# NUTRIENTS AND NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF NINE ALOE SPECIES GROWN ON THE HIGHLANDS OF WESTERN SAUDI ARABIA

#### GALAL, T. M.<sup>1\*</sup> – ASEERI, S. A.<sup>1</sup> – SOLIMAN, M. A.<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biology Department, College of Sciences, Taif University, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia

<sup>2</sup>Botany Department, Faculty of Women for Arts, Science and Education, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

> \*Corresponding authors e-mail/phone: tarekhelwan@yahoo.com/+966-570-159-791; manar\_asm@yahoo.com/+966-563-781-646

> > (Received 8<sup>th</sup> Jun 2023; accepted 22<sup>nd</sup> Aug 2023)

**Abstract.** The present work compared nine *Aloe* species grown on the highlands of western Saudi Arabia depending on their nutrient contents and nutritive quality. The highest Ca and Na contents were recorded in the tissues of *Aloe hijacensis*, while the highest K and Mg were in *A. vera*, while the highest N and P contents were recorded in *A. armatissima* and *A. brunneodentata*, respectively. The highest nitrogen-free extract, total proteins and lipid contents were recorded in *A. castellorum*, *A. armatissima* and *A. parvicoma*, respectively, while the highest ash content and crude fibers in *A. sabaea*. The percentage of crude protein in the grazeable parts of all *Aloe* species except *A. parvicoma*, *A. castellorum* and *A. vera*, lie within the range required for the maintenance of animals, while the crude fiber content was quite low. *A. armatissima* had the highest value of digestible crude protein (DCP), while *A. parvicoma* had the highest value of the total digestible nutrients (TDN). Moreover, *A. castellorum* contributed to the highest values of all investigated species lie within the range of nutritive value of goat, dairy cattle, and beef cattle.

Keywords: Aloes, forage quality, grazeable parts, inorganic elements, organic compounds

#### Introduction

The species of *Aloe* L., known as lily of desert, nature's gift, plant of immortality and medicine plants, are perennial, leaf-succulent xerophytes, which are plants that adapted to survive in areas of low or erratic precipitation accompanied with structural and physiological adaptations (Newton, 2001). They are exceptionally heterogeneous, and members of the genus are found in almost every possible habitat, ranging from arid deserts through grasslands and savannas to misty coasts and moist, tropical forests. In these disparate environments, aloes have morphologically become extremely variable and have, for instance, diversified into a wide spectrum of growth forms including geophytes, small rosette plants, shrubs, climbers and small to large trees (Smith and Van Wyk, 1991). Aloe plants grow very well if adequately protected from cold weather; where aloes are injured at 2°C and generally killed at -1°C (Reynolds, 2004).

Almost all *Aloe* species are considered to have medicinal and/or cosmetic value, but a few are poisonous (Klopper and Smith, 2013). They have been used as medicinal plants for centuries to treat both humans and domestic animals (Reynolds, 2004). The topical and internal effects of aloes have been known since ancient times, whereas Nefertite and Cleopatra, two Egyptian queens, used aloes as a beauty aid (Reynolds, 2004). In addition, Dioscorides used aloe as a drug to heal skin ailments and hemorrhoids, and also Pliny the Elder, Celsus, Galen and other famous physicians used it to treat wounds and gastrointestinal disturbances, but no mention of aloes was made by either Hippocrates or Theophrastus (Shelton, 1991; Hennessee, 1998).

Some other uses are also based on the chemical content, where an insect repellent can be made by dried and burnt aloe leaves and similar preparations are used to protect animals against ticks and stored food against weevils (Newton and Vaughan, 1996). Some Aloe species are used to dye cloth and for making ink. The ash of dried A. ferox leaves is an ingredient in snuff prepared in some parts of South Africa. Aloes are not regarded as edible due to the bitter compounds in their leaves, but it was reported in South Africa that the leaves of A. ferox were used to make jam. However, flowers of various species are eaten in different parts of Africa. Young flowering shoots of A. kraussii and A. minima are eaten as raw vegetables by Zulus (Reynolds, 1950), who cook the flowers of A. boylei, A. cooperi and other species as a vegetable (Watt and Brever-Brandwijk, 1962). It is reported that the flowers of A. zebrina have been used to make cakes (Reynolds, 1950). In West Africa, flowers of A. macrocarpa are eaten by various tribes and used as a seasoning herb in cooking (Reynolds, 1966). Moreover, Newton and Vaughan (1996) reported that the dried leaf material may be mixed with tea leaves in South Africa. Furthermore, A. vera seems to play an important role in promoting growth in chickens (Bejarand Colapo, 2005) or in their health management (Mwale et al., 2005). As concerns the broiler chickens, the supplementation of their basal diet with 600 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> of Aloe powder, or Aloe water extract or Aloe ethanol extract or an extract mixture of all above, could improve production performance and immune function of male broilers, while the Aloe water extracts had better results than the others (Wang et al., 2007; Christaki and Florou-Paneri, 2010). Because Aloe species are often important to local people, there is considerable interest in the relationship among their use. The present work aims to compare between the nine Aloe species grown on the highlands of western Saudi Arabia depending on their Nutrients' content, besides their nutritive quality as fodder for animals.

# Materials and methods

## **Species collection**

Nine *Aloe* species were collected from different locations in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (Taif, Al-Baha, Abha and Jazan) to evaluate their nutrient contents and nutritional values (*Table 1*). These species are: *Aloe parvicoma* Lavranos & Collen., *Aloe x abhaica* Lavranos & Collen., *Aloe brunneodentata* Lavranos & Collen., *Aloe armatissima* Lavranos & Collen., *Aloe vera* var. *officinalis* (Forssk.) Baker, *Aloe sabaea* Schweinf., *Aloe Castellorum* Wood, *Aloe fleurentiniorum* Lavranos Newton and *Aloe hijazensis* Lavranos & Collen. Identification and nomenclature were carried out according to Migahid (1996), Collenette (1999), Chaudhary (2001). Many field visits were carried out to different locations in the kingdom to collect the target species (*Table 1*).

# Chemical investigations

## Inorganic elements

Three composite samples, from the leaves of each *Aloe* species, were taken from three different individuals, oven-dried at 70°C till constant weight, and then were

homogenized by grinding in a metal-free plastic mill and passed through a sieve of 2 mm mesh size (Ghazi et al., 2019). The total soluble nitrogen (N) was determined by Kjeldahl method, while P by molybdenum blue method using a spectrophotometer (CECIL CE 1021), and K was determined using a flame photometer (CORNING M410). A ground sample of 1 g was digested in 20 ml tri-acid mixture of HNO<sub>3</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub> (5:1:1, v/v/v) till a transparent color appeared. Plant digests were filtered through filter paper (Whatman no. 1) and diluted to 25 ml with double deionized water (Lu, 2000). Calcium, sodium, and potassium were analyzed using a flame photometer (CORNING M410); while magnesium was measured using atomic absorption photometer (Shimadzu AA-6200). All the above-mentioned procedures for plant analysis are outlined by Allen (1989). The instrument setting and operational conditions were done in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications.

Species	Date of collection	Location
Aloe parvicoma Lavranos & Collen.	18-1-2019	Al-Hawiya – Taif
Aloe x abhaica Lavranos & Collen.	18-1-2019	Al-Hawiya – Taif
Aloe brunneodentata Lavranos & Collen.	12/4/2019	Wadi Raidah, Asir
Aloe armatissima Lavranos & Collen.	13-7-2019	Al-Shafa – Taif
Aloe vera var. officinalis (Forssk.) Baker	12/12/2018	Taif & Abha
Aloe sabaea Schweinf.	26-6-2018	Jabal Shada - Al Bahah
Aloe castellorum Wood	21-5-2018	Jabal Fayfa, Jazan
Aloe fleurentiniorum Lavranos Newton	16-5-2018	Bani Malik, South Taif
Aloe hijazensis Lavranos & Collen	13-7-2019	Al-Bahah

Table 1. Location and collection date of the nine study Aloe species

# Organic nutrients

Ash percentage was measured by ignition in muffle furnace by heating a gram of the dried sample at 550°C for 2 h until constant weight. Ether Extract (total lipids) was determined by extracting the plant with ether and crude fiber (CF) were determined by the Soxhlet extraction method (Allen, 1989). Crude protein (CP) was calculated by multiplying the insoluble nitrogen by the factor of 6.25 (Olberg, 1956). Digestible crude protein (DCP) was calculated according to *Equation 1* of Demarquilly and Weiss (1970):

DCP (as % DM) = 
$$0.929$$
 CP (in %DM) -  $3.52$  (Eq.1)

Carbohydrate content (nitrogen free extract, NFE) was calculated according to *Equation 2* of Le Houérou (1980):

NFE (as % dry matter) = 
$$100-(CP + CF + crude fat + ash)$$
 (Eq.2)

where CP = crude protein and CF = crude fiber. In addition, total digestible nutrients (TDNs) were estimated according to *Equation 3* applied by (Naga and El-Shazly, 1971):

TDN (as % DM) = 
$$0.62 (100 + 1.25 \text{ EE}) - PK$$
 (Eq.3)

where EE is the percentage of ether extract, P is the percentage of crude protein, and K is the coefficient that depends on the protein and fiber contents (0.7). Moreover, digestible energy (DE) was estimated following *Equation 4* (NRC, 1984):

$$DE (Mcal kg-1) = 0.0504 CP (\%) + 0.077 EE (\%) + 0.02 CF (\%) + 0.000377 NFE2 (\%) + 0.011 NFE (\%) - 0.152$$
(Eq.4)

Metabolized energy (ME) is 0.82 DE (Garrett, 1980) and net energy (NE) is 1/2 ME. Gross energy (GE) was calculated following this equation (NRC, 1984):

GE (Kcal 
$$100g^{-1}$$
) = 5.72CP + 9.5EE + 4.79 CF + 4.03NFE (Eq.5)

## Data analysis

The agglomerative clustering techniques, based on Euclidean distance and similarity coefficient (Kruskal, 1964), were applied to ensure that there was variation among the different collected *Aloe* specimens according to their chemical traits.

## Statistical analysis

The variation in the chemical characteristics among the different study species were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA 1), after testing the data for normality using goodness of fit test according to SPSS software (SPSS, 2006). A posthoc test was applied according to (Duncan's test) when differences are significant.

## **Results and discussion**

## Inorganic nutrients

The statistical data resulted from the application of ANOVA I on the nutrients' content indicated significant variation in all inorganic elements among the nine study species (Table 2). It was found that the highest Ca and Na contents (40.35 and 33.15 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were recorded in the tissues of A. hijacensis, while the highest K and Mg (40.84 and 14.01 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in A. vera var. officinalis. Rajendran et al. (2007) and Mahor and Ali (2016) analyzed the inorganic elements in A. vera, which showed that concentrations of K, Mg and Na were more than 0.2 mg. They stated that P, K, Na and Ca are macro elements present in high amount in the leaves of A. vera. K and Na both are essential, since they play a crucial role in the cellular homeostasis (Pohl et al., 2013), however Ca is main component in bone and helpful for regulating skeletal and cardiac muscles contractions (Toyoshima et al., 2000). In addition, Mg is abundantly present in the human being (Pawar and Kamble, 2015); it plays crucial role in lipid membrane stabilization, replication, and metabolic processes (Yang et al., 2006; Payandeh et al., 2013). Moreover, the highest N content (1.71%) was recorded in A. armatissima and the highest P (0.72 and 0.63%) were recorded in A. brunneodentata and A. verra, respectively with no significant differences. However, the lowest N content (0.56%) was recorded in A. parvicoma tissues, and the lowest P (0.12, 0.19 and 0.24%) were recorded in A. parvicoma, A. fleurentiniourom, and A. castellorum tissues, respectively with no significant differences. On the other side, the lowest Ca, Mg and Na concentrations (12.07, 3.96 and 8.91 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in *A. brunneodentata*, while the lowest K (7.12 and 7.56 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in *A. sabaea* and *A*.

*armatissima*, respectively with no significant difference. Shah et al. (2016) in *A. vera* recorded lower contents of Ca, K, P, Mg and higher Na than the present study, while Adesuyi et al. (2012) recorded lower K, P, Mg and Na contents. According to Owoade and Adeoye (2016), the presence of these minerals N, P, K, Ca and Mg in Aloe plants shows that they are all important to the plant. Based on the present results, the study *Aloe* species can be considered rich sources of minerals.

According to Boudet and Riviere (1968), the Na, K, Ca, Mg and P contents of the study species meet the level required for the maintenance of animals. In addition, the above-ground tissues had Na, K, Ca and P contents that exceed the maximum tolerable level (NRC, 1984). Moreover, the concentration of inorganic nutrients is lower than that of the mean natural forage in the western Mediterranean coastal rangeland (El-Kady, 2002).

	Inorganic element							
Species	Total N	Total P K Ca		Ca	Mg	Na		
	%		mg g <sup>-1</sup>					
Aloe hijazensis	$1.02\pm0.02f$	$0.54\pm0.1 bc$	$21.39 \pm 1.9 b \\$	$\underline{40.35\pm7.12a}$	$7.12\pm0.65e$	$\underline{33.15\pm 4.49a}$		
Aloe castellorum	$0.95\pm0.03g$	$0.24\pm0.05 ef$	$14.07\pm2.09c$	$29.25\pm2.18c$	$10.35\pm0.87c$	$25.15\pm2.61b$		
Aloe fleurentiniorum	$1.32\pm0.1\text{c}$	$0.19\pm0.03ef$	$8.21 \pm 1.95 \text{de}$	$30.39\pm2.50c$	$6.54\pm0.60\text{e}$	$19.14\pm2.95d$		
Aloe sabaea	$1.15\pm0.05e$	$0.33\pm0.07\text{de}$	$\underline{7.12\pm0.23e}$	$27.18 \pm \mathbf{3.90c}$	$8.28\pm0.31\text{d}$	$15.26\pm1.73e$		
Aloe brunneodentata	$1.46\pm0.04b$	$\underline{0.72\pm0.12a}$	$19.11 \pm 1.06b$	$\underline{12.07\pm0.80f}$	$\underline{3.96\pm0.25f}$	$\underline{8.91\pm0.25f}$		
Aloe vera var. officinalis	$0.81 \pm 0.02 h$	$0.63\pm0.08ab$	$\underline{40.84\pm3.45a}$	$36.41\pm2.76b$	$\underline{14.01 \pm 1.80a}$	$16.22\pm2.65e$		
Aloe abhaica	$1.24\pm0.03d$	$0.29\pm0.07e$	$15.05\pm1.15c$	$18.22\pm3.21e$	$9.41 \pm 1.50 c$	$22.47 \pm 1.47 c$		
Aloe armatissima	$\underline{1.71\pm0.04a}$	$0.47 \pm 0.13 \text{cd}$	$7.56 \pm 0.44 \text{de}$	$21.83 \pm 1.42 d \\$	$12.39 \pm 1.80 b \\$	$19.12\pm1.97\text{d}$		
Aloe parvicoma	$\underline{0.56\pm0.03i}$	$\underline{0.12\pm0.04f}$	$10.41\pm0.54d$	$13.05\pm1.65 f$	$7.48\pm0.43\text{de}$	$21.11 \pm 2.29 cd$		
<b>F-value</b>	301.5*	17.8*	132.5*	73.2*	75.1*	76.6*		

*Table 2.* Variations in the inorganic elements (Mean  $\pm$  SD) in the tissues of the nine Aloe species

Means with the same letters in the same column are not significant different (Duncan's test). \* p < 0.05. Maximum and minimum values are underlined

Based on the inorganic nutrients' constituents of the nine Aloe species (*Fig. 1*), five clusters were produced from the application of the agglomerative clustering technique, which are: A) included A. hijacensis; B) included A. castellorum, A. fleurentiniorum, A. sabaea and A. armatissima; C) comprised A. abhaica and A. parvicoma; D) included A. brunneodentata; and E) comprised A. vera var. officinalis. It is worth to note that, no significant differences in some inorganic elements among A. castellorum, A. fleurentiniorum, A. sabaea, A. armatissima and A. parvicoma (*Table 2*). Moreover, the Wisconsin polar ordination confirmed the segregation of the study species into the same 5 clusters (*Fig. 2*). The statistical analysis represented by the post-hoc test (Duncan's test) revealed that there are significant differences in the inorganic elements among the study species with marked similarity between *A. castellorum*, *A. fleurentiniorum*, *A. sabaea* and *A. armatissima*.

## Organic nutrients

The statistical data of ANOVA I indicated significant variation in the organic nutrients among the nine Aloe species (*Table 3*). In addition, there are significant differences between the study species according to the post-hoc test. It was observed

that the highest nitrogen-free extract (NFE), total proteins and lipid contents (93.26, 10.71 and 0.73%) were recorded in the tissues of *A. castellorum*, *A. armatissima* and *A. parvicoma*, respectively. However, the highest ash content and crude fibers (0.41 and 3.22%) were recorded in *A. sabaea*. On the other side, *A. hijacensis*, *A. sabaea* and *A. armatissima* contributed the lowest values of crude fibers, lipid content and NFE (0.29, 0.03 and 87.98%, respectively), while *A. parvicoma* had the lowest ash content and total proteins (0.08 and 3.52%).



*Figure 1.* The dendrogram resulting from the application of the agglomerative clustering technique on the inorganic constituents of the nine study Aloe species



*Figure 2.* Similarity ordination resulting from the application of non-metric similarity analysis on the inorganic constituents of the nine study Aloe species

Añibarro-Ortega et al. (2019) recorded 50.1% dietary fibers and 37.4% carbohydrates, while Femenia et al. (1999) recorded 57.6% fibers in *A. vera* tissues. However, Miranda et al. (2009), Adesuyi et al. (2012), Ahmed and Hussain (2013) and Muñoz et al. (2015) reported 12.9, 7.8, 73.4 and 1.38% crude fibers, 4.2, 0.27, 2.91 and 2.07% fats 15.4-17.6, 2.4, 16.88 and 17.20% ash and 3.7-7.3, 4.7, 6.86 and 6.11%

protein content, respectively in the tissues of *A. vera*. Such compositional variations can be justified by the different geographical and edaphoclimatic conditions, where *Aloe* species were grown (Añibarro-Ortega et al., 2019).

<i>Table 3.</i> Variations in the organic elements (Mean $\pm$ SD) in the	e tissues of the nine Aloe
species	

	Organic nutrient							
Species	EE	CF	Ash	Ash TP NFE				
	%							
Aloe hijazensis	$0.31\pm0.04e$	$\underline{0.29\pm0.03i}$	$0.25\pm0.04b$	$6.38\pm0.13f$	$92.81 \pm 11.47 b$			
Aloe castellorum	$0.13\pm0.02g$	$0.47\pm0.01h$	$0.22\pm0.04b$	$5.92\pm0.57g$	$\underline{93.26 \pm 13.64a}$			
Aloe fleurentiniorum	$0.20\pm0.01f$	$1.32\pm0.03\text{d}$	$0.27\pm0.06b$	$8.27 \pm 1.26 c$	$89.93 \pm 3.75 d$			
Aloe sabaea	$\underline{0.03\pm0.01h}$	$\underline{3.22\pm0.10a}$	$\underline{0.41\pm0.07a}$	$7.19\pm 0.31e$	$89.14 \pm 15.93 e$			
Aloe brunneodentata	$0.34\pm0.01\text{d}$	$2.02\pm0.04c$	$0.13\pm0.03c$	$9.13\pm0.25b$	$88.39 \pm \mathbf{3.78f}$			
Aloe vera var. officinalis	$0.61\pm0.09b$	$1.02\pm0.05e$	$0.23\pm0.04b$	$5.06\pm0.13h$	$93.07 \pm 12.64 ab$			
Aloe abhaica	$0.20\pm0.03f$	$0.64\pm0.01\text{g}$	$0.14\pm0.03c$	$7.75\pm 1.87d$	$91.27\pm19.73c$			
Aloe armatissima	$0.41\pm0.06c$	$0.78\pm0.01f$	$0.13\pm0.04c$	$\underline{10.71\pm2.60a}$	$\underline{87.98 \pm 12.58g}$			
Aloe parvicoma	$\underline{0.73\pm0.03a}$	$2.48\pm0.65b$	$\underline{0.08\pm0.03c}$	$\underline{3.52\pm0.19i}$	$93.19\pm12.59a$			
<b>F-value</b>	176.7*	205.7*	15.7*	301.5*	328.9*			

Means with the same letters in the same column are not significant different (Duncan's test). \* p < 0.05. Maximum and minimum values are underlined

EE: ether extract, CF: crude fiber, TP: total protein, NFE: nitrogen free extract

Moreover, Adesuyi et al. (2012), Haque et al. (2014) and Muñoz et al. (2015) recorded 73.08, 56.3 and 50.41%, respectively carbohydrate content in A. vera, which is lower figure than those recorded in the present study. Carbohydrate is a good source that provide readily accessible fuel for physical performance and regulate nerve tissue (Whimey and Rolfes, 2005). They also recorded 19.5% ash content, which is higher than those recorded in the present study. Ash content reflects the mineral preserved in the sample represents the total mineral content essential for the proper functioning of the tissues and act as second messengers in some biological cascade mechanisms (Antia et al., 2006). Protein, which would be serve as enzymatic catalyst, mediate cell responses, control growth and cell differentiation (Whimey and Rolfes, 2005) was 10.50% in the tissues of A. vera, which is higher than that recorded for all investigated Aloe species except A. armatissima (10.71%). Furthermore, crude fat content was 1.83% (Haque et al., 2014), which is higher and 0.27% (Adesuyi et al., 2012), which is lower than those recorded in the present study. Fats are universally stored forms of energy in living organisms, and they are the major structural elements of biological membranes as phospholipids and sterols (Nelson and Cox, 2008).

As fodder availability and access is becoming limited, the response of farmers has been to keep fewer heads of cattle (Tabuti, 2009; Ghazi et al., 2019). According to Heneidy (2002), crude protein and crude fibers are considered as an indicator of the nutritional value of food for grazing animals. The percentage of crude protein in the grazeable parts of the *Aloe* species (3.52-10.72%), which indicated that all *Aloe* species except *A. parvicoma*, *A. castellorum* and *A. vera*, lie within the range (6-12% of forage dry matter) required for the maintenance of animals, while the crude fiber content was

quite low. Although the protein content in the tissues of the study species were in the maintenance requirement range, it did not exceed the value of *Trifolium alexandrinum* (16.2% Chauhan et al., 1980).

Based on the organic constituents of the study species, the agglomerative clustering technique produced 5 clusters (*Fig. 3*): A) included *A. castellorum, A. hijacensis* and *A. vera* var. *officinalis;* B) comprised *A. parvicoma*; C) comprised *A. fleurentiniorum* and *A.* abhaica; D) included A. sabaea; and E) included A. armatissima and A. brunneodentata. It is north noting that the statistical analysis confirmed that there are no significant differences among A. castellorum, A. hijacensis and A. vera var. officinalis. Moreover, the Wisconsin polar ordination confirmed the segregation of the study species into the same 5 clusters (*Fig. 4*). The statistical analysis represented by the posthoc test (Duncan's test) revealed that there are significant differences in the organic nutrients among the study species.



*Figure 3.* The dendrogram resulting from the application of the agglomerative clustering technique on the organic constituents of the nine study Aloe species



*Figure 4.* Similarity ordination resulting from the application of non-metric similarity analysis on the organic constituents of the nine study Aloe species

#### Nutritive value

According to the nutrient value of the study *Aloe* species (*Table 4*), it was found that *A*. armatissima had the highest value (6.43%) of digestible crude protein (DCP), while A. parvicoma had the highest value (60.33%) of the total digestible nutrients (TDN). On the other hand, the lowest DCP and TDN values (1.18 and 54.91%) were recorded in A. vera var. officinalis and A. armatissima, respectively. Moreover, A. castellorum contributed to the highest values of digestible energy (DE), metabolized energy (ME) and net energy (NE) (4.47, 3.67 and 1.83 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) with significant similar values for A. hijazensis and A. vera, while A. sabaea had the lowest (4.25, 3.49 and 1.74 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, the highest and lowest values of the gross energy (423.39 and 413.17 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in the tissues of A. armatissima and A. castellorum, respectively. It is worth to note that there were no significant differences in the nutritive values of A. castellorum, A. hijacensis and A. vera var. officinalis. Añibarro-Ortega et al. (2019) recorded a low energy value (269 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>) for A. vera plants.

	Nutritive value							
Species	DCP	TDN	DE	E ME NE		GE		
	%		Mcal kg <sup>-1</sup>					
Aloe hijazensis	2.40±0.12f	57.95±4.86c	4.42±0.79a	3.62±0.64a	1.81±0.03a	415.28±12.41f		
Aloe castellorum	1.98±0.15g	58.14±1.17c	4.47±0.78a	<u>3.67±0.64a</u>	<u>1.83±0.32a</u>	413.17±17.39g		
Aloe fleurentiniorum	4.16±0.24c	56.50±1.98f	4.35±0.15d	3.56±0.12d	1.78±0.06d	417.99±5.88c		
Aloe sabaea	3.16±0.29e	57.15±2.25d	4.25±0.32g	<u>3.49±0.26g</u>	<u>1.74±0.13g</u>	416.10±7.34e		
Aloe brunneodentata	4.96±0.23b	55.99±1.72g	$4.29{\pm}0.88f$	$3.52{\pm}0.72f$	1.76±0.36f	421.29±3.80b		
Aloe vera var. officinalis	1.18±0.12h	59.13±4.82b	4.46±0.77a	3.66±0.63a	1.83±0.31a	414.73±9.93f		
Aloe abhaica	3.68±0.17d	56.88±1.39e	4.41±0.72c	3.62±0.59c	1.81±0.30c	417.13±8.98d		
Aloe armatissima	<u>6.43±0.24a</u>	<u>54.91±1.92h</u>	4.32±0.01e	3.54±0.87e	1.77±0.43e	$\underline{423.39 \pm 2.64a}$		
Aloe parvicoma	1.75±0.18g	<u>60.33±1.33a</u>	4.43±0.78b	3.63±0.64b	1.82±0.32b	414.46±4.97f		
<b>F-value</b>	211.4*	331.8*	41.1*	41.1*	311.6*	200.1*		

Table 4.	Variations	in the	nutritive value	(Mean + SD)	) o	f the	nine Aloe	snecies
1 uvic 7.	variations	in inc	mannie vane	(mean ± DD	10	inc	mine moe	species

Means with the same letters in the same column are not significant different (Duncan's test). \* p < 0.05. Maximum and minimum values are underlined

DCP: digestible crude protein, TDN: total digestible nutrients, DE: digestible energy, ME: metabolized energy, NE: net energy and GE: gross energy

The total digestible nutrients (TDN) are an appropriate measure of the food energy available to animals only after the digestion losses have been deducted (El-Beheiry, 2009). The mean value of TDN of the above-ground parts of the study species meets the diet requirements of breeding cattle (50.0%; NRC, 1984), but not for sheep (61.7%; NRC, 1975). Moreover, the digestible energy of all species was higher figure than required (2.7 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>) by sheep (NRC, 1985). In addition, the value of metabolized energy of the above-ground shoots was 3.49-3.67 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>, which meet the requirement of sheep and breeding cattle (NRC, 1985, 1984) as well as the previous estimate (2.5 Mcal kg<sup>-1</sup>) of *Trifolium alexandrinum* (Chauhan et al., 1980). It seems that the nutritive values of all investigated species lie within the range of nutritive value of goat (NRC, 1981), dairy cattle (NRC, 1978) and beef cattle (NRC, 1984).

According to Bachman et al. (2020), the major threats to *Aloe* species are the expansion and intensification of crop farming and livestock farming, but important additional threats include gathering of plants and the unintentional effects of logging and wood harvesting.

Regarding the nutritive values of the study *Aloe* species, the agglomerative clustering technique resulted in the segregation of 6 clusters (*Fig. 5*): A) included *A. hijacensis* and *A. sabaea;* B) included *A. fleurentiniorum* and *A. abhaica;* C) comprised *A. castelloru;* D) included *A. vera* var. *officinalis* and *A. parvicoma;* E) comprised *A. armatissima;* and F) comprised *A. brunneodentata.* Moreover, the Wisconsin polar ordination confirmed the segregation of the study species into the same 6 clusters (*Fig. 6*).



*Figure 5.* The dendrogram resulting from the application of the agglomerative clustering technique on the nutritive value of the nine study Aloe species



*Figure 6.* Similarity ordination resulting from the application of non-metric similarity analysis on the nutritive value of the nine study Aloe species

## Conclusion

There are significant differences in the inorganic and organic elements among the study species with marked similarity between A. castellorum, A. fleurentiniorum, A.

sabaea and A. armatissima. The results of proteins and carbohydrates indicated significant variation between the nine study Aloe species. The percentage of crude protein in the grazeable parts of all *Aloe* species except *A. parvicoma, A. castellorum* and *A. vera*, lie within the range required for the maintenance of animals, while the crude fiber content was quite low. The nutritive values of all investigated species lie within the range of nutritive value of goat, dairy cattle, and beef cattle. Under monitoring conditions, all the investigated species except the endemic *A. armatissima* can be daily used since they are available in considerable amounts, and over grazing significantly threat the species diversity.

Acknowledgements. The researchers would like to acknowledge Deanship of Scientific Research, Taif University for funding this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adesuyi, A. O., Awosanya, O. A., Adaramola, F. B., Omeonu, A. I. (2012): Nutritional and phytochemical screening of *Aloe barbadensis*. Current Research Journal of Biological Science 4(1): 4-9.
- [2] Ahmed, M., Hussain, F. (2013): Chemical composition and biochemical activity of aloe vera (*Aloe Barbadensis* Miller) leaves. International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Science 3: 29-33.
- [3] Allen, S. E. (1989): Chemical Analysis of Ecological Materials. Blackwell Scientific Publications, London.
- [4] Añibarro-Ortega, M., Pinela, J., Barros, L., Ćirić, A., Silva, S. P., Coelho, E., Mocan, A., Calhelha, R. C., Soković, M., Coimbra, M. A., Ferreira, I. C. (2019): Compositional features and bioactive properties of *Aloe vera* leaf (fillet, mucilage, and rind) and flower. Antioxidants 8(444): 1-21.
- [5] Antia, B. S., Akpan, E. J., Okon, P.A., Umoren, I. U. (2006): Nutritive and anti-nutritive evaluation of sweet potatoes (*Ipomoea batatas*) leaves. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 5: 166-168.
- [6] Bachman, S. P., Wilkin, P., Reader, T., Field, R., Weber, O., Nordal, I., Demissew, S. (2020): Extinction risk and conservation gaps for *Aloe* (Asphodelaceae) in the Horn of Africa. – Biodiversity and Conservation 29(1): 77-98.
- [7] Bejar, F. R., Colapo, R. P. (2005): Growth performance and sensory evaluation of broilers supplemented with *Aloe vera (Aloe barbadensis)* extract in drinking water. – 42 PSAS National Convention, Manila, Philippines.
- [8] Boudet, G., Riviere, R. (1968): Emploi practique des analyses fourageres pour l'appreciation pasturages tropicaux. Revue d'Elevage et de Medecine Veterinaire des Pays Tropicaux 2(21): 227-266.
- [9] Chaudhary, S. (2001): Flora of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Ministry of Agriculture and Water, Riyadh.
- [10] Chauhan, T. R., Gill, R. S., Ichhponani, J. S. (1980): Nutritive value of berseem and clusterbean forages. Indian Journal of Animal Science 50(12): 1052-1055.
- [11] Christaki, E. V., Florou-Paneri, P. C. (2010): *Aloe vera*: A plant for many uses. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment 8(2): 245-249.
- [12] Collentette, S. (1999): Wild Flowers of Saudi Arabia. National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development, Riyadh.
- [13] Demarquilly, C., Weiss, P. (1970): Tableau de la valeur alimentaire des fourrages. Et. 42: Versailles INRA-SEI.

- [14] El-Beheiry, M. A. (2009): Nutritive evaluation of two *Acacia* populations in southwestern Saudi Arabia. Journal of Applied Science Research 5(8): 1030-1039.
- [15] El-Kady, H. (2002): Seasonal variation in phytomass and nutrient status of Phragmites australis along the water courses in the Middle Delta region. – Taekholmia 20(2): 123-138.
- [16] Femenia, A., Sánchez, E. S., Simal, S., Rosselló, C. (1999): Compositional features of polysaccharides from *Aloe vera (Aloe barbadensis Miller)* plant tissues. – Carbohydrate Polymers 39: 109-117.
- [17] Garrett, W. N. (1980): Energy Utilization of Grown Cattle as Determined in Seventy-Two Comparative Slaughter Experiments. – In: Mount, L. A. (ed.) Energy Metabolism. EAAP Publ. No. 26, London.
- [18] Ghazi, S. M., Galal, T. M., Husein, K. H. (2019): Monitoring water pollution in the Egyptian watercourses: a phytoremediation approach. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken.
- [19] Haque, M. Z., Islam, M. B., Jalil, M. A., Shafique, M. Z. (2014): Proximate analysis of *Aloe vara* leaves. Journal of Applied Chemistry 7(6): 36-40.
- [20] Heneidy, S. Z. (2002): Browsing and nutritive value of the most common range species in Matruh area, a coastal Mediterranean region, Egypt. Ecologia Mediterranea 28: 39-49.
- [21] Hennessee, O. M. (1998): Some history about *Aloe vera*. http://www.aloe-vera.com/aloel.html.
- [22] Klopper, R. R., Smith, G. F. (2013): Aloes of the world: Where, when and who? Aloe 50: 44-52.
- [23] Kruskal, J. B. (1964): Nonmetric multidimensional scaling: a numerical method. Psychometrika 29: 115-129.
- [24] Le Houérou, H. N. (1980): Chemical composition and nutritive value of browse in Tropical West Africa. – In: Le Houérou (ed.) Browse in Africa. ILCA, Addis Ababa, pp. 261-289.
- [25] Lu, R. K. (2000): Methods of Inorganic Pollutants Analysis. In: Soil and Agro-chemical Analysis Methods. Agricultural Science and Technology Press, Beijing.
- [26] Mahor, G., Ali, S. A. (2016): Recent update on the medicinal properties and use of *Aloe vera* in the treatment of various ailments. Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communication 9(2): 273-288.
- [27] Migahid, A. M. (1996): Flora of Saudi Arabia. Fourth Ed. King Saud University Press, Riyadh.
- [28] Miranda, M., Maureira, H., Rodríguez, K., Vega-Gálvez, A. (2009): Influence of temperature on the drying kinetics, physicochemical properties, and antioxidant capacity of *Aloe vera* (*Aloe Barbadensis* Miller) gel. – Journal of Food Engineering 91: 297-304.
- [29] Muñoz, O. M., Leal, X., Quitral, V., Cardemil, L. (2015): Extraction, characterization and properties of the gel of *aloe vera* (*Aloe Barbadensis* Miller) cultivated in Chile. – Medicinal and Aromatic Plants 4(3): 1-7. DOI: 10.4172/2167-0412.1000199.
- [30] Mwale, M., Bhebhe, E., Chimonyo, M., Halimani, T. E. (2005): Use of herbal plants in poultry health management in the Mushagashe small-scale commercial farming area in Zimbabwe. – International Journal of Applied Research in Veterinary Medicine 3: 163-170.
- [31] Naga, M. A., El-Shazly, K. (1971): The prediction of the nutritive value of animal feeds from chemical analysis. Journal of Agriculture Science 77(1): 25-31.
- [32] Nelson, D. L., Cox, M. M. (2008): Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry. 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. W. H. Freeman and Company, New York.
- [33] Newton, D. J., Vaughan, H. (1996): South Africa's *Aloe ferox* plant, parts and derivatives industry. Traffic East/Southern Africa, South African National Office, Johannesburg.
- [34] Newton, L. E. (2001): *Aloe.* In: Eggli, U. (ed.) Illustrated Handbook of Succulent Plants. Monocotyledons. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 103-186.

http://www.aloki.hu • ISSN 1589 1623 (Print) • ISSN 1785 0037 (Online)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/2106\_54815494

© 2023, ALÖKI Kft., Budapest, Hungary

- [35] NRC (1975): Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals: Nutrient Requirement of Sheep. 5th Ed. Natl. Res. Council USA, Washington, DC.
- [36] NRC (1978): Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals: Nutrient Requirement of Dairy Cattle. 5th Ed. Natl. Res. Council USA, Washington, DC.
- [37] NRC (1981): Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals: Nutrient Requirement of Goats. National Research Council No. 15. – National Academy of Science, Washington, DC.
- [38] NRC (1984): Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals: Nutrient Requirement of Beef Cattle. 6th Ed. National Research Council No. 5. National Academic Sciences, Washington, DC.
- [39] NRC (1985): Nutrient Requirements of Domestic Animals: Nutrient Requirement of Sheep. 6<sup>th</sup> Ed. Research Council Pamphlets No. 5. – National Academic Sciences, Washington, DC.
- [40] Olberg, K. (1956): Factors affecting the nutritive value of range forage. Journal of Range Management 9: 220-225.
- [41] Owoade, F. M., Adeoye, G. O. (2016): Nutritive value and nutrient uptake of *Aloe Vera* L. Burm. F. grown in beach sand as influenced by nutrient application. – Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences 11(2): 37-42.
- [42] Pawar, S. G., Kamble, V. M. (2015): Quantitative assessment of mineral composition of *Aloe vera* (L.) Burm. f. leaves by ICP-MS and CHNS analyzer. – International Journal of Science and Research 4(10): 1372-1376.
- [43] Payandeh, J., Pfoh, R., Pai, E. F. (2013): The structure and regulation of magnesium selective ion channels. Biochimica et Biophyica Acta 1828: 2778-2792.
- [44] Pohl, H. R., Wheeler, J. S., Murray, H. E. (2013): Sodium and Potassium in Health and Disease. – In: Sigel, A., Sigel, H., Sigel, R. K. (eds.) Interrelations between Essential Metal Ions and Human Diseases. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 29-47.
- [45] Rajendran, A., Narayananand, V., Gnanavel, I. (2007): Study on the analysis of trace elements in *Aloe vera* and its biological importance. Journal of Applied Sciences Research 3(11): 1476-1478.
- [46] Reynolds, G. W. (1950): The Aloes of South Africa. Aloes of South Africa Book Fund, Johannesburg.
- [47] Reynolds, G. W. (1966): The Aloes of Tropical Africa and Madagascar. The Aloes Book Fund, Mbabane, Swaziland.
- [48] Reynolds, T. (2004): Aloes: The Genus *Aloe*. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Industrial Profiles. CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- [49] Shah, S. K., Jhade, D., Pandey, H. (2016): *Aloe Vera Mill*: its ethnobotany, nutrients and pharmacological profile. International Journal Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research 39(1): 86-94.
- [50] Shelton, R. M. (1991): *Aloe vera*. Its chemical and therapeutic properties. International Journal of Dermatology 30: 679-683.
- [51] Smith, G. F., Van Wyk, B. E. (1991): Generic relationships in the Alooideae (Asphodelaceae). Taxon. 40: 557-581.
- [52] SPSS (2006): SPSS base 15.0 User's Guide. SPSS Inc., Chicago.
- [53] Tabuti, R. S. (2009): Fodder plants for cattle in Kaliro District, Uganda. African Study Monographs 30(3): 161-170.
- [54] Toyoshima, C., Nakasako, M., Nomura, H., Ogawa, H. (2000): Crystal structure of the calcium pump of sarcoplasmic reticulum at 2.6 Å resolution. Nature 405: 647-655.
- [55] Wang, C., Jia, H., Tong, J., Gao, W., Sa, R., Zhang, Q. (2007): Effect of aloe powder and extract on production performance and immune function of broiler chickens. Journal of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University 12: 6-12.
- [56] Watt, J. M., Breyer-Brandwijk, M. G. (1962): Medicinal and Poisonous Plants of Southern and Eastern Africa. E. & S. Livingstone, Edinburgh.

- [57] Whimey, E. N., Rolfes, S. R. (2005): Understanding Nutrition. 10<sup>th</sup> Ed. Thomson/Wadsworth Publishing Company, Belmont, CA, pp. 132-137.
- [58] Yang, W., Lee, J. Y., Nowotny, M. (2006): Making and breaking nucleic acids: two-Mg<sup>2+</sup>-ion catalysis and substrate specificity. – Molecular Cell 22: 5-13.