# **GROWTH OF COTTON CROP (***GOSSYPIUM HIRSUTUM* **L.) HIGHER UNDER DRIP IRRIGATION BECAUSE OF BETTER PHOSPHORUS UPTAKE**

MAI, W. X. $^*$  – XUE, X. R. – AZEEM, A. $^*$ 

*State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, Xinjiang, China*

*Corresponding authors e-mail: [maiwx@m](mailto:maiwx@)s.xjb.ac.cn (Mai, W. X.), ahmadazeem631@yahoo.com (Azeem, A.); phone: +86-991-782-3189*

(Received 7<sup>th</sup> Apr 2022; accepted 11<sup>th</sup> Jul 2022)

**Abstract.** The uptake of phosphorus (P) by plants is strongly dependent on the root distribution in the soil profile. The root architecture of crops changes significantly under different irrigation methods. A field experiment was conducted in 2016 and 2017 to investigate the root growth and P uptake responses to drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI) for cotton crop (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Plant growth, P concentration and P uptake of cotton plants were higher under DI compared to FI. Although the total root length of a cotton plant was not significantly different between DI and FI. While root system was more shallowly distributed in the soil profile under DI than FI (48.8% of the total roots length were distributed in the 0–10 cm soil layer under DI, and 31.5% under FI). Greater overlap in roots distribution and P concentration in soil was observed under DI. The P content in the 0–10 cm soil layer was significantly lower under DI than FI, because of P absorbed by cotton plants roots for above-ground biomass production. The contribution of unit root length of P uptake towards shoot was higher under DI. It was concluded that shallow roots distribution in response to DI may improve the P nutrition of cotton plants.

**Keywords:** *plant development, watering techniques, nutrients, soil profile, roots distribution*

#### **Introduction**

There are many macro- and micro- nutrients, that boost plant growth, among which phosphorus (P) is the most important nutrient. Concentrations of P in plant dry weight varies from 0.05% to 0.5% [\(Malhotra](#page-12-0) et al., 2018). P plays an important role in the metabolic process and energy transformation because it contain proteins, adenosine diphosphate (ADP), nucleic acid, sugar phosphate and plenty co-enzymes [\(Husain](#page-11-0) et al., [2019\)](#page-11-0). The concentration of P in the soil much higher than in the plant, but its availability under the soil in the form of calcium/iron or magnesium phosphates reduces P availability for plants uptake [\(Cong et al.,](#page-11-1) 2020). Therefore, the plant is facing P deficiency in many agricultural fields. P deficiency reduces plant growth because the plant increased its energy investment by securing the photosynthesis [\(Schneider et al.,](#page-12-1) 2019). It has been estimated that 20 to 40% crops growth were reduced by P deficiency [\(Wen et al.,](#page-13-0) 2021).

In plant growth process, roots are the main parameter that can get nutrients and water from soil and transferred into different growth parameters for their development (Haling et al., 2016a). Morphological Characteristics and distribution of roots are critical for efficient uses of water- nutrients in the soil for different crops [\(Zhou](#page-13-1) et al., 2019; [Wu](#page-13-2) et al., [2022\)](#page-13-2). Mostly crops roots distribution are mainly in the range of 0-0.6m under conventions irrigation and fertilizers strategy [\(Cheng](#page-11-2) et al., 2020). While soil nutrients are mainly available in the upper soil horizons, that's create the mismatch between soil nutrients availability and roots distribution (Su et al., [2019\)](#page-13-3). Furthermore, water-nutrients

in the deeper soil may not be used many plants effectively due to low roots density [\(Zhang](#page-13-4) [et al.,](#page-13-4) 2022). Therefore, optimizing the water and nutrients strategy by using latest techniques like drip irrigation can enhance the roots soil-water relationship and finally plant growth was increased [\(Al-Ghobari and Dewidar,](#page-10-0) 2018). There are many nutrients that helps to boost plant growth but P played important role for roots and growth development of plants [\(Wahid et](#page-13-5) al., 2020). To enhance P acquisition, plants involved plenty of strategies, according to the environmental conditions such as modified root architecture and morphology by adjusting root diameter, enhancing specific root length along with higher hair and density [\(Haling](#page-11-3) et al., 2016a,b; [Beltayef](#page-11-4) et al., 2021). These different roots morphological adaptations make them able to exploit large volume of soil by enhancing root surface area and excess to P-rich patches [\(McLachlan](#page-12-2) et al., 2020).

In agriculture sector, water is the main factor that effect crop growth but water resources are going to be scared, because of using conventional irrigation methods [\(Qiang](#page-12-3) et al., [2022\)](#page-12-3). In water scare areas people using different efficient irrigation methods, like sprinkle and drip irrigation (DI) to get maximum production from different crops [\(Hou](#page-11-5) et al., [2022\)](#page-11-5). DI is the more accurate method to improve crop growth, fruit quality and water saving technique as compared to sprinkle irrigation [\(Shabbir](#page-12-4) et al., 2020a). DI is also effective for fertilizer control along with water saving for different crops (Abd [El-Mageed](#page-10-1) [et al.,](#page-10-1) 2018). Fertilization (nitrogen and phosphorous) along with irrigation improve soil nutrients availability, that improved roots growth and crops production (Jha et al., [2019\)](#page-11-6). Nutrients along with water uptake from different soil surfaces are greatly influenced by roots morphology (root diameter, root surface area, root density and root length) and roots distributions (Li [et al.,](#page-12-5) 2017).

The concentration and distribution of P under the soil is different due to different fertilizer methods but under DI, the distribution of P (as a base fertilizer) is very smooth especially 0-20 cm soil depth (Li [et al.,](#page-12-6) 2015; [Shabbir](#page-12-7) et al., 2020b). Mostly plants allocate more roots in the upper layer of the soil under DI because of rich resources of P as compared to lower soil layer (Lu [et al.,](#page-12-8) 2021). Mostly plants modified their roots architecture, according to the availability of P in the soil (Shen et al., 2011). In DI mostly plants obtained shallow roots development because of higher P resources in the top soil layer (Shen [et al.,](#page-12-9) 2011). Therefore, it can be said that many plants adjust their roots growth according to the availability of nutrients in the soil.

In China, Xinjiang Province have semi-arid and arid areas containing soil with low nutrients availability. Major crops, wheat and cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) are growing in mostly areas of Xinjinag. Large number of cotton crop is grown in Xinjinag because of its exceptional climate that suitable for cotton crop. While growth of cotton crop in this region facing problem of major nutrients deficiency (Nitrogen and Phosphorus) in the soil and shortage of water because of less rainfall and intense evaporation due to harsh climate. Therefore, in this region many researchers grown cotton crop under DI along with fertigation. While the role of cotton plants for roots development under fertigation with DI has not been so cleared. Therefore, in this present study, we hypothesized under DI, cotton plants develop shallow roots distribution, that is beneficial for plant growth in every development stage by increasing P uptake.

# **Materials and methods**

# *Experimental site*

Field experiments were conducted on cotton plant (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), for the period of 2016-2017 in Korla, experimental station of Xinjiang, academy of agricultural sciences, Urumqi, China. This site belongs to arid climate with mean annual rainfall, 56mm, mean annual evaporation 2497 mm and mean annual temperature 11°C. Chemical properties of the soil layer at 0-30 cm was analyzed before sowing. Soil containing, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N 36.54 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N 6.53 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, pH (H<sub>2</sub>O) 8.0, soil density 1.33 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, Olsen-P 2.88 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub>OAc-extracted K 152.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and organic matter 7.65 g  $kg^{-1}$ .

# *Experimental design*

In these experiments, two water treatments, consisting of drip irrigation (DI) along with mulch film and flooding irrigation (FI) along with mulch film were used. There were six plots with area of each 10 m  $\times$  12 m were used with three replicates in a randomized block design. Seeds of cotton were sown on 25 April 2016 and 2 May 2017 at an identical density of 220,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. The plant spacing and irrigation system used in these experiments are summarized in *Fig. 1*. All irrigation drip lines in the drip irrigation treatment plots were connected to the main irrigation pipe, and a fertilizer tank. Switch and water meter were installed on the main irrigation pipe to control the amount of water and fertilizer applied. Irrigation was directly applied by flooding to the plots for FI treatment through a pipeline, for which the amount of irrigation was controlled by a water meter.



*Figure 1. Plant spacing and drip line spacing used in these experiments. Four rows of cotton plants were planted under mulch film, and an irrigation drip line was laid in the center of the mulch film (the drip line was not used in the flood irrigation treatment)*

The volume of water supplied by DI and FI during the experiments were 4000  $m^3$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and  $6000 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ , respectively. Water was supplied on 10 times at weekly intervals under DI, and 4 times at 2-to-3-week intervals for FI (*Table 1*). The water supply was ended in mid and late August under FI and DI, respectively. 150 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (superphosphate) and 150 kg K ha−1 (potassium chloride) were applied as base fertilizer before sowing. Urea was applied at rates of 350 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 400 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, out of which 20% and 50% was applied as base fertilizer under DI and FI, respectively, and the remaining was applied with irrigation intervals (*Table 1*).

# *Plant harvest*

Plants were harvested at the peak flowering stage, after 96 days of sowing (DAS) in 2016 and 98 DAS in 2017, respectively. Randomly selected eight uniform plants of every treatment and divided into stems, leaves and reproductive organs (flowers and buds) of the above ground part of every plant respectively. All samples were over-dried at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 48 hours [\(Azeem](#page-11-7) et al., 2021) to obtained constant dry weight.

Treatment		Years 2016	Date of application $1$									
			$12$ Jun									19 Jun   26 Jun   3 Jul   10 Jul   17 Jul   24 Jul   1 Aug   8 Aug   16 Aug
		2017	$14$ Jun	$22$ Jun								29 Jun   7 Jul   12 Jul   20 Jul   26 Jul   5 Aug   12 Aug   21 Aug
Water $(m^3/ha)$	DI	4000	260	300	420	500	500	500	500	400	340	280
	FI	6000		1200		–	1800		1800		1200	
Nitrogen (kg N/ha)	DI	270		10	10	30	40	40	40	40	30	30
	FI	200		48					100		52	

*Table 1. Water and nitrogen fertilizer applications in the drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI) treatments*

<sup>1</sup>The amounts of water and fertilizer applied were identical in both cropping seasons. '–' indicates no nitrogen or no irrigation application

Roots were harvested by using monolith method (Smit [et al.,](#page-12-10) 2013). Soil cubes with 10 cm sides (1000 cm<sup>3</sup>) were dug individually in a soil volume of 70 cm  $\times$  20 cm, to a depth of 60 cm surrounding of the randomly selected four plants (*Fig. 2*). The total number of monoliths for the four plants were 84. Roots were sieved with a stainless-steel mesh (1 mm diameter) and stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C until measurement of the roots length [\(Mai](#page-12-11) et al., [2013\)](#page-12-11). Soil samples were collected from each soil block after the roots were sieved, then air-dried and sieved (1 mm mesh) for soil available P analysis. Roots collected from each soil block were scanned with a digital scanner (Epson V700, Djakarta, Indonesia) at 200 dpi with grayscale pixels and saved as TIF image files (Mai [et al.,](#page-12-11) 2013). The images were analyzed using DELTA-T SCAN version 1.0 software (Delta-T Devices, Burwell, UK). After scanning, the root fractions were dried at 72°C for 48 hours to obtain constant weight and weighted by weight balance.



*Figure 2. Method of root and soil collection. To determine the influence of drip irrigation (DI) treatment on the spatial distribution of cotton roots in the soil profile, the root system of eight cotton plants was collected by means of a monolith method in the 2016 and 2017 cropping seasons. Under flooding irrigation, although the drip line was not used, the root sampling procedure was consistent with that of the DI treatment*

Dried samples of roots, stems, leaves and reproductive organs were converted into a powder and the total P content was determined using the ascorbic acid method [\(Bao,](#page-11-8) [1999\)](#page-11-8).

Soil available P was extracted using 0.5 mol  $L^{-1}$  NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2.5 g soil, 50 ml solution, 25°C, shaken for 30 min), and the inorganic P concentration was measured calorimetrically using the molybdate-ascorbic acid method [\(Murphy and Riley,](#page-12-12) 1962).

The spatial distribution of root length density in the soil profile is presented as wireframe diagrams. The mean root length per plant (m plant<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated by dividing the total root length for the 84 soil blocks by four (the number of plants sampled). The ratio of shoot P uptake/root length reflects the ability of the root system to supply P to the shoot.

# *Data analysis*

Assumptions of parametric statistics were tested to verify normality and homogeneity of variance using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test and Levene's test before further analysis. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with treatment as main factor, to determine the main effect and interaction effects of each growth trails ( $P < 0.05$ ). Furthermore, a posthoc Tukey test  $P < 0.05$  was performed to determine significant difference within treatments (SPSS 22). Graphs were made by using origin Pro9.

# **Results**

# *Growth traits biomass and P uptake*

Growth trails of cotton crop under two years of growing seasons were investigated under DI and FI, found very significant results  $(p<0.05)$ . The vegetative organs (roots, stems and leaves) of cotton plants are significantly higher biomass under DI, compared with FI (*Fig. 3A*). Shoot biomass (stems and leaves) under DI was significant different than FI (18.7 g plant<sup>-1</sup> at DI and 15.6 g plant<sup>-1</sup> at FI). While on the other hand, reproductive organs biomass under DI was significantly  $(P<0.05)$  27.7% lower than FI  $(6.5 \text{ g plant}^{-1} \text{ and } 4.7 \text{ g plant}^{-1} \text{ under FI and DI, respectively}).$ 

P uptake in mostly organs of cotton plants were higher in DI than FI. P content in the shoot of plants were significantly  $(P<0.05)$ , 12.1% higher under DI as compared to FI (157.6 mg plant−1 and 140.6 mg plant−1 under DI and FI, respectively) (*Fig. 3B*). There is no significant (P>0.05) difference between root and stem. P concentration under DI and FI but P concentration between leaves and reproductive organs were significant difference under DI (0.71% in leaves and 1.02% in reproductive organ) as compared to FI (0.64% in leaves and 0.85% in reproductive organ) (*Fig. 4*).

# *Root and available P distribution*

Overall root length of cotton plants was showed no significant (P>0.05) difference between DI and FI (51.9 m plant−1 and 50.3 m plant−1 , respectively) (*Fig. 5A*). However, significant (P<0.05) difference were observed in the distribution of root length in the soil profile (*Fig. 5B*). In the 0–10 cm soil layer, cotton plants, root length under DI  $(25.3 \text{ m plant}^{-1})$  was higher than FI (15.8 m plant<sup>-1</sup>). The cotton plants root length density in the 0–10 cm soil layer was generally greater than 4 m 1000 cm<sup>-3</sup> within DI, that was considerably lower under FI (*Fig. 5A*). The ratio of cotton plants root length (0–10 cm

soil depth as a proportion of the total root length at 0–60 cm soil depth) was significantly higher (48.8%) under DI compared to FI (31.5%). While, at the 10–60 cm soil layers the root length of cotton plants was higher under FI as compared to DI (*Fig. 5B*).



*Figure 3. Cotton biomass (A) and phosphorus uptake (B) under drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI). Bars above zero represent the shoot and bars below zero represent the root. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (n = 8). Different lower-case letters above and below the bars indicate a significant difference in biomass and Phosphorus uptake in the shoot and root, respectively, between DI and FI at the 0.05 significance level*



*Figure 4. Phosphorus concentration in cotton plant organs under drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (n = 8). Different lower-case letters above the bars indicate a significant difference between DI and FI at the 0.05 significance level*



*Figure 5. Spatial distribution in the soil profile of root length density (m per 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> soil) (A) and root length at 0–60 cm soil depth (B) of a cotton plant under drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI). In (A) the value above each diagram corresponds to the total root length of a single cotton plant at 0–60 cm soil depth (m/plant). Different lower-case letters indicate a significant difference in total root length between DI and FI at the 0.05 significance level. In (B), the error bars represent the standard error of the mean (n = 8). The values on the right-hand side of the graph indicate the ratio (%) of the cotton root length (m) in each 10 cm soil layer to the total root length (0–60 soil depth). Different lower-case letters beside bars indicate a significant difference in root length between DI and FI in each soil layer at the 0.05 significance level. Although the drip line was not used under FI, the sampling method for the FI treatment was consistent with that used for DI; therefore, the abscissa in (A) is indicated by the "distance from drippers", regardless of whether the drip line was used*

Available P content in soil showed different results under different layer of soil profile within DI and FI (*Fig.* 6). There is a significant (P<0.05) between DI and FI at 0-20 cm soil layer (*Fig. 6*). At 0-10 cm soil depth, available P content in soil under DI  $(23.6 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$  was significantly (P<0.05) lower than FI (29.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). While, at 10-20 cm soil depth, available P content in soil under DI (27.5 mg kg−1 ) was significant (P<0.05) higher than FI (21.7 mg kg−1 ), respectively (*Fig. 6*). In soil layer below 20 cm, there is no significant (p>0.05) difference between DI and FI (*Fig. 6*).

Root length densities between <1 to 4 m 1000 cm<sup>-3</sup>, increased soil available P content with the increased in root length density (*Fig. 7*). When root length density >4 m 1000 cm<sup>-3</sup>, soil available P content was decreased as compared to 3-4 m 1000 cm−3 , root length density (*Fig. 7*).

# *Ratio of shoot P uptake/root length*

There are -significant  $(P<0.05)$  results of ratio of shoot P uptake/root length between DI and FI. While ratio of shoot P uptake/root length was higher in DI (3.1 mg m<sup>-1</sup>) than FI (2.8 mg m<sup>-1</sup>), respectively (*Fig. 8*).



*Figure 6. Soil available phosphorus (P) content at 0–60 cm soil depth under drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean (n =*  $\overline{a}$ *) 8). Different lower-case letters beside bars indicate a significant difference in soil available P between DI and FI in each soil layer at the 0.05 significance level*



*Figure 7. Soil available P content in different classes of root length density. A key to the box* 



*Figure 8. Shoot P uptake/root length ratio under drip irrigation (DI) and flooding irrigation (FI). The error bars represent the standard error of the mean*  $(n = 8)$ *. Different lower-case letters indicate a significant difference at the 0.05 significance level*

#### **Discussion**

World population increasing day by day that cause deceased in agricultural land and water deficiency [\(Azeem](#page-10-2) et al., 2020). In agricultural practices, people using convention irrigation methods for crop development but these methods or techniques do loss lot of water and fertilizers [\(Girma and Jemal, 2015\)](#page-11-9). While by using these convention irrigation methods plant of different crops invests a lot in below-ground growth development to capture resources [\(Azeem](#page-11-10) et al., 2021). While on the other hand, under drip irrigation plant can get water and fertilizer easily from its roots [\(Arshad,](#page-10-3) 2020). Growth of different crops under drip irrigation has been increased as compared to convention methods because resources are available for its growth development [\(Ghosh](#page-11-11) et al., 2018). Plant invests less for its roots development because it's get resources in a defined range [\(Rank](#page-12-13)  [and Vishnu,](#page-12-13) 2021). In the present study, P uptake of cotton plants was higher under DI as compared to FI (*Fig. 3B*). Plant growth was promoted owing to better water and nitrogen supply under DI, that would stimulate demand of P and resulted in increased P uptake (Hou et al., [2022\)](#page-11-5). The quantity of water and nitrogen supply were significantly different between DI and FI (*Table 1*). The DI is the most suitable irrigation application for cotton plant growth because it's give water and nutrients more accurately with low volume, that is beneficial for better growth production due to high frequency application [\(Wang](#page-13-6) et al., [2015;](#page-13-6) [Leogrande](#page-11-12) et al., 2016). In DI, shoot growth of cotton plants were higher than roots length because easy excess of water and nutrients make plants able to spend more energy on above-ground biomass as compared to below-ground (*Fig. 3A*) [\(Wang](#page-13-7) et al., 2004). Furthermore, plants under DI changing its growth strategy by enhanced its vegetation growth but inhibiting reproductive growth (*Fig. 3A*). Vegetation development demand more P [\(Wang](#page-13-8) et al., 2015), that was increased P uptake from roots under DI as compared to FI.

Higher P concentration often produced dilution effect at which growth of plants increased but nutrient concentration in plant tissues were deceased [\(Jahan](#page-11-13) et al., 2019). While in the current study, under DI cotton plants have higher growth and P concentration in plants tissues were also higher, especially in leaves and reproductive organs, that showed no dilution effect (*Fig. 4*). In FI growth of cotton plants were lower because plants investing same energy in below-ground and above-ground for its growth development [\(Azeem](#page-11-14) et al., 2020; [Azeem](#page-11-7) et al., 2021). Higher nutrients concentration along with flooding reduced the growth of plant because it create submergence and eutrophication effects [\(Azeem](#page-11-10) et al., 2021), that was found under FI (*Fig. 4*). P uptake capacity of cotton plant under DI was higher and smooth because it can get define amount of water along with nutrients so plant developed shallow roots and make more energy to develop aboveground biomass [\(Shabbir](#page-12-4) et al., 2020a,b). While in FI, due to higher infiltration rate, cotton plant spend equal energy to make development in above-ground and below-ground (Sun [et al.,](#page-13-9) 2022).

In Xinjiang, China, mostly agriculture lands, having very less P uses efficiency [\(Wang](#page-13-10) et al., [2018\)](#page-13-10). The reason behind of low P uses, is that mostly P fertilizers are immobilized in soils, because P is strongly adsorbed to iron, aluminum cations at low soil pH and calcium ions at high soil pH [\(Husain](#page-11-0) et al., 2019). Therefore, the P uptake efficiency of a crop is largely depends on the roots distribution in the soil (e.g., greater roots distribution in soil containing a higher P concentration result with higher P absorption potential) [\(Rank and Vishnu,](#page-12-13) 2021). Root length is more scientifically meaningful than root dry weight for evaluation of plant root function [\(Vengavasi and Pandey,](#page-13-11) 2018), because the uptake of water and nutrients by roots depends on the total soil area at which the root has contact (Niu [et al.,](#page-12-14) 2010). In the present study, no difference in cotton total root length between the DI and FI treatments were observed, because amount and mode (base fertilizer) of P was identical under DI and FI. Which suggested that the capacity of nutrients absorption by cotton roots should not differ significantly between DI and FI. Therefore, P uptake of cotton plant increased to fulfil the P demand resulting by increased shoot growth under DI that may be attributed to change roots distribution in the soil. The cotton plant roots distribution were shallow (half of the total root length was distributed at 0-10 cm soil layer, *Fig. 5*) because of smooth water and nutrients supply under DI [\(Yuan](#page-13-12) et al., 2019). This was also consistent with the distribution of P available content in the soil profile (*Fig. 6*). While shallow roots distribution in the upper soil layer increased contact area of cotton plant with soil profile that having large amount of P [\(Haling](#page-11-15) et al., 2016a). This would enable cotton plant roots to absorb more P under DI. Furthermore, with this interpretation, P supply to the plant shoot per unit root length was higher under DI as compared to FI (*Fig. 8*). While, remaining available P content in the upper soil layer 0-10 cm was less under DI (*Fig. 6*) because due to shallow roots and higher root length density in upper layer of soil 0-10 cm (*Fig. 5*). This enable plant to utilize these P for plant above-ground growth development (Liu et al., [2022\)](#page-12-15). According to the finding of present study, when root length density was higher than 4 m 1000  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ , more strong P absorption by roots because of higher root density in the upper soil profile and resulting lower P Content. While FI have opposite results, deep roots distribution within soil profile and less soil contact area in the upper soil layer (*Fig. 5*). Furthermore, non-uniform water and nutrients distribution make plant able to grown equally aboveand below-ground to capture resources [\(Javed](#page-11-16) et al., 2020; Yan [et al.,](#page-13-13) 2022).

According to the results, it cannot be determined that increased P uptake by cotton plant under DI, is due to increase shoot growth, which would enhance P demand of the plants and promote P absorption by changing roots architecture. While it can be confirmed that per unit root length of cotton plant is the greater contributor of P uptake for the development of shoot under DI (*Fig. 8*). Therefore, higher P uptake of cotton plants under DI, is likely to be partially due to a passive increased in P demand cause by the increased in growth, but more likely due to the roots actively absorbing and supplying higher quantities of P under DI [\(Alcon](#page-10-4) et al., 2019). Moreover, change in roots architecture under DI, the cotton plant is able to absorb higher quantities of P, leading to P depletion at shallow soil depths. In modern agricultural production techniques, huge quantities of chemical fertilizers are applied to agriculture land (Li [et al.,](#page-12-16) 2011; [Wang](#page-13-14) et al., [2017\)](#page-13-14), resulting in accumulation of high concentrations of P in the soil (especially at shallow depths) [\(Zhang](#page-13-15) et al., 2010). Therefore, application of DI would be beneficial to increase the utilization of P fertilizer for cotton plant production.

# **Conclusion**

DI is the best irrigation method to save water and fertilizer. In this study plant growth, phosphorus content and phosphorus uptake of cotton plants were higher under DI as compared to FI. Plants having Shallow roots at 0-10 cm soil layer under DI, due to easy access of water and fertilizer as compared to FI. Soil available P content in the 0-10 cm soil layer was significantly lower in the DI, because plant transferred into upper parts for its development. It can be concluded that plant get nutrients and water more easily under DI. Furthermore, water and fertilizer can be used more accurately under DI as compared to FI. In modern agriculture practices, DI is the best recommended method to utilizes less water and nutrients resources for more crops production.

**Funding.** This research was funded by the "Western Young Scholars" project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant NO:2019-XBQNXZ-A-006).

**Conflicts of Interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

**Author Contributions.** Conceptualization, Ahmad Azeem.; methodology, Ahmad Azeem.; software, Mai Wenxuan.; validation, Mai Wenxuan.; formal analysis, Xiangrong Xue.; investigation, Xiangrong Xue.; resources, Mai Wenxuan.; writing–original draft preparation, Ahmad Azeem; writing–review and editing, Mai Wenxuan.; project administration, Mai Wenxuan.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[1] Abd El-Mageed, T. A., El-Samnoudi, I. M., Ibrahim, A. E.-A. M., Abd El Tawwab, A. R. (2018): Compost and mulching modulates morphological, physiological responses and water use efficiency in sorghum (Bicolor L. Moench) under low moisture regime.  $-$ Agricultural Water Management 208: 431-439.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[2] Al-Ghobari, H. M., Dewidar, A. Z. (2018): Integrating deficit irrigation into surface and subsurface drip irrigation as a strategy to save water in arid regions. – Agricultural Water Management 209: 55-61.
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[3] Alcon, F., Navarro, N., de-Miguel, M. D., Balbo, A. L. (2019): Drip irrigation technology: Analysis of adoption and diffusion processes. – Sarkar, A., Sensarma, S., vanLoon, G. (eds.) Sustainable Solutions for Food Security. Springer, pp. 269-285.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[4] Arshad, I. (2020): Importance of Drip Irrigation System Installation and Management-A Review. – Psm biological research 5(1): 22-29.
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[5] Azeem, A., Javed, Q., Sun, J., Ullah, I., Kama, R., Du, D. (2020): Adaptation of Singapore daisy (Wedelia trilobata) to different environmental conditions; water stress, soil type and temperature. – Applied Ecology and Environmental Research 18(4): 5247-5261.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>[6] Azeem, A., Sun, J., Javed, Q., Jabran, K., Du, D. (2020): The effect of submergence and eutrophication on the trait's performance of Wedelia trilobata over its congener native Wedelia chinensis. – Water 12(4): 934.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[7] Azeem, A., Sun, J., Javed, Q., Jabran, K., Saifullah, M., Huang, Y., Du, D. (2021): Water deficiency with nitrogen enrichment makes Wedelia trilobata to become weak competitor under competition. – International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 19: 319-326.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[8] Azeem, A., Mai, W. X., Tian, C. Y., Javed, Q., Abbas, A. (2021): Competition and Plant Trait Plasticity of Invasive (Wedelia trilobata) and Native Species (Wedelia chinensis, WC) under Nitrogen Enrichment and Flooding Condition. – Water 13(23): 3472.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[9] Bao, S. (1999): Analysis of agricultural chemistry in soil. – Beijing: Chinese Agricultural Press.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[10] Beltayef, H., Melki, M., Saidi, W., Hajri, R., Cruz, C., Muscolo, A., Youness, M. (2021): Potential Piriformospora indica effect on growth and mineral nutrition of Phaseolus vulgaris crop under low phosphorus intake. – Journal of Plant Nutrition 44(4): 498-507.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[11] Cheng, Y., Wang, H.-Q., Liu, P., Dong, S.-T., Zhang, J.-W., Zhao, B., Ren, B.-Z. (2020): Nitrogen placement at sowing affects root growth, grain yield formation, N use efficiency in maize. – Plant and Soil 457(1): 355-373.
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[12] Cong, W.-F., Suriyagoda, L. D., Lambers, H. (2020): Tightening the phosphorus cycle through phosphorus-efficient crop genotypes. – Trends in Plant Science 25(10): 967-975.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[13] Ghosh, U., Sarkar, N., Biswas, R. K. (2018): A review on performance evaluation of drip irrigation system in banana cultivation. – Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 7(1): 866-869.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[14] Girma, M., Jemal, A. (2015): Irrigation system in Israel: A review. – International Journal of water resources and environmental engineering 7(3): 29-37.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[15] Haling, R. E., Yang, Z., Shadwell, N., Culvenor, R. A., Stefanski, A., Ryan, M. H., Sandral, G. A., Kidd, D. R., Lambers, H., Simpson, R. J. (2016a): Growth and root dry matter allocation by pasture legumes and a grass with contrasting external critical phosphorus requirements. – Plant and Soil 407(1): 67-79.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>[16] Haling, R. E., Yang, Z., Shadwell, N., Culvenor, R. A., Stefanski, A., Ryan, M. H., Sandral, G. A., Kidd, D. R., Lambers, H., Simpson, R. J. (2016b): Root morphological traits that determine phosphorus-acquisition efficiency and critical external phosphorus requirement in pasture species. – Functional Plant Biology 43(9): 815-826.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[17] Hou, X., Fan, J., Zhang, F., Hu, W., Yan, F., Xiao, C., Li, Y., Cheng, H. (2022): Determing water use and crop coefficients of drip-irrigated cotton in south Xinjiang of China under various irrigation amounts. – Industrial Crops and Products 176: 114376.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[18] Husain, J., Kashyap, P., Prusty, A., Dutta, D., Sharma, S. S., Panwar, A., Kumar, S. (2019): Effect of phosphorus fertilisation on growth, yield and quality of pea (*Pisum sativum*). – Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 89(8): 1303-1307.
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>[19] Jahan, N., Alam, A. S., Mitu, A. S., Habib, M. A., Rahman, M. S. (2019): Effect of phosphorus on growth and yield of sesame. – Research in Agriculture livestock and fisheries 6(2): 245-251.
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>[20] Javed, Q., Sun, J., Azeem, A., Jabran, K., Du, D. (2020): Competitive ability and plasticity of *Wedelia trilobata* (L.) under wetland hydrological variations. – Scientific Reports 10(1): 1-11.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[21] Jha, S. K., Ramatshaba, T. S., Wang, G., Liang, Y., Liu, H., Gao, Y., Duan, A. (2019): Response of growth, yield and water use efficiency of winter wheat to different irrigation methods and scheduling in North China Plain. – Agricultural Water Management 217: 292- 302.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[22] Leogrande, R., Vitti, C., Lopedota, O., Ventrella, D., Montemurro, F. (2016): Effects of irrigation volume and saline water on maize yield and soil in Southern Italy. – Irrigation and Drainage 65(3): 243-253.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[23] Li, H., Huang, G., Meng, Q., Ma, L., Yuan, L., Wang, F., Zhang, W., Cui, Z., Shen, J., Chen, X. (2011): Integrated soil and plant phosphorus management for crop and environment in China. A review. – Plant and Soil 349(1): 157-167.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[24] Li, H., Liu, J., Li, G., Shen, J., Bergström, L., Zhang, F. (2015): Past, present, and future use of phosphorus in Chinese agriculture and its influence on phosphorus losses. – Ambio 44(2): 274-285.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[25] Li, X., Šimůnek, J., Shi, H., Yan, J., Peng, Z., Gong, X. (2017): Spatial distribution of soil water, soil temperature, and plant roots in a drip-irrigated intercropping field with plastic mulch. – European Journal of Agronomy 83: 47-56.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[26] Liu, X., Wei, Z., Hou, J., Wan, H., Zhang, Q., Ma, Y., Liu, F. (2022): Partial root-zone drying irrigation improves growth and physiology of tobacco amended with biochar by modulating phytohormonal profile and antioxidant system. – Plant and Soil 474: 561-579.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[27] Lu, J., Xiang, Y., Fan, J., Zhang, F., Hu, T. (2021): Sustainable high grain yield, nitrogen use efficiency and water productivity can be achieved in wheat-maize rotation system by changing irrigation and fertilization strategy. – Agricultural Water Management 258: 107177.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[28] Mai, W., Tian, C., Li, C. (2013): Soil salinity dynamics under drip irrigation and mulch film and their effects on cotton root length. – Communications in soil science and plant analysis 44(9): 1489-1502.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[29] Malhotra, H., Sharma, S., Pandey, R. (2018): Phosphorus nutrition: plant growth in response to deficiency and excess. – In: Hasanuzzaman, M., Fujita, M., Oku, H., Nahar, K., Hawrylak-Nowak, B. (eds.) Plant nutrients and abiotic stress tolerance, Springer, pp. 171- 190.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[30] McLachlan, J. W., Becquer, A., Haling, R. E., Simpson, R. J., Flavel, R. J., Guppy, C. N. (2020): Intrinsic root morphology determines the phosphorus acquisition efficiency of five annual pasture legumes irrespective of mycorrhizal colonisation. – Functional Plant Biology 48(2): 156-170.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[31] Murphy, J., Riley, J. P. (1962): A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. – Analytica Chimica Acta 27: 31-36.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[32] Niu, J., Peng, Y., Li, C., Zhang, F. (2010): Changes in root length at the reproductive stage of maize plants grown in the field and quartz sand. – Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 173(2): 306-314.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[33] Qiang, S., Zhang, Y., Fan, J., Zhang, F., Sun, M., Gao, Z. (2022): Combined effects of ridge–furrow ratio and urea type on grain yield and water productivity of rainfed winter wheat on the Loess Plateau of China. – Agricultural Water Management 261: 107340.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[34] Rank, P., Vishnu, B. (2021): Pulse drip irrigation: A review. – Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 10(1): 125-130.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[35] Schneider, K. D., Thiessen Martens, J. R., Zvomuya, F., Reid, D. K., Fraser, T. D., Lynch, D. H., O'Halloran, I. P., Wilson, H. F. (2019): Options for improved phosphorus cycling and use in agriculture at the field and regional scales. – Journal of Environmental Quality 48(5): 1247-1264.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[36] Shabbir, A., Mao, H., Ullah, I., Buttar, N. A., Ajmal, M., Lakhiar, I. A. (2020a): Effects of drip irrigation emitter density with various irrigation levels on physiological parameters, root, yield, and quality of cherry tomato. – Agronomy 10(11): 1685.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[37] Shabbir, A., Mao, H., Ullah, I., Buttar, N. A., Ajmal, M., Solangi, K. A. (2020b): Improving Water Use Efficiency by Optimizing the Root Distribution Patterns under Varying Drip Emitter Density and Drought Stress for Cherry Tomato. – Agronomy 11(1): 3.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[38] Shen, J., Yuan, L., Zhang, J., Li, H., Bai, Z., Chen, X., Zhang, W., Zhang, F. (2011): Focus issue on phosphorus plant physiology: Phosphorus dynamics: From soil to plant. – Plant Physiology 156(3): 997.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[39] Smit, A. L., Bengough, A. G., Engels, C., van Noordwijk, M., Pellerin, S., van de Geijn, S. C. (2013): Root methods: a handbook. – Springer Science & Business Media.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[40] Su, W., Kamran, M., Xie, J., Meng, X., Han, Q., Liu, T., Han, J. (2019): Shoot and root traits of summer maize hybrid varieties with higher grain yields and higher nitrogen use efficiency at low nitrogen application rates. – PeerJ 7: e7294.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>[41] Sun, J., Javed, Q., Du, Y., Azeem, A., Abbas, A., Iqbal, B., He, Y., Xiang, Y., Du, D. (2022): Invasive Alternanthera philoxeroides has performance advantages over natives under flooding with high amount of nitrogen. – Aquatic Ecology 56: 891-903.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>[42] Vengavasi, K., Pandey, R. (2018): Root exudation potential in contrasting soybean genotypes in response to low soil phosphorus availability is determined by photobiochemical processes. – Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 124: 1-9.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[43] Wahid, F., Fahad, S., Danish, S., Adnan, M., Yue, Z., Saud, S., Siddiqui, M. H., Brtnicky, M., Hammerschmiedt, T., Datta, R. (2020): Sustainable management with mycorrhizae and phosphate solubilizing bacteria for enhanced phosphorus uptake in calcareous soils. – Agriculture 10(8): 334.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>[44] Wang, C., Isoda, A., Wang, P. (2004): Growth and yield performance of some cotton cultivars in Xinjiang, China, an arid area with short growing period. – Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 190(3): 177-183.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[45] Wang, L., Jin, P., Wang, J., Jiang, L., Shan, T., Zheng, Y. (2015): Methyl jasmonate primed defense responses against Penicillium expansum in sweet cherry fruit. – Plant Molecular Biology Reporter 33(5): 1464-1471.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[46] Wang, X., Yang, J., Liu, G., Yao, R., Yu, S. (2015): Impact of irrigation volume and water salinity on winter wheat productivity and soil salinity distribution. – Agricultural Water Management 149: 44-54.
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>[47] Wang, Z., Chen, J., Xing, F., Han, Y., Chen, F., Zhang, L., Li, Y., Li, C. (2017): Response of cotton phenology to climate change on the North China Plain from 1981 to 2012. – Scientific reports 7(1): 1-10.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>[48] Wang, X., Huo, Z., Guan, H., Guo, P., Qu, Z. (2018): Drip irrigation enhances shallow groundwater contribution to crop water consumption in an arid area. – Hydrological processes 32(6): 747-758.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>[49] Wen, Z., Pang, J., Ryan, M. H., Shen, J., Siddique, K. H., Lambers, H. (2021): In addition to foliar manganese concentration, both iron and zinc provide proxies for rhizosheath carboxylates in chickpea under low phosphorus supply. – Plant and Soil 465(1): 31-46.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[50] Wu, P., Liu, F., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Gao, Y., Zhang, X., Chen, G., Huang, F., Ahmad, S., Zhang, P. (2022): Suitable fertilization depth can improve the water productivity and maize yield by regulating development of the root system. – Agricultural Water Management 271: 107784.
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>[51] Yan, S., Wu, Y., Fan, J., Zhang, F., Zheng, J., Guo, J., Lu, J., Wu, L., Qiang, S., Xiang, Y. (2022): Source-sink relationship and yield stability of two maize cultivars in response to water and fertilizer inputs in northwest China. – Agricultural Water Management 262: 107332.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>[52] Yuan, C., Feng, S., Huo, Z., Ji, Q. (2019): Effects of deficit irrigation with saline water on soil water-salt distribution and water use efficiency of maize for seed production in arid Northwest China. – Agricultural water management 212: 424-432.
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>[53] Zhang, F., Shen, J., Zhang, J., Zuo, Y., Li, L., Chen, X. (2010): Rhizosphere processes and management for improving nutrient use efficiency and crop productivity: implications for China. – Advances in agronomy 107: 1-32.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[54] Zhang, G., Meng, W., Pan, W., Han, J., Liao, Y. (2022): Effect of soil water content changes caused by ridge-furrow plastic film mulching on the root distribution and water use pattern of spring maize in the Loess Plateau. – Agricultural Water Management 261: 107338.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[55] Zhou, B., Sun, X., Wang, D., Ding, Z., Li, C., Ma, W., Zhao, M. (2019): Integrated agronomic practice increases maize grain yield and nitrogen use efficiency under various soil fertility conditions. – The Crop Journal 7(4): 527-538.