed in these studies (Wang et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2007). How these changes have altered or will alter the CH₄ uptake remains unknown. Moreover, although much is known regarding CH₄ uptake, few data specific to soils of agro-pastoral ecotone is available, and few studies have been conducted on the effect of length of cropping history or soil properties on CH₄ uptake are uncertain. The determining factors that mediate the influence of land use change on CH₄ uptake have not been elucidated.

Therefore, we conducted a study in the agro-pastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia to investigate the effects of land use change on CH₄ uptake. The objectives were to understand the impact of cropping history and soil properties on CH₄ uptake from the conversion of grassland to cropland. This study investigated CH₄ uptake throughout 3 years in an agro-pastoral ecotone in Inner Mongolia, China. We compared cropland soils with different length of cropping history and adjacent grassland that were derived from the same parent material of soil under the same climate.

Materials and methods

Description of the study site

The study was conducted in Taipusi County, Inner Mongolia (China), located $41^{\circ}49'52''$ north latitude and $115^{\circ}13'26''$ east longitude, at an elevation of 1400 m above sea level (*Fig. 1*).



Figure 1. Location of study area in Inner Mongolia, China

Located in the south of the Xilin River and characterized by scattered farms in the steppe, the study area is very representative of both the Eurasian temperate grasslands (Wang et al., 2007) and the steppe region of Inner Mongolia (Tong et al., 2004). The grassland is dominated by *L. chinensis* which is the typical vegetation of the region's grassland (Geng et al., 2010). The grassland in the study site was a natural clearing of native vegetation, without grazing or additional treatments such as fertilization or grass seeding. This region falls in the semi-arid temperate climatic zone. The mean annual temperature is approximately 1.6°C, ranging from a mean monthly temperature of - 17.6°C in January and 17.8°C in July. The region receives an average of 400 mm of precipitation annually. The growing season is from late April to early October.

Four sites were selected for the study, including an area of grassland (reference site) and croplands (farmer-managed fields) for 5, 10, and 50 years old from reclaimed grassland (*Table 1*). The length of cropping history was determined according to a field inventory and Taipusi County records. Hereafter, the four sites are referred to G (grassland), C5 (5 y old cropland), C10 (10 y old cropland) and C50 (50 y old cropland). The 4 sites presented similar slope and soil type within the adjacent ecosystems including flat areas of grassland soil and cropland soil. N, P and K compound fertilizers were applied before planting. Agriculture management in C5, C10 and C50 soil after conversion was carried out similarly.

Table 1. Site characteristics of the agro-pastoral ecotone on Taipusi County, Inner Mongolia

Site [†]	Coord	linates	I and see history	
	Latitude N	Longitude E	Land use history	
G	41°49′52″	115°13′26″	Natural grassland	
C5	41°50′29″	115°13′19″	Cropland reclaimed for 5 years old	
C10	41°50′49″	115°13′43″	Cropland reclaimed for 10 years old	
C50	41°50′53″	115°13′23″	Cropland reclaimed for 50 years old	

There were three replicates plots for every natural grassland (G) and cropland from reclaimed grassland with different length of cropping history (C5, C10, and C50), respectively, and the area of each plot is 100 m × 100 m. Grassland vegetation was *L. chinensis* and *Stipa capillata*. Potatoes (*KexinNO.1*) and oats (*Avena sativa L.*) were grown in the three cropland sites in 2010, 2011 and 2012. Potatoes and Oats were alternatively planted in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively. Cropland was plowed once a year before planting. The plowing depth was 30-35 cm via machine plow. N, P and K compound fertilizer (N:P₂O₅:K₂O = 15:10:10)(450 kg/ha) was incorporated into the soil before planting once a year. The crops were planted in May and were harvested in September, and the soil was bare from October to the following April. The croplands were irrigated in spring and autumn.

Measurement of methane flux

Field measurements of CH₄ flux were conducted in the four soils from April 2010 to October 2012. Measurements were taken every 15 days between June and August and once a month in April, May, September and October.

Three square chambers (n = 3) were randomly placed in each plot replicate and were in the same location for the duration of the measurements. All the chambers were fixed to the ground, reaching approximately 10 cm down into the soil. Aluminum flux collars

were permanently installed to ensure reproducible placement of the gas collection chambers for successive CH₄ flux measurements throughout the growing season. The top edge of the collar had a groove that could be filled with water to seal the rim of the chamber. The chamber was equipped with a circulating fan to ensure complete gas mixing and was wrapped with a layer of sponge and aluminum foil to minimize air temperature changes inside the chamber during the period of the measurement. Cross-section of the chamber was 0.25 m² (0.5 m \times 0.5 m). The height of chamber (or H) was 0.5 m. Samples were collected over a 30-min period (0, 10, 20 and 30 min) after closing the chambers at 8:00-11:00 am. Gas samples were taken using 25 mL gas-tight plastic syringes which were closed with stopcocks. The air temperature inside the chamber was recorded by thermometer for each measurement. Soil temperature (0-5 cm depth) was continuously recorded using temperature sensors interfaced with data loggers (HSY-TL881). During the CH₄ flux measurement period, soil water content was monitored using a TDR instrument (MP-406 Kits for moisture measurement). Five temperatures and five soil moisture data were measured on each plot. The aboveground biomass of the potatoes and oats under the sampling chamber (0.25 m^2) were determined by harvesting followed by oven-drying at 105°C to constant weight.

Air samples were analyzed for CH₄ using a modified gas chromatograph (Agilent 6820D, Agilent Corporation) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) (Wang and Wang, 2003). Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas (30 mL/min). The oven was operated at 55°C and the FID was operated at 200°C. Flux was determined from the slope of the mixing ratio change in four samples taken 0, 10, 20 and 30 min after chamber closure. The gas samples were rejected if they did not yield an R² greater than 0.90 over the 30 min period. If the detected mixing ratio in the samples is decreased with the prolonging of time, it is a negative value, representing uptake. Carbon sinks into soil. Otherwise, it represents emissions.

Flux calculation

The CH₄ flux was calculated with Eq. 1 (Yang et al., 2018):

$$F = 1000 \cdot S \cdot H \cdot M \cdot \left(\frac{Pa}{Ps}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{Ts}{Ta}\right) / 22.4$$
 (Eq.1)

where *F* refers to CH₄ flux ($\mu g/(m^2 \min)$), *S* is the linear slope of the concentration change with time over the measurement period ($\mu L/(L \min)$), *H* is the valid height of the sampling chamber (m) and *M* is the molar mass of CH₄ (16 g/mol). *Pa* and *Ta* are the actual measured atmospheric pressure and temperature inside the chamber, respectively. *Ps* and *Ts* are the standard conditions (760 mm Hg, 273.15K). The standard molar volume of CH₄ (L/mol) is 22.4. Seasonal (7 month values) amounts of CH₄ uptakes were sequentially accumulated from the uptakes between every two adjacent intervals of the measurements.

Soil property measurements

Soil samples were collected for chemical analysis during the CH_4 flux measurements. The measurements were taken once every 15 days from June to August and once a month in April, May, September and October. Three replicates were collected from each site (G, C5, C10 and C50), with 10 sampling locations for each composite replicate. The samples were air-dried and sifted through 2 mm for

physicochemical analysis. The sample of soil bulk density was collected using a steel cylinder (5 cm of diameter, 5 cm of height). Soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen (TN), NH_4^+ -N and NO_3^- -N were determined following procedures. SOC was determined using a TOC (Total Organic Carbon) analyzer (Sievers 5310 C, GE Analytical Instruments, USA) (Lim and Choi, 2014), and TN was measured via the dry combustion method using a C/N Analyzer (Vario Macro, Elementar, Germany) (Yan et al., 2012). Soil ammonium nitrogen (NH_4^+ -N) and nitrate nitrogen (NO_3^- -N) were measured using a micro-Kjeldahl procedure (Aulakh et al., 2000). Soil microbial biomass C (MBC) and microbial biomass N (MBN) were determined using the chloroform (CHCl₃) fumigation–incubation method (Nunan et al., 1997). The pH value was measured in 1:2.5 soil/H₂O (w/w) suspension with a Titrino pH meter (Metrohm Ltd. CH.-901, Herisau, Switzerland) fitted with a glass electrode (Godsey et al., 2007).

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using ANOVAs and General Linear Mixed Model to assess the effect of the study site. Pearson's correlation analysis was performed to investigate the relationship between CH₄ uptake and soil properties (i.e., soil temperature, soil moisture and soil NH₄⁺-N content etc.). The R^2 (square of Pearson correlation coefficient) values were used to determine the fitness of regression functions. For all analysis, statistical significance was determined at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Seasonal change on CH₄ uptake flux from the soils of grassland and croplands with different ages reclaimed

The CH₄ uptake flux in the grassland, C5, C10, and C50 soils showed obvious seasonal variability from 2010 to 2012 in the study (*Fig.* 2). During the first month of the growing season, CH₄ uptake increased rapidly in C5 soil. However, the seasonal uptake of CH₄ in G and C50 soils increased moderately, and there was no significant uptake peak. Throughout the testing stage, CH₄ uptake was lower in grassland and C50 soil than that in C5 and C10 soils. The maximum uptake flux of CH₄ in the grassland soil was 0.06, 0.12 and 0.11 mg/(m² hr), and was 0.09, 0.13 and 0.20 mg/(m² hr) in the C50 soil during the growing seasons in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively (*Fig.* 2). CH₄ uptake flux was the highest in C5 and C10 soils was detected between June and July. The maximum CH₄ uptake flux of C5 soil was 0.27, 0.23 and 0.29 mg/(m² hr), and was 0.22, 0.20 and 0.29 mg/(m² hr) in C10 soil in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively. CH₄ uptake declined in all of the study sites at the end of the growing season (*Fig.* 2).

Cumulative methane uptake in the soils of grassland and croplands with different land use history

There were significant differences for cumulative CH₄ uptake in the grassland soil, C5, C10, and C50 cropland soils in 2010 (F=273.7, P < 0.0001), 2011 (F=264.8, P < 0.0001) and 2012 (F= 362.4, P < 0.0001). The land use conversion in more recently-established croplands (5 and 10 years old) from grassland to cropland promoted greater atmospheric CH₄ uptake compared to C50 and grassland type.

The grassland and C50 soils exhibited lower cumulative CH₄ uptake with 141.4 and 224.0 mg/m² than that of the C10 and C50 soils in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively (*Fig. 3*). Cumulative CH₄ uptake during the growing season was $544(\pm 103)$ and $361(\pm 47)$ mg/m² in C5 and C10 soils, respectively. The cumulative uptake flux in C5, C10, and C50 soils was 190%, 90% and 38% higher than that of the grassland soil. As the cropping history increased, the cumulative CH₄ uptake decreased in C5, C10, and C50 soils.



Figure 2. Seasonal CH₄ uptake flux from the grassland and cropland with different length of cropping history during the growing season in 2010, 2011, and 2012; G: natural grassland; C5, C10, and C50: cropland for 5,10, and 50 years old from reclaimed grassland, respectively; The vertical bars represent standard error



Figure 3. Cumulative CH₄ uptake from the grassland and cropland soils during the growing seasons in 2010, 2011, and 2012; G: natural grassland; C5, C10, and C50: cropland for 5,10, and 50 years old from reclaimed grassland, respectively; The vertical bars represent standard error; different letters represent significant differences between the treatments

The changing relationship between CH₄ uptake and moisture or temperature of soil from different land use types

A significantly negative correlation in grassland, C5, C10, and C50 soils (r = 0.52, 0.75, 0.63, 0.62; P < 0.01) was detected between CH₄ uptake flux and soil volumetric

moisture (% v/v) when soil temperature was above 5°C (*Fig. 4a-d*). Soil moisture was a major factor affecting CH₄ uptake flux in the soils. The correlation between temperature and CH₄ uptake flux was not observed in the soils (P > 0.05) (*Fig. 5*). Soil moisture was the important controlling factor for CH₄ uptake flux when the temperature was not the limiting factor.



Figure 4. Correlation between CH₄ uptake flux and soil moisture from grassland and cropland soils; The soil volumetric moisture was simultaneously measured when gas sample were collected from 2010 to 2012; C5, C10, and C50: cropland for 5, 10, and 50 years old from reclaimed grassland, respectively



Figure 5. Correlation between CH₄ uptake flux and soil temperature in grassland and cropland soils; Soil temperature was simultaneously measured when gas sample were collected from 2010 to 2012

Potential links between cumulative methane uptake and soil NH_4^+ -N

A negative relationship (r = -0.86; P < 0.01) was found between cumulative CH₄ uptake and soil NH₄⁺-N in the soils (*Fig. 6*). Soil NH₄⁺-N and NO₃⁻-N were greater in the cropland soils than that in the grassland soil in our study (*Table 2*). The soils with a high NH₄⁺-N content showed lower cumulative CH₄ uptake. However, no correlation was observed between cumulative CH₄ uptake and soil NO₃⁻-N in the growing season. The regression analysis indicated that a linear combination of soil NH₄⁺-N explained more than 73% of the variability in the cumulative CH₄ uptake, and may account for the difference of the cumulative CH₄ uptake in the soils (*Fig. 6*).



Soil NH₄⁺-N content (mg /kg)

Figure 6. Correlation between cumulative CH₄ uptake and soil NH₄⁺-N in grassland and cropland soils; NH₄⁺-N content was seasonal mean value from 2010 to 2012

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of grassland and cropland soils with different length of ropping history (means±SD)

Years	Soil code	*SOC %	TN g kg ⁻¹	Soil bulk density g cm ⁻³	рН	[‡] MBC mg kg ⁻¹	MBN mg kg ⁻¹	NH4 ⁺ -N mg kg ⁻¹	NO3 ⁻ N mg kg ⁻¹
2010	†G	$2.46{\pm}0.01$	$0.25{\pm}0.01$	$1.42{\pm}0.18$	8.0 ± 0.05	271±33.3	105 ± 28.0	$1.02{\pm}0.35$	7.08 ± 9.78
	C5	$1.58{\pm}0.05$	$0.17{\pm}0.01$	$1.32{\pm}0.08$	7.6 ± 0.08	976±146.2	66±4.6	$2.58{\pm}0.45$	10.36 ± 7.73
	C10	$1.30{\pm}0.02$	$0.13{\pm}0.01$	$1.20{\pm}0.02$	7.6 ± 0.01	454±42.1	51±13.3	$2.46{\pm}0.22$	$20.10{\pm}14.89$
	C50	$0.79{\pm}0.13$	$0.09{\pm}0.01$	$1.40{\pm}0.06$	7.6 ± 0.03	442±46.2	36±10.5	1.76 ± 0.32	$24.89{\pm}12.42$
2011	G					232±47.4	49±9.7	$1.30{\pm}0.30$	$8.00{\pm}0.84$
	C5					857±89.1	48 ± 20.8	$2.50{\pm}0.20$	13.60 ± 1.21
	C10					426±72.5	25±9.2	$2.40{\pm}0.10$	20.00 ± 3.84
	C50					272±106.3	22±5.3	$1.90{\pm}0.13$	32.00 ± 2.09
2012	G					183±38.5	48±9.8	$1.16{\pm}0.37$	7.30±1.60
	C5					885±36.2	37±12.0	$2.99{\pm}0.36$	10.60 ± 1.12
	C10					365±23.6	30±11.2	$2.53{\pm}0.38$	$11.00{\pm}1.04$
	C50					233±28.3	15±6.4	1.38 ± 0.75	23.60 ± 3.03

[†] G: natural grassland; C5, C10, and C50: cropland for 5, 10, and 50 years old from reclaimed grassland

[‡] SOC: soil organic carbon; BD: bulk density; TN: soil total nitrogen

[‡] MBC: microbial biomass C; MBN: microbial biomass N

Discussion

Cropland soils from reclaimed grassland increasing methane uptake

The CH₄ uptake flux in the grassland soil was $0.001-0.120 \text{ mg/(m^2 hr)}$ from 2010 to 2012 in our study. This falls in the range of a study conducted by Wang et al. (2015) (0.017-0.162 mg/(m² hr)) in a typical steppe dominated by Leynus chinensis (Trin.) Tzvel at Guyuan State Key Monitoring and Research Station of Grassland Ecosystem (Wang et al., 2015). In our study, the cropland soil after conversion from grassland to cropland increased the CH₄ uptake from 2010 to 2012 (141-235 mg/m² in G, 534-737 mg/m² in C5, 210-605 mg/m² in C10, and 235-493 mg/m² in C50). We found that the croplands from reclaimed grassland accelerated the CH₄ uptake. Compared to natural grasslands, agricultural soils from reclaimed grassland experience more physical disturbance due to fertilizer application as well as plowing, planting and harvesting with heavy agricultural equipment, which increases the aeration and porosity of the soils, affects mineralizable carbon and the other biochemical attributes (Rong et al., 2015), and creates favorable conditions for CH₄ oxidation. The oxidation of atmospheric CH₄ in upland soils is a biological process governed by CH₄-oxidizing bacteria and is dependent on the availability of both CH_4 and oxygen (O₂) in the soil profile (Yang et al., 2018). Boeckx et al. (1997) also reported that grassland had a lower methane uptake capacity than arable land in a study conducted in Belgium.

Decreasing methane uptake in cropland soils with the increase of cropping history from reclaimed grassland

CH₄ uptake rule on a temporal trend of cropping history was discovered in the agropastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia. CH₄ uptake in cropland soil decreased with the increase of cropping history from 5 to 50 years after the grassland was converted into cropland (*Fig. 3*). Higher CH₄ uptake in the cropland soils from C5 and C10 was found in more recently-established croplands. The CH₄ uptake of C50 soil is close to that of grassland soil. Changes in soil carbon and soil properties after long-term fertilizer application affect methane oxidative bacteria community structure and soil methane oxidation rate (Hütsch et al., 1993). To reduce CH₄ uptake was proved on a period (7 years) of inorganic nitrogen application (Mosier et al., 1998).This study showed that enhancing or reducing CH₄ uptake by soil cultivation depends partly on the time elapsed from the conversion of grassland to cropland. In the field experiment, none of the CH₄ uptake was correlated with potatoes and oats biomass production (*P* > 0.05).

Methane uptake driven by the soil physicochemical properties of different land use type

Our study showed that the conversion of natural grassland to cultivated land can temporarily increase the uptake of CH₄ from the atmosphere depending on soil moisture level and soil NH₄⁺-N. Soil moisture ranged from 6%-26% in C5, 3%-25% in C10, and 9%-34% in C50. Soil moisture in grassland is the highest (8%-39%) from 2010 to 2012. CH₄ uptake decreased as soil moisture level increased, which is consistent with previous studies (Price et al., 2004). There was negative correlation between CH₄ uptake and soil moisture in a semi-arid steppe. Moisture content is an important factor on regulating the transport process of methane from soil macro pores to methane oxidizing bacteria and the diffusion of methane into the soil (Wang et al., 2005; IPCC, 2007). Quantity and activity of methanotrophs significantly reduce on higher moisture levels in the soil

(Castro et al., 1992; Sitaula et al., 1995). As soil moisture increases, the soil microbial community is covered by a thick water film which reduces the microorganisms activity and hinders the spread of CH_4 oxidation. CH_4 in soil water diffuses at a slower rate compared to soil air.

The effect of temperature on CH₄ uptake capacity in our study was not pronounced between 10°C and 26°C in grassland soil and cropland soils (*Fig. 5*). Castro et al. (1995) found that soil temperature was not an important controller of CH₄ uptake when temperature ranged between 10°C and 20°C (Castro et al., 1995). Warming (4.5°C) increased the average seasonal CH₄ uptake by 65.9% in the permafrost region of an alpine meadow (Chen et al., 2017). Soil moisture on CH₄ uptake was more important than temperature when the temperature was not the limiting factor. Irrigation and management in cropland create an optimum temperature for soil methanotrophs. The seasonality of CH₄ uptake exhibited a strong dependency on the seasonal variation of soil moisture in a typical semi-arid steppe in Inner Mongolia (Chen et al., 2010).

The negative correlation between CH₄ uptake and soil NH₄⁺-N is detected over the whole testing stage (r=0.86, n=12). This result was consistent with previous findings in an incubation experiment under ambient CH₄ levels (Chan and Parkin, 2001). Soil NH₄⁺-N is a key determinant on CH₄ oxidation capacity due to the significant competitive inhibition of CH₄ uptake by NH₄⁺-N in recently cultivated soils (Jacinthe and Lal, 2005).

There were no significant correlations between CH₄ uptake and soil properties such as SOC content, MBN concentration, MBC, TN, soil sand content, pH, soil clay content and soil bulk density in all of the soils (P > 0.05) (*Table 2, Fig. 3*). As there was no significant difference for SOC, TN, soil bulk density and pH between the four types of land use in 2010. In addition, they change more slowly than other physicochemical properties such as NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻N, MBN, and MBC. Therefore, these data were not measured in 2011 and 2012. Variation in soil moisture and soil NH₄⁺-N may have concealed the changes in soil bulk density, SOC, TN, soil MBN content, MBC, soil clay content and soil pH from land use change, although CH₄ uptake is affected by various factors. In our study, soil moisture (*Fig. 4*) and soil NH₄⁺-N content (*Fig. 6*) were the major factors determining the difference on CH₄ uptake between grassland and cultivated land.

This study was designed to address how land use change affects CH₄ uptake and what soil parameters are the most important for assessing CH₄ uptake from the conversion of grassland to cropland in the agro-pastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia. These efforts will improve our understanding of land use change on the CH₄ uptake in the agro-pastoral ecotone. The observed effects of physical and chemical properties of soil on CH₄ uptake in this study will support to estimate CH₄ fluxes based on soil properties from different land use types using model and simulation method. However, in our study, we examined the effects of land conversion on soil methane uptake in Inner Mongolia. The effects of nitrogen fertilizer application on methane uptake in croplands and grassland need to be further studied in the future.

Conclusions

The four land-use types (G, C5, C10 and C50) that we studied in the semi-arid steppe of the agro-pastoral region of northern China were sinks for atmospheric CH₄ with an average uptake flux of 0.06-0.29 mg m⁻² hr⁻¹. Cropping history of the conversion from

grassland to cropland affects CH₄ uptake. Land-use types exhibited different soil CH₄ fluxes with the maximum CH₄ uptake occurring in the C5 land-use type, and CH₄ uptake was higher for C10 compared to C50 type. The CH₄ uptake of C50 soil was approximately equal to that of grassland soil. Soil moisture and soil NH₄⁺-N content are the key driving factor on CH₄ uptake of the observed differences between grassland and arable lands with different reclaimed history and may provide a possible approach for estimating soil CH₄ fluxes. Our results contribute to understanding soil uptake levels of atmospheric CH₄ in four important land-use types in northern China. The cropland reclaimed more than 10 years old should be planted into grasslands to facilitate CH₄ uptake and soil carbon sequestration in the agro-pastoral ecotone of Inner Mongolia. However, how long will the cultivated grassland be grazed and reclaimed into cropland be conducive to carbon sink? These tasks need to be studied in the future.

Acknowledgements. We sincerely appreciate the anonymous reviewers for their critical and valuable comments to help improve this manuscript. We thank colleagues of Chemistry and Environmental Sciences College, Inner Mongolia Normal University, for their help in laboratory analyses. postgraduate Jianghong Zhao and Jianhua Hou for their assistance in situ observation and measurement, undergraduate Lei Ma and Zhiwei Liu. The project was funded under the auspices of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41565009, 41675140, 41865010), The National Key Research and Development Program of China, grant number (No. 2016YFC0400105), Inner Mongolia Youth Innovative Talent Training Program of Prairie Excellence Project 2016.

REFERENCES

- Aulakh, M. S., Khera, T. S., Doran, J. W. (2000): Mineralization and denitrification in upland, nearly saturated and flooded subtropical soil I. Effect of nitrate and ammoniacal nitrogen. – Biology and Fertility of Soils 31: 162-167.
- [2] Boeckx, P., VanCleemput, O., Villaralvo, I. (1997): Methane oxidation in soils with different textures and land use. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 49: 91-95.
- [3] Castro, M. S., Steudler, P. A., Melillo, J. M. (1992): Exchange of N₂O and CH₄ between the atmosphere and soils in spruce fir forests in the Northeastern United States. Biogeochemistry 18: 119-135.
- [4] Castro, M. S., Steudler, P. A., Melillo, J. M., Aber, J. D. (1995): Factors controlling atmospheric methane consumption by temperate forest soils. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 9: 1-10.
- [5] Chan, A. S. K., Parkin, T. B. (2001): Methane oxidation and production activity in soils from natural and agricultural ecosystems. – Journal of Environmental Quality 30: 1896-1903.
- [6] Chen, W. W., Benjamin, W., Yao, Z. S., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Liu, C. Y., Han, S. H., Han, X. G., Zheng, X. H. (2010): Annual methane uptake by typical semiarid steppe in Inner Mongolia. Journal of Geophysical Research 115: D15108.
- [7] Chen, X. P., Wang, G. X., Zhang, T., Mao, T. X., Wei, D., Song C. L., Hua, Z. Y., Huang, K. W. (2017): Effects of warming and nitrogen fertilization on GHG flux in an alpine swamp meadow of a permafrost region. – Science of The Total Environment 601-602: 1389-1399.
- [8] Dörr, H., Katruff, L., Levin, I. (1993): Soil texture parameterization of CH₄ uptake in aerated soils. Chemosphere 26: 697-713.
- [9] Geng, Y. B., Luo, G. Q., Yuan, G. F. (2010): CH₄ uptake flux of Leymus chinensis steppe during rapid growth season in Inner Mongolia, China. Science China 53: 977-983.

- [10] Godsey, C. B., Pierzynski, G. M., Mengel, D. B., Lamond, R. E. (2007): Changes in soil pH, organic carbon, and extractable aluminum from crop rotation and tillage. – Soil Science Society America Journal 71: 1038-1044.
- [11] Hütsch, B. W., Webster, C. P., Powlson, D. S. (1993): Long-term effects of nitrogen fertilization on methane oxidation in soil of the Broadbalk wheat experiment. – Soil Biology & Biochemistry 25: 1307-1315.
- [12] IPCC. (2007): Climate Change. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 539-542.
- [13] Jacinthe, P. A., Lal, R. (2005): Labile carbon and methane uptake as affected by tillage intensity in a Mollisol. Soil & Tillage Research 80: 35-45.
- [14] Khalil, M. A. K. (2000): Atmospheric methane. In: Kahlil, M. A. K. (ed.) Atmospheric Methane, I Role in the Global Environment. Springer, Berlin, pp. 1-8.
- [15] Lim, S. S., Choi, W. J. (2014): Changes in microbial biomass, CH₄ and CO₂ emissions, and soil carbon content by fly ash co-applied with organic inputs with contrasting substrate quality under changing water regimes. – Soil Biology & Biochemistry 68: 494-502.
- [16] Liu, C., Holst, J., Bruggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Yao, Z. S., Yue, J., Han, S. H., Han, X. G., Krümmelbein, J., Horn, R., Zheng, X. H. (2007): Winter-grazing reduces methane uptake by soils of a typical semi-arid steppe in Inner Mongolia, China. – Atmospheric Environment 41: 5948-5958.
- [17] Liu, X. H., Lu, X. G., Jiang, M., Wang, X. G. (2009): Value estimation of greenhouse gases exchange in wetland ecosystem of Sanjiang Plain, China. – Chinese Geographical Science 19(1): 55-61. doi.org/10.1007/s11769-009-0055-7.
- [18] Merino, A., Perez-Batallon, P., Macas, F. (2004): Responses of soil organic matter and greenhouse gas fluxes to soil management and land use changes in a humid temperature region of southern Europe. – Soil Biology & Biochemistry 36: 917-925.
- [19] Mosier, A. R., Parton, W. J., Phongpan, S. (1998): Long-term large N and immediate small N addition effects on trace gas fluxes in the Colorado shortgrass steppe. – Biology and Fertility of Soils 28: 44-50.
- [20] Mou, X. J., Liu, X. T., Tong, C., Sun Z. G. (2014): Responses of CH₄ emissions to nitrogen addition and Spartina alterniflora invasion in Minjiang River estuary, southeast of China. – Chinese Geographical Science 24(5): 562-574. doi: 10.1007/s11769-014-0692-3.
- [21] Nunan, N., Morgan, M. A., Herlihy, M. (1997): Ultraviolet absorbance (280nm) of compounds released from soil during chloroform fumigation as an estimate of the microbial biomass. – Soil Biology & Biochemistry 30: 1599-1603.
- [22] Ojima, D. S., Valentine, D. W., Mosier, A. R. (1993): Effect of land use change on methane oxidation in temperate forest and grassland soils. Chemosphere 26: 675-685.
- [23] Price, S. J., Sherlock, R. R., Kelliher, F. M., McSeveny, T. M., Tate, K. R., Condron, L. M. (2004): Pristine New Zealand forest soil is a strong methane sink. Global Change Biology 10: 16-26.
- [24] Rong, Y. P., Ma, L., Johnson, D. A. (2015): Methane uptake by four land-use types in the agro-pastoral region of northern China. Atmospheric Environment 116: 12-21.
- [25] Sitaula, B. K., Bakken, L. R., Abrahamsen, G. (1995): CH₄ uptake by temperate forest soil effect of N input and soil acidification. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 27: 871-880.
- [26] Smith, K. A., Dobbie, K. E., Ball, B. C. (2000): Oxidation of atmospheric methane in northern European soils, comparison with other ecosystems, and uncertainties in the global terrestrial sink. – Global Change Biology 6: 791-803.
- [27] Steudler, P. A., Jones, R. D., Castro, M. S., Melillo, J. M., Lewis, D. L. (1995): Microbial controls of methane oxidation in temperate forest and agricultural soils. – In: Murrel, J. C., Kelly, D. P. (eds.) Microbiology of atmospheric Trace Gases. Sources, Sinks and Global Change Processes. NATOASI Series Vol I 39. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 67-84.

- [28] Tong, C., Wu, J., Yong, S., Yang, J., Yong, W. (2004): A land scape-scale assessment of steppe degradation in the Xilin River Basin, Inner Mongolia, China. – Journal of Arid Environment 59: 133-149.
- [29] Verchot, L. V., Davidson, E. A., Cattanio, J. H., Ackerman, I. L. (2000): Land-use change and biogeochemical controls of methane fluxes in soils of eastern Amazonia. – Ecosystems 3: 41-56.
- [30] Wang, Y., Wang, Y. (2003): Quick measurement of CH₄, CO₂ and N₂O emissions from a short-plant ecosystem. Advances in Atmospheric Sciences 20: 842-844.
- [31] Wang, Y. S., Xue, M., Zheng, X. H., Ji, B. M., Du, R., Wang, Y. F. (2005): Effects of environmental factors on N₂O emission from and CH₄ uptake by the typical grasslands in the Inner Mongolia. – Chemosphere 58: 205-216.
- [32] Wang, Z. P., Li, L. H., Han, X. G., Li, Z. Q., Chen, Q. S. (2007): Dynamics and allocation of recently photo-assimilated carbon in an Inner Mongolia temperate steppe. Environmental and Experimental Botany 59: 1-10.
- [33] Wang, X. Y., Zhang, Y. J., Huang, D., Li, Z. Q., Zhang, X. Q. (2015): Methane uptake and emissions in a typical steppe grazing system during the grazing season. – Atmospheric Environment 105: 14-21.
- [34] Yan, Y., Tian, J., Fan, M. S., Zhang, F. S., Li, X. L., Christie, P., Chen, H. Q., Lee, J., Kuzyakov, Y., Six, J. (2012): Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen in intensively managed arable soils. – Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 150: 102-110.
- [35] Yang, W. Z., Yang, M. D., Wen, H. Y., Jiao, Y. (2018): Global Warming Potential of CH₄ uptake and N₂O emissions in saline–alkaline soils. – Atmospheric Environment 191: 172-180.
- [36] Yang, W. Z., Jiao, Y., Yang, M. D., Wen, H. Y. (2018): Methane uptake by saline– alkaline soils with varying electrical conductivity in the Hetao Irrigation District of Inner Mongolia, China. – Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 112: 265-276.