PREDICTION OF THE DISTRIBUTION AREA AND ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES OF POPULUS EUPHRATICA AND POPULUS PRUINOSA IN CHINA BASED ON THE OPTIMIZED MAXENT MODEL
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Abstract. Populus euphratica (Pe) and Populus pruinosa (Pp) are important dominant species for maintaining ecological balance in desert and arid areas. Understanding the distribution of potentially suitable areas of Pe and Pp in arid areas is of great significance for the protection and restoration of natural Pe and Pp forests. The optimized MaxEnt was used to reconstruct and predict the potential suitable areas of Pe and Pp in China, and calculate the migration of distribution center points. The results showed that (1) the geographical distribution of Pe was mainly affected by coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter (Bio10). The geographical distribution of Pp was mainly affected by coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), temperature Annual Range (Bio7); (2) under the current climatic scenarios, the potential suitable areas of Pe and Pp in China are 141.16 × 10^4 km^2 and 172.25 × 10^4 km^2; (3) The niche overlap D and I values of Pe and Pp were 0.533 and 0.775 respectively; (4) under the future climate scenario, the potential high-fitness area of Pe and Pp would shift to higher latitudes. Our research provides a scientific basis for the long-term protection and management of these rare natural resources.
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Introduction

Current human activities and the use of fossil energy have accelerated global warming (Bandh et al., 2021; Hou et al., 2021). Climate warming directly leads to the emergence of extreme climates such as drought, flood, high temperature, and low temperature (Liu et al., 2021). Temperature and precipitation are the two most critical climate variables affecting species distribution (Cong et al., 2020). Many studies have shown that with the changes in temperature and precipitation, the areas suitable for vegetation growth will change. Temperature rise may force vegetation to migrate to high-latitude and high-altitude areas (Guan et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2017; Li et al., 2022a; Li et al., 2022b).
Species distribution models (SDMs) can evaluate the relationship between the species records for specific sites and the environmental and spatial characteristics of these sites. Therefore, SDMs are widely used in biogeography, conservation biology, and ecological research (Guisan et al., 2004, 2000; Peterson, 2006). Among them, MaxEnt is one of the most commonly used and accurate modeling methods (Phillips et al., 2009). MaxEnt is superior to other modeling methods in that it uses only existing data to achieve high prediction performance (Gomes et al., 2018). In addition, MaxEnt uses continuous and classified data to avoid errors (Elith et al., 2011). It also combines the interaction between different variables and shows the best prediction ability when using different sample sizes (Pennino et al., 2018).

*Populus euphratica* (Pe) and *Populus pruinosa* (Pp) are deciduous trees belonging to the genus Populus of Salicaceae. The natural distribution areas of Pe range from western China and the Middle East to North Africa and southwestern Europe (Wang et al., 2014, 2011). Pp is mainly restricted to Xinjiang province in China and the adjacent countries and regions (Wang et al., 2011). The distribution range of Pp is much smaller than that of Pe, and the two are often mixed in southern of Xinjiang, China. Research on predicting the potential distribution area of Pe by the optimized MaxEnt model has been reported, for example, Li et al. (2020) and Zhang et al. (2020) found that the dominant variables affecting the distribution of Pe were the precipitation in wettest month and annual average temperature. The high-fitness area of Pe is mainly distributed in the central and western regions of Inner Mongolia and most regions of Xinjiang (Li et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). Chen et al. (2021a) believed that the dominant variables affecting the distribution of Pe are average temperature and altitude in the driest season, and the high fitness area of Pe is distributed in the Aksu River basin (Chen et al., 2021a). Zeng et al. (2018) estimated the distribution of Pe under the paleoclimate and current climate, and the results showed that Pe had good suitability in Xinjiang, northern Gansu, and western Inner Mongolia (Zeng et al., 2018).

However, there is no research report on identifying potential Pp suitable areas based on the optimized MaxEnt model, and the prediction of suitable areas and comparison of main environmental variables of Pe and Pp under the influence of current climate and geographical factors. In this paper, the optimized MaxEnt model and ArcGIS software were used to predict the suitable areas of Pe and Pp in China and the dominant environmental variables that affect their distribution, to explore the contribution of different environmental variables to the distribution of Pe and Pp in the suitable areas, to analyze the current potential suitable habitat, and to predict the changes of the suitable areas under the next four climate scenarios. This study provides a scientific basis for protecting Pe and Pp forest resources and restoring degraded ecosystems in the future.

**Materials and methods**

**Sample distribution of Pe and Pp**

Combined with the field sampling survey (Firstly, we obtained population information through literature review and collaboration with various forestry departments in China. In 2021, we conducted a field survey, covering a total distance of over 40000 km. The survey locations included Xinjiang, Qinghai, Inner Mongolia, Ningxia, and Gansu in China.), the distribution areas of Pe and Pp in China were obtained through GPS positioning (Gai et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021). The foreign data of Pp and Pe are obtained from GBIF the GBIF database of the Global Biodiversity
Information network (https://www.gbif.org/, December 13, 2022). Finally, a total of 576 distribution points of Pe and 608 distribution points of Pp were obtained (Among them, 77 Pe data information came from GBIF, and 499 data information came from field survey in 2022. 5 Pp data information came from GBIF, and 604 data information came from field survey in 2022). Only one occurrence record was kept for each 2.5 × 2.5 km raster interval to prevent overfitting of the model using the ENMtools software for the species occurrence records (Warren et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2022). Once filtering was complete, 187 distribution points of Pe and 107 distribution points of Pp data were reserved for model construction (Fig. 1).

**Figure 1. Global distribution map of Populus euphratica and Populus pruinosa**

Environmental variables

There were 19 environmental variables (1971-2000) and 1 altitude variable include in this paper (Table 1). The data of temperature and precipitation climate variable data were from the World Climate Database v2.1 (WorldClim; https://www.worldclim.org/, December 13, 2022). And the environmental variables were converted into the same data format (ASCII) so that they could be loaded directly with MaxEnt software. The future data included two typical CO2 representative shared socioeconomic pathways SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8. Then, the distribution of Pe and Pp under four future scenarios under shared socioeconomic pathways (SSPS) was systematically analyzed: 2050s, SSP1-2.6, 2050s, SSP5-85, 2090s, SSP12.6, 2090s, SSP5-8.5.

Environmental variable filtering

The most important factor in species distribution modeling was the selection of variables (Fourcade et al., 2018). Removing redundant variables can further enhance the analysis ability of the model and reduce the multicollinearity between variables (Yi et al., 2016). To evaluate the accuracy of modeling, it was necessary to carry out the correlation coefficient test based on the combination of environmental variables (Dai et al., 2022). First, ENMtools (environmental niche modeling tools) software was used to conduct correlation coefficient analysis (Yan et al., 2015), selected the environmental variables with correlation coefficient with absolute values greater than or equal to 0.8 (Fig. A1) (Warren et al., 2021; Anand et al., 2021; Fourcade et al., 2014).
### Table 1. Environmental variables used in MaxEnt model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment variable</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bio1</td>
<td>Annual mean temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio2</td>
<td>Mean diurnal range</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio3</td>
<td>Isothermality (Bio2/Bio7) (*100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio4</td>
<td>Temperature Seasonality (*100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio5</td>
<td>Max temperature of warmest month</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio6</td>
<td>Min temperature of coldest month</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio7</td>
<td>Temperature annual range (Bio5-Bio6)</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio8</td>
<td>Mean temperature of wettest quarter</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio9</td>
<td>Mean temperature of driest quarter</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio10</td>
<td>Mean temperature of warmest quarter</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio11</td>
<td>Mean temperature of coldest quarter</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio12</td>
<td>Annual precipitation</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio13</td>
<td>Precipitation of wettest month</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio14</td>
<td>Precipitation of driest month</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio15</td>
<td>Precipitation seasonality (coefficient of variation)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio16</td>
<td>Precipitation of wettest quarter</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio17</td>
<td>Precipitation of driest quarter</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio18</td>
<td>Precipitation of warmest quarter</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bio19</td>
<td>Precipitation of coldest quarter</td>
<td>mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elve</td>
<td>Altitude</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Optimization of model parameters**

We used the Kuenm package to optimize the regularization multiplier (RM) and feature combinations (FCs) parameters in the R software (Cobos et al., 2019). These two parameters were essential for building the species distribution model using the software MaxEnt (https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/). In all 232 candidate models, with parameters reflecting all combinations of 8 (RMs) settings (from 0.5 to 4, the interval is 0.5) and 29 (FCs) were evaluated. Model selection was based on statistical significance (partial ROC), and complexity (AICc values). First, candidate models were screened to keep those that were statistically significant; finally, the models with lowest delta_AICc values were select among the significant candidate models (Zhu et al., 2014). Pe’s FCs and RM were set to TH and 1. Pp’s FCs and RM were set to LQP and 0.5.

**Model evaluation and potential suitable area delineation accuracy**

The longitude and latitude information of Pe and Pp and the filtered environmental variable data are imported into MaxEnt v3.4.1 software for modeling operations. The FC and RM were set up according to the optimal model, 75% of the sample data were randomly selected in training, while 25% of the sample data were used for testing (Yi et al., 2016; Moreno et al., 2011). In the MaxEnt model, the background points to 10,000, the maximum number of iterations was set to 500, the output format to Cloglog, and cross-validated by running 10 replicates.
The jackknife test was chosen to test and create response curves (Wang et al., 2017). The ASCII format file loaded by ArcGIS was resampled, and the potentially suitable areas were classified by “Jenks’ natural breaks” (Hebbar et al., 2022). According to IPCC’s explanation of the probability (P) of species’ presence: \( P \leq 0.1 \) is the unsuitable area; \( 0.1 < P \leq 0.5 \) is the poorly suitable area; \( 0.5 < P \) is a high fitness area (Hanley, 1982).

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) was used to evaluate model performance (Manzoor et al., 2020). AUC values close to 1 represent perfect predictions (Wang et al., 2021). The model performance was divided into five grades according to the AUC value: poor (0-0.5), usable (0.5-0.8) and excellent (0.8-1.0) (Liu et al., 2013). The smallest difference between the training and test AUC data (AUCDiff) was also observed; a lower difference indicates less overfitting in the model (Mao et al., 2017).

**Comparison of geographical distribution and niche**

Use ENMTools calculated the niche overlap and geographic distribution coincidence degree of \( Pe \) and \( Pe \), the threshold value of geographical distribution was 0.5. Schoener’s D (D) and Hellinger’s based I (I) values were used to indicate the niche overlap. The D and I values are between 0 and 1. The larger the values, the more niche overlap (Dan et al., 2010).

**Distribution and center transfer of suitable growing areas of \( Pe \) and \( Pe \) in the future climate data**

After modeling the currently relevant areas of \( Pe \) and \( Pe \), the size of the potential distribution areas was calculated. Future climate data were used to model and predict, and calculate the suitable area for growth in the future. To further understand the future migration path and direction of \( Pe \) and \( Pe \), the centroid of \( Pe \) and \( Pe \) from the current distribution to the future distribution was calculated using the Python-based SDM toolbox (Brown et al., 2017; Manzoor et al., 2020). This analysis concentrates the distribution of species to an independent central point and creates a vector file that describes the size and direction of species over time (Wang et al., 2021). We observed the change in distribution by calculating the change in centroid in different periods in the future.

**Results**

**Accuracy detection of the prediction results of the MaxEnt model by ROC curve**

The result showed that delat_AICc was lowest when \( Pe \)’s FCs are TH and RM is 1, \( Pe \)’s FCs were LQP and RM was 0.5 (Fig. 2). Under the optimal parameter settings, the mean AUC value based on the MaxEnt model for the current potential habitat area of \( Pe \) was 0.979, \( Pe \) was 0.989, indicating excellent model prediction accuracy (Fig. 3). In addition, the average of AUCDiff of \( Pe \) was 0.0079, \( Pe \) was 0.004, indicating less overfitting in the model.

**Dominant environmental variables**

The coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), the mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter (Bio10), the coldest quarterly average temperature (Bio11) and the warmest quarterly precipitation (Bio18) were made great contributions to the establishment of the
model for *Pe* (Fig. 4A). The coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), the temperature Annual Range (Bio7), and the coldest quarterly average temperature (Bio11) were made great contributions to the establishment of the model for *Pp* (Fig. 4B).

**Figure 2.** Optimal parameter results of *Populus euphratica* (A) and *Populus pruinosa* (B) for the MaxEnt model

**Figure 3.** ROC curve for *Populus euphratica* (A) and *Populus pruinosa* (B) using the MaxEnt

The response curve of the model represents the influence trend of each environmental variable on the prediction of the model. Combined with the contribution rate and the test results of the Jackknife test, the response curve of five environmental variables on the fitness of *Pe* (Fig. 5) and the response curve of five environmental variables on the fitness of *Pp* (Fig. 6) were obtained. The relationship between the distribution probability of *Pe* and *Pp* and environmental variables could be judged according to the response curve of environmental variables. It is generally believed that when the distribution probability of *Pe* and *Pp* is more than 0.5, the value of their corresponding environmental variables is suitable for the growth of *Pe* and *Pp*. The dominant environmental variables in *Pe* suitable areas were as follows: the lowest temperature in the coldest month (Bio6) was -19 ~ -10°C, the mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter (Bio10) was 22-26°C the average temperature in the coldest quarter (Bio11) was -10 ~ -2°C, and the precipitation in the warmest quarter (Bio18) was 14 ~ 64 mm. The dominant environmental variables in the suitable growth area of *Pp* were as follows: the lowest temperature in the coldest month (Bio6) was -16 ~ -8°C, the temperature Annual Range (Bio7) was 42 ~ 52°C, the average temperature in the coldest quarter (Bio11) was -7 ~ -1°C.
Figure 4. Jackknife test results for Populus euphratica (A) and Populus pruinosa (B) bioclimatic variables

Division of ecologically suitable areas of Pe and Pp under current climatic conditions and comparison of geographical distribution and niche

Under the current climate, Pe and Pp were mainly distributed in in northwest China, including Xinjiang, Gansu, Inner Mongolia (Fig. 7). According to the statistical results, the total area of Pe and Pp in China was $141.16 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ and $172.25 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, accounting for 14.65% and 16.88% of the total area of China mainland, respectively. The high-suitability area of Pe was $76.81 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and the poorly-suitability area was $64.35 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. The high-suitability area of Pp was $60.38 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and the poorly-suitability area was 111.86 km$^2$.

The niche overlap values of Pe and Pp were 0.533 and 0.775 respectively, with high niche overlap. The geographic distribution coincidence of Pe and Pp was 0.6119.
Figure 5. Single response curve of dominant environmental factors of Populus euphratica

Figure 6. Single response curve of dominant environmental factors of Populus pruinosa
Figure 7. The current potential suitable areas of Populus euphratica (A) and Populus pruinosa (B)

Changes in the global distribution of Pe and Pp suitable areas under future climate conditions

In the 2050s, the total suitable area of Pe under the SSP1-2.6 scenario was $204.16 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $110.83 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $93.33 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. The total suitable area of Pp was $196.01 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $80.52 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $115.49 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. Under the SSP5-5.8 scenario in 2050s, the total suitable area of Pe was $208.16 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $122.3 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $85.85 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. The total suitable area of Pp was $188.83 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was
80.79 × 10^4 km^2, and high-fitness area was 108.04 × 10^4 km^2. In the 2050s, under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8, the total suitable area, the poorly-fitness area, the high-fitness area of Pe and Pp were increased, except for the reduction of the poorly-fitness area of Pp (Fig. 8; Table A1).

Figure 8. Potential suitable areas of Populus euphratica and Populus pruinosa

In the 2050s, the high-fitness areas of Pe lost under the SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8 scenario were 4.05 × 10^4 km^2, 8.12 × 10^4 km^2, the increase in high-fitness areas were 18.89 × 10^4 km^2, 15.86 × 10^4 km^2. The high-fitness areas of Pp lost were 4.05 × 10^4 km^2, 2.07 × 10^4 km^2, the increase in high-fitness areas were 18.89 × 10^4 km^2, 46.56 × 10^4 km^2 (Fig. 9; Table A1).
Figure 9. The gain and loss of the suitable areas of Populus euphratica and Populus pruinosa in the future.

In the 2090s, the total suitable area of Pe under the SSP1-2.6 scenario was $189.91 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $116.99 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $92.92 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. The total suitable area of Pp was $188.65 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $96.26 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $92.39 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. Under the SSP5-5.8 scenario in 2090s, the total suitable area of Pe was $222.24 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $171.29 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $50.94 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. The total suitable area of Pp was $211.55 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the poorly-fitness area was $82.87 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, and high-fitness area was $128.68 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. In the 2090s, under SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8, the total suitable area and the poorly-fitness area of Pe were increased, the high-fitness area was decreased. The total suitable area and the high-fitness area of Pp were increased, the poorly-fitness area was decreased. (Fig. 8; Table A1).
In the 2090s, the high-fitness areas of *Pe* lost under the SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8 scenario were $11.97 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, $49.55 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the increase in high-fitness areas were $7.89 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, $24.59 \times 10^4$ km$^2$. The high-fitness areas of *Pp* lost were $0$ km$^2$, $4.63 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, the increase in high-fitness areas were $30.03 \times 10^4$ km$^2$, $67.46 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ (Fig. 9; Table A1).

The transfer of the center of *Pe* and *Pp* highly suitable areas under future climate conditions

The central coordinates of the *Pe* high-fitness area were $88.10^\circ$E, $40.83^\circ$N, located in Yuli County, Bayingolin Mongolian Autonomous Prefecture, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China (Fig. 10). In the 2050s, under the SSP1-2.6 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were $88.88^\circ$E, $41.19^\circ$N, under the SSP5-8.5 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were $86.58^\circ$E, $41.34^\circ$N, and the moving distance were $69.66$ km from the current to SSP1-2.6, $27.64$ km from the SSP1-2.6 to SSP5-8.5. In the 2090s, under the SSP1-2.6 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were $88.29^\circ$E, $41.30^\circ$N, under the SSP5-8.5 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were $92.82^\circ$E, $41.90^\circ$N, and the moving distance were $54.13$ km from the current to SSP1-2.6, $334.42$ km from the SSP1-2.6 to SSP5-8.5.

![Figure 10. The transfer of the center of the high-suitable area of *Populus euphratica* and *Populus pruinosa*](image)
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Under the current climate model, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area of \( Pp \) were 84.75°E and 39.31°N, located in Avati County, Aksu Prefecture, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China (Fig. 10). In the 2050s, under the SSP1-2.6 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were 90.31°E, 40.07°N, and the moving distance was 404.12 km from the current to SSP1-2.6, 20.47 km from the SSP1-2.6 to SSP5-8.5. In the 2090s, under the SSP1-2.6 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were 87.41°E, 39.68°N, under the SSP5-8.5 scenario, the central coordinates of the high-fitness area were 90.93°E, 40.53°N, and the moving distance were 192.77 km from the current to SSP1-2.6, 269.54 km from the SSP1-2.6 to SSP5-8.5.

**Discussion**

**Dominant environmental factors affecting the distribution of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \)**

Under the current climate models, the distribution of \( Pe \) was affected by the coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), the mean temperature of warmest quarter (Bio10), the coldest quarterly average temperature (Bio11) and the warmest quarterly precipitation (Bio18). The thresholds were -19 ~ -10°C, 22 ~ 26°C, -10 ~ -2°C and 14 ~ 64 mm, respectively. The distribution of \( Pp \) was affected by the coldest month (Bio6), the temperature Annual Range (Bio7), and the average temperature in the coldest quarter (Bio11). The thresholds were -16 ~ -8°C, 42 ~ 52°C and -7 ~ -1°C, respectively. The warmest quarterly precipitation (Bio18) was the key factor affecting the potential geographical distribution of \( Pe \) (Zhang et al., 2020). Li et al. (2020) found that the dominant variables affecting the distribution of \( Pe \) were the precipitation in the wettest month and the annual average temperature (Li et al., 2020). The distribution of \( Pe \) was limited by precipitation and temperature. This might be due to the fact that \( Pe \) growing on desert and dry river bed was greatly affected by the reduction of precipitation, which would affect the photosynthesis, growth and development of plants, as well as the maturity of seeds, thus limiting the expansion of \( Pe \) population. In addition, high temperature and low temperature stress would directly affect the enzyme activity in plants, thus affecting the life activities of plants. The distribution of \( Pp \) was limited by temperature, which might be due to the formation of forests on the floodplain where the \( Pp \) river overflows, and the high water content in the soil, which was less limited by precipitation. In addition, compared with \( Pe \), \( Pp \) was not resistant to low temperature, which might be one of the reasons why the latitude range of \( Pp \) distribution was smaller than that of \( Pe \).

**Distribution area of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \)**

The suitable area of \( Pe \) was mainly distributed in Xinjiang, northwestern Gansu, western Inner Mongolia, while the suitable area of \( Pp \) was mainly distributed in southern Xinjiang. The simulated suitable area of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) in this study was larger than the actual distribution area. Same as other research results (Zhuang et al., 2018). The possible reason was that the distribution of species was not only affected by climate and elevation variables, but also by soil, hydrological data, and other variables, followed by the migration ability of species and their reproductive ability, resulting in species unable to reach a suitable growth environment (Soberon et al., 2005). Both \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) were limited by temperature, which might be due to the formation of forests on the floodplain where the \( Pp \) river overflows, and the high water content in the soil, which was less limited by precipitation. In addition, compared with \( Pe \), \( Pp \) was not resistant to low temperature, which might be one of the reasons why the latitude range of \( Pp \) distribution was smaller than that of \( Pe \).
and \( Pp \) could reproduce through seeds and clones, but in these two cases, the migration ability and reproduction ability of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) were very limited. Seed germination requires soft silt and sufficient water. Clonal reproduction relied on developed roots, which limited the distance of reproduction.

At present, the predicted suitable area of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) were larger than the actual area because the distribution of species was affected not only by climate, terrain, soil, and other environmental variables but also by many factors that were not considered. For example, it was restricted by the migration ability of species, farmland reclamation, urban planning, and other human disturbances. Second, although MaxEnt was superior to other modelling methods in achieving high prediction performance using only existing data. However, similar to other niche prediction models, there were inevitable limitations. Although data collection had been conducted from various aspects, there might be an incomplete collection of geographic information data of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \).

Under the scenarios of the 2050s, SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8, the high-fitness area of \( Pe \) increased \( 1.484 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), \( 7.744 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), respectively. Under the scenarios of the 2090s, SSP1-2.6 and SSP5-5.8, the high-fitness area of \( Pe \) decreased \( 4.08 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), \( 24.96 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), respectively. The results showed that future climate change was unfavorable to the survival of \( Pe \). Zhang et al. (2020) showed that the area of potentially suitable areas for different grades of \( Pe \) had been reduced to different degrees compared with the current potentially suitable area (Zhang et al., 2020), which was consistent with the current research results. The current distribution of \( P. euphratica \) in this study was located between Zhang et al. (2020) and Li et al. (2020), which might be due to differences in model operation mode and climate factors (Zhang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020) (Table A1). In the future, the temperature would rise, and the higher temperature would lead to a decrease in precipitation and drought (Liu et al., 2021). The closer to the inland area a site was, the less precipitation there was, so the range of the \( Pe \) high-fitness area in Central Asia was reduced.

With climate change in the future, under the scenarios of the 2050s, SSP1-2.6, SSP5-5.8, and 2090s, SSP2-2.6, SSP5-5.8, the high-fitness area of \( Pp \) increased \( 1.484 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), \( 43.49 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), \( 30.03 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \) and \( 62.83 \times 10^4 \) \( \text{km}^2 \), respectively. The results showed that future climate change was more favorable to the survival of \( Pp \). Under the scenarios of the 2090s, SSP5-5.8, \( Pp \) had the largest high-fitness area. This might be because the temperature increases under this condition, which was just within the appropriate range of \( Pp \), and further explains the weak low temperature resistance of \( Pp \).

**The niche overlap and geographic distribution coincidence of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \)**

According to the simulation results of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) under the current climate, the niche overlap D and I values of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) were 0.533 and 0.775 respectively, with high niche overlap. The geographic distribution coincidence of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) was 0.6119. The selection and response of the two species to environmental factors showed some differences, which might be one of the reasons why the two species could adapt to each other and coexist stably for a long time in the case of large areas of overlapping habitats.

**The change in the high-fitness area center of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) under future climate**

The results showed that the centroid of potentially suitable area of \( Pe \) and \( Pp \) in China shifted to high-latitude areas under future climate scenarios. This result is
consistent with the moving direction of the center of the future adaptive zone of most plants (Bandh et al., 2021; Hou et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2019; Mao et al., 2017). Zhang’s research results showed that the potentially suitable area of \textit{Pe} will migrate to the high-altitude area as a whole (Zhang et al., 2020).

**Conservation strategies of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} resources**

Based on the current and future prediction results of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp}, \textit{Pe} was selected as a protected species. However, compared with \textit{Pe}, \textit{Pp} has a narrower distribution area, and its low temperature and drought resistance is poor. Therefore, the conservation of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} should not only consider the reduction in population in future suitable areas but also ensure that good protection measures are provided for the stability of the population. It is also necessary to consider the current population with a narrow distribution of suitable living areas, which is conducive to the expansion of narrow suitable living areas. Moreover, combining the existing genetic diversity results of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} in our laboratory, both had low genetic diversity in northern Xinjiang, China, and high genetic diversity in southern Xinjiang (Chen et al., 2021b; Warren et al., 2021). However, the populations of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} in southern Xinjiang had more abundant genetic diversity and ancient resources of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} than those in northern Xinjiang, and the drought degree in southern Xinjiang was more serious than that in northern Xinjiang. Therefore, the protection of the diversity of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} should not only consider populations with rich genetic diversity but also ensure a rich gene pool for the expansion of the population. It is also necessary to consider the low genetic diversity population preserved by natural selection, which is helpful to excavate excellent genotypes suitable for the specific natural ecological environment. Therefore, the protection of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} resources in the future should focus on the whole Xinjiang region of China.

In addition, ecological water conveyance projects could be implemented in key protected areas, and scientific ecological water conveyance measures could be taken according to the physical conditions of key protected areas (Fan et al., 2013). Through the establishment of natural reserves, gene resource protection bases, germplasm resource banks and other forms, the in-place protection and off-site protection of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} high-quality resources would be realized (Gai et al., 2021).

**Conclusions**

Based on the optimized MaxEnt model and environmental variables to predict suitable habitats of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp}. The study concluded that (1) the significant environmental variables influencing the Distribution area of \textit{Pe} were the coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), the mean Temperature of Warmest Quarter (Bio10), the coldest quarterly average temperature (Bio11), and the warmest quarterly precipitation (Bio18); the significant environmental variables influencing the Distribution area of \textit{Pp} were 'The coldest month minimum temperature (Bio6), the temperature Annual Range (Bio7), and the coldest quarterly average temperature (Bio11). (2) The suitable area of \textit{Pe} was mainly distributed in Xinjiang, northwestern Gansu, western Inner Mongolia, while the suitable area of \textit{Pp} was mainly distributed in southern Xinjiang. (3) \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} have high niche overlap and geographic distribution coincidence. (4) Under the future climate scenario, the potential high-fitness area of \textit{Pe} and \textit{Pp} would shift to higher latitudes.
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APPENDIX

Figure A1. Correlation analysis of 19 climatic data

Table A1. Suitable areas for Pe under different climate change scenarios in different articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Current ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2050s, 126/256 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2070, 126/245/360/585 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2090s, 126/256 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>Actual ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2020)</td>
<td>112.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (2020)</td>
<td>289.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>121.81/125.28/125.82/125.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. (2021)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>141.16</td>
<td>204.16/208.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>189.91/222.24</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chen et al. (2021) just include Aksu River basin, Xin Jang. The actual distribution areas of Pe came from Wang et al. (1995)

Table A2. Suitable areas for Pe and Pp under different climate change scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Current ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2050s, 126 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2050s, 585 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2090s, 126 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>2090s, 585 ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
<th>Actual ((\times 10^4 \text{ km}^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total area of Pe</td>
<td>141.16</td>
<td>204.16/208.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>189.91/222.24</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area of Pp</td>
<td>172.25</td>
<td>196.01/188.83</td>
<td>188.65/188.91</td>
<td>211.55/222.24</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-suitability area of Pe</td>
<td>76.81</td>
<td>93.33/85.85</td>
<td>92.92/92.39</td>
<td>50.94/128.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-suitability area of Pp</td>
<td>60.38</td>
<td>115.49/108.04</td>
<td>92.39/116.99</td>
<td>171.29/82.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poor-suitability area of Pe</td>
<td>64.35</td>
<td>110.83/122.3</td>
<td>116.99/96.26</td>
<td>82.87/-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poor-suitability area of Pp</td>
<td>111.86</td>
<td>80.52/80.79</td>
<td>96.26/-</td>
<td>-/-/-</td>
<td>-/-/-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The actual distribution areas of Pe and Pp came from Wang et al. (1995)