# **ISOLATION, IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF**  *XANTHOMONAS AXONOPODIS PV. CITRI* **FROM SELECTED SPECIES**

KHAN, M. I.<sup>1</sup> – UR REHMAN, M.<sup>1</sup> – KHAN, I.<sup>1</sup> – SHAH, T. A.<sup>2</sup> – AZIZ, T.<sup>3\*</sup> – ALHARBI, M.<sup>4</sup> – ALSHAMMARI, A.<sup>4</sup> – ALASMARI, A. F.<sup>4</sup>

*<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Abbottabad University of Science & Technology, 22010 Havelian, Pakistan*

*<sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, University of Okara, Okara, Punjab, Pakistan*

*<sup>3</sup>Department of Agriculture, University of Ioannina, 47100 Arta, Greece*

*<sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia*

> *\*Corresponding author e-mail: [iwockd@gmail.com](mailto:iwockd@gmail.com)*

(Received 25<sup>th</sup> Apr 2023; accepted 1<sup>st</sup> Aug 2023)

**Abstract.** Citrus fruits, that belong to the *Rutaceae.* family, have a great economic, nutritional, and therapeutic importance. It has long been a significant source of foreign exchange for Pakistan. Unfortunately, various diseases affect its production and quality, the deadliest of which is known as citrus canker caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri.* The goal of current research was to isolate and characterize the strains causing citrus canker on the basis of phenotypical and genotypical features. Fruits with possible canker lesions were used for the isolation from the different Citrus Orchards in Khanpur, District Haripur, Pakistan. Citrus fruits, both symptomatic and asymptomatic, were sampled and evaluated during the survey. Only diseased citrus fruits yielded *Xanthomonas*-like bacterial strains were isolated and identified by carrying out different biochemical tests including catalase, Kovac's oxidase and KOH test. The isolated strains were further identified through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) by using specific ITS primers. Total of eight bacterial isolates were identified to be *Xanthomonas* spp*.* through biochemical tests and molecular results revelled the 2A and 2B bacterial isolates as., *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. *citri. (Xcc)*. Thus, it was concluded that isolated strains were pathogenic bacteria, that is an actual cause of bacterial canker in commercial citrus fruits. Our findings suggested that diseased citrus fruits, could act as a source of dispersal for citrus canker in areas like Pakistan.

**Keywords:** *citrus canker, Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri, phenotypical and genotypical characterization*

#### **Introduction**

Citrus is a member of the *Rutaceae* family of trees and shrubs. Citrus is thought to have originated in the southern Himalayas, China's northeast, and India (Gmitter and Hu, 1990). Citrus fruits, such as oranges, lemons, and grapefruits, are an important crop in Pakistan with multiple economic, nutritional, medicinal, culinary, and employment benefits. It is one of the top fruits exported by the country. The export of citrus contributes significantly to Pakistan's economy. Citrus fruits are a rich source of vitamin C, which is essential for human health. They also contain other vitamins and minerals, including potassium, folate, and thiamine (Neves et al., 2010). It has been used for medicinal purposes for centuries. They are believed to have anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, and anti-viral properties, among others. Citrus fruits are widely used in Pakistani cuisine, particularly in the preparation of drinks, desserts, and chutneys (Buono et al., 2023).

Asiatic citrus canker (ACC) is one of the most challenging citrus diseases in the world. *Xanthomonas pv. citri*, causes, citrus canker. and is very infectious. Although an infestation can wipe out entire orchard crops, the illness is not harmful to humans or animals. Citrus canker is a severe issue in Asia and South America, limiting citrus supply. When the disease strikes during the early phases of plant development, it causes significant losses (Iqbal et al., 2007). Grapefruit, sweet orange, and lime are all affected by canker in strongly infested locations. There is no cure, and resistance cannot be bred into the population. This is especially true in areas prone to tropical storms. Hundreds of million of dollars are expended each year on disease inhibition, quarantine, suppression, and regulatory programmes around the world. The trade of fruit coining from contaminated zones is no doubt the most devastating consequence of citrus canker outbreaks which has great impact on business (Saikia et al., 2021; Yasuhara-Bell et al., 2023).

Early spring is when bacterial cells proliferate and spread through various sources, including wind-driven rain, air streams, and natural world activities. Long-distance transmission is primarily caused by infected plants, seedlings, and berries, and commercial shipments of sick fruit. Contaminated clothing, tools, and materials used in harvesting and post-harvest handling can also spread the disease. Fruits are sensitive for longer periods, causing multiple infections and varying wounds. Late autumn contamination is generally latent, with the virus becoming active in the succeeding season. One of the most essential activities in the disease decrease is the prevention of primary infection on fresh shoots. Chemical control tactics aren't completely successful when the environment is conducive to the spread of disease. The most effective bactericidal sprays for safeguarding plants and fruits are copper-based products (Borde/aux, mixture, copp/er, hydroxide, basic copp/er , chloride, copp/er oxychloride, and tri-basic, copper sulphate) (Koshariya et al., 2023). These materials can help to minimize the spread of disease, but they won't be able to eliminate existing diseases. Copper use in large quantities may generate phytotoxicity issues in treated groves (Zhao et al., 2023; Chaube et al., 1992; Shouket et al., 2023). Koller et al. (2006) proposed at high inoculum concentrations, copper treatments were ineffective in reducing citrus canker; but, at low inoculum absorptions, both Bordeaux, mixture and copper oxychloride were beneficial in controlling the illness. Only 13.4 percent of citrus orchard strains that had never been exposed to copper sprays thrived. The tolerance of the bacterium to copper was improved by mixing mancozeb with copper. As a result, the use of mancozeb in combination with copper for controlling citrus canker bacteria should be reconsidered (Meneguim et al., 2007). Foliar sprays of copper. hydroxide was the most active in directing canker (800 ppm). Windbreaks, together with the treatment of copper bactericide are considered as effective citrus canker management techniques (Gottwald and Timmer, 1995).

Citrus canker was first recorded in the Punjab district of British India in 1940 Shehzadi and Naz (2019), and was described as the most damaging agricultural disease. Citrus canker was initially discovered in Punjab, followed by Andhra Pradesh, Kamataka, Madhya Pradesh, Rajistan, and Pradesh. Citrus canker affected lime and many other citrus cultivars, causing serious damage. Commercial acid lime growers also suffered significant financial losses as a result of this disease. Citrus canker is a severe issue for all citrus growers (Madhavi et al., 2000). This disease also infects nursery plants of Kinnow and Mandarin in Punjab (Sohi and Sandhu, 1968). Florida has a long history of citrus farming. This disease was first identified in Florida in 1912. Infected seedlings

supplied from Japan brought the illness to the southeast United States. During 1933, diseased trees were eliminated in Florida and other nearby states (Schubert et al., 2001). The disease's symptoms can be seen on all above ground components, including leaves, twigs, and fruits. Lesions appear in cycles, timed to coincide with phases of dense drizzle, high temperatures, and development spurts (*Figure 1*).



*Figure 1. Citrus cv. produces many forms of lesions on various plant sections. (A) Yellow halo, and elevated wounds on superior, side of leaf; (B) Uneven raised. lesions on underside; (C) Twig lacerations; (D) Fruit injuries (Sourced from Timothy R. Gottwald) (Sharma and Sharma, 2009)*

Citrus fruit is primarily grown in Pakistan's four provinces of Punjab, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), Sindh, and Baluchistan. According to the Pakistan Horticulture Development and Export Company, Punjab produces more than 90% of the nation's total production of kinnow; KPK is known for producing the majority of the nation's oranges (Naseem et al., 2016). There is no cure for citrus canker disease that is both effective and efficient. However, this disease may well be treated by using some basic strategies to reduce the spread of the disease as soon as it is introduced into the field (Gambley et al., 2009). The bacterial infection known as citrus canker disease, which affects citrus plants, can be treated chemically. To control the spread and severity of the disease, these methods often involve the use of various chemical agents, such as copper-based fungicides and bactericides. When used prior to infection, copper-based solutions can reduce bacterial populations on plant surfaces and serve as a preventative intervention. They function by halting the pathogen's growth and preventing it from spreading. To reduce environmental damage and avoid the emergence of copper-resistant strains, it is crucial to use these compounds sparingly and by suggested criteria (Pereira et al., 2021). Integrated pest management techniques, which combine chemical treatments with other preventative measures, are also essential for long-term disease management in citrus orchards (Smith et al., 2021).

Citrus canker control approaches rely on chemicals, however there are numerous major bottlenecks. One of the key issues is the emergence of bacterial resistance, which can happen when chemicals are used continuously and carelessly over time and eventually lose their efficacy. These practices also degrade ecosystems, pollute water sources, harm helpful insects, and have negative environmental effects. Chemical treatments that lack selectivity can potentially harm beneficial bacteria, disrupting ecological processes that occur naturally (Atiq et al., 2023). The environment may become contaminated with persistent substances, endangering human health and the safety of food. Additionally, citrus producers, especially smaller ones, may be burdened by the cost of frequent

applications (Schubert et al., 2001). Regulations limiting the use of specific chemicals further reduce control possibilities. Additionally, it is difficult to treat infections that have already spread because these chemical therapies work better as preventives than as remedies. Effectiveness depends on appropriate timing and accurate administration, yet these might be challenging to accomplish. There is a rising interest in creating alternative and integrated strategies, such as cultural practices, biological control, resistant citrus varieties, and enhanced orchard cleanliness, to manage citrus canker effectively while minimizing downsides to get around these limits. A growing number of people are interested in creating alternative and integrated strategies for managing citrus canker as a result of these constraints and bottlenecks. These could involve the sole biological control techniques which will increase the production of citrus production throughout Pakistan (*Figure 2*).



*Figure 2. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP), Punjab, Balochistan, and Sindh provinces of Pakistan have significant citrus-producing districts (Naseem et al., 2016)*

Thus, by keeping in mind the importance of citrus crops and biological control, the current study was designed to isolate, phenotypical and genotypical characterization of the bacterial population in citrus orchards at Khanpur, District Haripur, Pakistan.

## **Material and Methods**

### *Sample collection and isolation*

Citrus fruits with and without symptoms (citrus cankerlike, corky wounds) were randomly gathered from citrus orchards in Khanpur, Haripur District (15 cankered and 15 healthy. fruits were obtained in total). Within two days, samples placed in sterile bags were sent to laboratory for isolation. The samples were disinfected for 5 minutes in 75 % ethanol and then evaporated to dryness. Canker lesions and 3 mm of the peel around them

were removed with a sterilized scalpel and immersed in sterile distilled water for 15 minutes at room temperature (SDW). The macerate was then placed on a plate of fresh yeast extract, (10 g/l), dextrose, (20 g/l), calcium carbonate (20 g/l), and agar (15 g/l) medium and incubated at 28 °C for 3 to 4 days. After isolat.ion characteristic yellow isolates were purif/ied by sub-culturing single colonies of each isolate onto specific YDC (Calcium carbonate 2%, Dextrose 1%, Agar 2%). media according to the method used by (Schaad et al., 2001). The pure yellow isolates were resuspended in sterile distilled water and kept at 4°C.

### *Biochemical characterization*

To identify and classify various bacterial species based on biochemical profiling, the catalase, starch hydrolysis, and oxidase assays are frequently utilized in the identification of bacterial pathogens. A series of biochemical tests that were performed on all pure bacterial isolates. Gram reaction, KOH, catalase, simmon citrate and oxidase activities, and starch hydrolysis were all investigated. Isolates were inoculated and cultivated on a Y.DC, agar plate at 28 °C for 4.8. hours for all assays (Schaad et al., 2001).

### *Gram staining*

### *Principle*

The capability of the bacterial cell wall to retain the crystal violet dye following solvent treatment is the core principle of gramme staining. Glycoproteins are more abundant in Gram-positive bacteria, whereas lipids are much more abundant in Gram-negative bacteria. The crystal violet dye is taken up by all bacteria initially, but the solvent dissolves the lipid layer of Gram-negative bacteria. Gram negatives lose their predominant stain as the lipid layer weakens. Solvent, on the other hand, dehydrates and closes pores in gram-positive cell walls, preventing the spread of the violet-iodine combination and leaving bacteria colorless (Tripathi and Sapra, 2021).

## *Procedure*

Crystal violet, Lugol iodine, Acetone, and Safranin counterstain were used to make Gram reagent. Isolates were created by smearing pure culture on a transparent slide with distilled water using a sterile needle. Using sterile water, a drop of crystal violet was administered, swirled in, and allowed to dry for 30 seconds before being rinsed. A droplet of Lugol's Iodine was added after SDW wash, following the lasting wash with acetone. Then, a drop of safaranin was added, held for 30 seconds, and then eroded clean as a final step. The mounts were dried on blotting paper, stained with a drop of Canada balsam, and examined under a microscope at 100X (Hussain et al., 2010).

### *KOH test*

## *Principle*

The potassium hydroxide test (KOH test) is used to identify microorganisms that are Gram negative. KOH dissolves the thin peptidoglycan layer in Gram negative bacteria's cell walls but has no effect on Gram positive bacteria's cell walls. The Gram-negative cell wall is disintegrated, letting the cell to lyse and liberate its subjects, together with the DNA. Consequently, a viscid chromosomal substance is released, making the suspension thick. This solution sticks to the loop when touched (Mubeen et al., 2015).

### *Procedure*

A solution of 3% potassium hydroxide was placed on the glass slide, and then a 24 hour-old culture was mixed with a sterile needle and swirled for a few seconds until being checked for thin threads. The bacterium is gram-negative if the loop is visible when the needle is raised (Mubeen et al., 2015).

#### *Catalase test*

### *Principle*

This test proves the existence of catalase, an enzyme that catalyses the diffusion of oxygen from hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ . The enzyme catalase aids in the breakdown of hydrogen peroxide into oxygen and water. When a tiny inoculum is exposed to hydrogen peroxide, the presence of the enzyme is confirmed by the rapid production of oxygen bubbles. A lack of or insufficient bubble formation is a sign of catalase insufficiency (Ali et al., 2017).

#### *Procedure*

On a clean slide, a single colony was placed, and hydrogen peroxide was carefully smeared over it. Catalase production was tested by introducing  $H_2O_2$  (3 percent v/v.) to a bacterial culture and looking for bubbles of free oxygen, which showed the existence of catalase. On a clean slide, a single colony was placed, and hydrogen peroxide was carefully smeared over it. The presence of catalase was evaluated by adding  $H_2O_2$  (3) percent v/v) to a bacterial culture, and the presence of catalase, was shown by free oxygen gas bubbles (Ali et al., 2017).

### *Starch hydrolysis test*

### *Principle*

The enzymes amylase and oligo-1,6-glucosidase are secreted into the environment when bacteria are grown on starch agar. Clear spots surrounding bacterial growth indicate that the organism has hydrolysed starch (García et al., 2019).

### *Procedure*

The starch agar medium was made (potato starch was used) and poured into the Petri plate, allowing it to harden before streaking the test bacteria and incubating it for 96 hours at 28  $\mathrm{^0C}$  in an inverted manner. Following the incubation period, a dropper was used to soak the area of the plates with iodine. solution for 30 seconds, and the change in color of the medium around the growth line was observed (Mubeen et al., 2015).

### *Kovac's oxidase test*

### *Principle*

The oxidase test looks for a cytochrome oxidase system in bacteria that catalyses the transfer of electrons from donors to a redox dye, tetramethyl-p-phenylene-diamine. The dye has been switched to a rich purple color. Internal oxidase enzymes are produced by cyanochrome-containing organisms. The oxidation of cytochrome c is catalysed by this oxidase enzyme. The respiratory chains of oxidase-positive organisms contain cytochrome c, which causes the reagent to turn blue/purple (Guo and Grosser, 2002).

### *Procedure*

Fresh bacterium culture was utilized for the test, with 1% Kovac, reagent placed in the center of Whatman filter paper. No.1 and a platinum needle utilized to gently rub the bacterium on the filter paper. Within 30 to 60 seconds, the results were visible (Guo and Grosser, 2002).

#### *Molecular characterization*

#### *DNA extraction*

For DNA extraction, colonies were grown on NA media for 48 hours at 28 °C, and genomic DNA was extracted using a straight forward heating procedure. A loop full of a pure isolate was suspended in 500 mL DW, heated to 95° for 10 minutes, chilled on ice, then centrifuged for 3 minutes at 13,000 g. The supernatant was kept frozen at 20°C until it was required (Izadiyan and Taghavi, 2020).

### *Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay*

The phylogenetic correlation between all of the isolated bacteria and the distinct strains reported at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) was determined through amplification and sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer region as described by Smith et al. (2021), from the isolated strains using specific and generic primers (*Table 1*). A Perkin–Elmer GeneAmp PCR System 2400 PCR cycle was used for amplification. The reaction mixture system consisted of 10x buffer 2.5 ul, Taq DNA polymerase 1.25 U, dNTP 0.8 mM, ITS-F or ITS-R 0.5 mM, DNA template 1.0 ul, and H2O 16.6 ul (25 ul). Initial denaturation at 94°C for 10 minutes, earlier denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute, annealing for 1 minute, extension at 72°C for 2 minutes, and final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes were used in the PCR amplification. A total of 32 cycles of PCR were performed (Adriko et al., 2014). Finally, the PCR products were separated using a 1% agarose gel electrophoresis (Adriko et al., 2014). While TIANgel Midi Purification kit (TIAGEN BIOTECH, Beijing, China) was used to obtain gel bands with the necessary product, and Genescript Company, Nanjing, China performed the sequencing.

<b>Primer</b>	Sequence 5'-3'	Amplicon <b>Size</b>	Annealing temperatures	Target species $\&$ <b>Pathovars</b>	
$C-ITS-X2b$	GGCGGG.GACTTCGAGTCCCTAA				
$C-ITS-X2i$	GGCGGGGACTTCGAGTTCCTAA	$254$ bp	68 °C		
$C-ITS-X2a$	<b>CGGGGACCTCGAGTCCCTA</b>			Xanthomonas spp.	
$C-ITS-X2k$	<b>GCGGGGACTTAGAGTCCCTA</b>				

*Table 1. Primers used for molecular identification (Adriko et al., 2014)*

### *Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis*

After sequencing the retrieved sequences were analyzed by using NCBI BLAST analysis (http://blastt.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Bla.st.cgi) to incorporate the sequences obtained previously for the development of the phylogenetic tree. Sequences having a 98 percent similarity to currently existent sequences were judged to be the same species. Furthermore, numerous alignments were done using Cluu,stal X 1.83, and MrEGA 4.00 was used to construct the phylogenetic tree (Tamura et al., 2013).

### **Results**

### *Cultural, and morphological, characteristics, of X. axonopodis pv. citri isolates*

Total of eight *Xanthomonas*-like colonies were isolated from damaged fruits taken at random from citrus orchards from Khanpur, District Haripur, Pakistan. The phenotypic characteristics of all bacterial isolates were consistent with those found in the genus *Xanthomona.* The isolated colony shape was filiform in all of the test isolates, with a rounded elevation and an entire colony edge (*Table 2*). Many workers had previously reported similar outcomes (Ibrahim et al., 2019). On YDC, all isolates had brilliant yellow, mucoid, and round colonies (*Figure 3*). They were recognized as members of the genus *Xanthomonas* based on phenotypic tests. There were no *Xanthomonad*-like colonies seen in symptomless fruits.

S.No	<b>Isolates</b>	<b>Colour</b>	<b>Colony Shape</b>	<b>Elevation</b>	<b>Margin</b>	<b>Cell Shape</b>
01	Xac 2a	Yellow	Filiform	Convex	Entire	Rods
02	Xac 2b	Yellow	Filiform	Convex	Entire	Rods
03	Xac 3a	Light Yellow	Filiform	Convex	Entire	Rods
04	Xac 3b	Yellow	<b>Filiform</b>	Convex	Entire	Rods
05	Xac 4a	Yellow	<b>Filiform</b>	Convex	Entire	Rods
06	Xac 4b	Yellow	<b>Filiform</b>	Convex	Entire	Rods
07	Xac 5a	Yellow	Filiform	Convex	Entire	Rods
08	Xac 5b	Yellow	<b>Filiform</b>	Convex	Entire	Rods

*Table 2. Characteristics of X. axonopodis pv. citri isolates*



*Figure 3. Showing Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri cultured on YDC agar plates*

### *Biochemical characterization*

The biochemical characteristics of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri* were investigated using a variety of chemical tests, including Gram staining, potassium hydroxide (KOH) solubility testing, catalase testing, starch hydrolysis testing, and oxidase testing. Gram's reaction and oxidase tests reveal that all isolates are negative, however Catalase, KOH, Simmon Citrate, and Starch hydrolysis tests reveal that they are all positive. The following are the features of Gram-Negative bacterium and the findings obtained (*Table 3*).

<b>Tests</b>	<b>Reaction</b>	Appearance		
<b>Gram Reaction</b> Negative		Small Rods, Pink Colored Colony		
<b>KOH</b> Test	Positive	Thread, Like Slime		
Catalase Test	Positive	<b>Gas Bubbles Formation</b>		
Starch Hydrolysis Test	Positive	Clear Zone in Iodine Stained Medium		
Kovac's Oxidase Test	Negative	No Colour		

*Table 3. Biochemical characteristics of Gram-negative bacteria*

### *Gram staining*

*X. axonopodis pv. citri* Gram-stained mounts revealed that the trial bacteria did not preserve the purple/violet hue of the chief stain (Crystal violet), but that cell looked pink due to counterstaining with the dye safaranin. As a result, the test bacteria were gram negative with rods, a hallmark of plant harmful bacteria.

### *Potassium hydroxide (KOH) test*

Gram-negative bacteria have moderately flimsy cell walls that are bordered by an external membrane; hence the formation of slime threads or loops indicates that they are Gram-negative. Exposure to 3 percent KOH easily disrupts this, releasing the viscous DNA. Gram-positive bacteria, on the other hand, have a thicker, more solid cell wall that can withstand the disruptive effects of KOH. The test bacteria demonstrated a positive reaction to the KOH test in this investigation (*Table 3*).

### *Catalase test*

After treating the test bacterium with some drops of  $3\%$  H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on a glass slide, it formed gas bubbles, indicating a positive catalase test (*Table 3*).

### *Starch hydrolysis*

The test bacterium grown on starch agar swamped with Lugol's iodine created a colorless area around bacterial growth (*Table 3*), indicating that it passed the starch hydrolysis test. Exoenzyme amylase hydrolyzes starch and breaks it down into dextrins, maltose, and glucose/alpha amylase in the test bacteria. Many workers had previously reported similar outcomes.

### *Kovac's oxidase test*

The crux of Kovac's oxidase test is the presence of cytochro.me oxide, which is a saprophytic bacterium characteristic. The bacterium was positive to test whether the purple color formed between 30-60 seconds. Our study's isolates were all oxidase negative (*Table 3*).

### *Molecular identification*

Total genomic DNA was isolated from all bacterial isolates and a 254-bp PCR product was produced using gDNA as a template (*Figure 4*), as described.in the Materials and Methods section.



*Figure 4. Detection of X. axonopodis pv. citri strains using specific primers lane 1: 1 kb DNA ladder, Lane 2A,2B, 3A, 4B, 5A, & 5B, are the amplified PCR products of bacterial isolates*

### *Phylogenetic analysis*

The ITS sequences of all the bacterial isolates were aligned and a phylogenetic tree was generated, as shown in "Material' and Methods." Many phylogenetically related bacterial species, when the ITS of the isolates were compared to those in the NCBI database, they were determined to be similar to the bacterial isolates discovered in this analysis (*Figure 5*). The topology of the phylograms established that the bacterial isolates (2A & 2B) used in this study were allocated to different subspecies of *Xanthomonas citri*.



20.00

*Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of isolated strains by using neighbour joining method with GeneBank reference strains*

#### **Discussion**

Citrus is a popular fruit crop with a diverse range of species and a reputation for delicacy. Its cultivation covers a huge region, and as a result, it ranks first in Pakistan's fruit production. Unfortunately, citrus output has been harmed by a variety of microbial diseases, the most serious of which is citrus canker caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri*. Every year, this disease causes massive crop losses, and it is most prevalent in the presence of citrus leaf miner (*Phyllocnistic citrella*) and favorable weather parameters. Citrus leaf miners develop feeding channels in the leaves, which help the infection spread. Citrus stands first in area and production among the world's tree fruits. In Pakistan, citrus fruits are the most important fruit crops grown on the area of 160,000 hectares with production of 1.5 MMT annually. Citrus fruit is grown in all four provinces of Pakistan, but Punjab produces over 95% of the crop because of its greater population, favorable growing conditions with the sufficient water availability. Citrus is divided into different groups sweet oranges, Mandarine, Grapefruit, Lemon and Lime which are being grown commercially.

Citrus fruits come in a variety of sizes, vibrant colors, and incredible scents. They provide many health benefits, from boosting your immune system to reducing your risk of heart disease. Citrus fruits are high in phytonutrients, including flavonoids. According to several studies, flavonoids may help prevent the development of certain types of cancer. Pakistan is one of the world's leading citrus-producing nations. Long-term droughts, on the other hand, have resulted in a recent agricultural water problem. As a result, citrus production is declining, while demand for some citrus fruits, such as sweet oranges and tangerines, is rising.

In this investigation, phenotypic, genetic, and pathogenicity assays were used to characterize Xcc. isolates from local citrus fruits. Despite the fact that the *Xanthomonas citri* (Xcc.) patho. types (A, A\*, and A.W) have comparable symptoms and genetic features, their host range allows them to be separated (Ngoc et al., 2010). According to pathogenicity tests, the Xcc. isolates used in this study can infect sweet oranges, and lemons. Our eight isolates were classified as Xcc. pathotype A based on their host range as mentioned in previous study. Citrus bacterial canker has also been found in Saudi Arabia, Oman, and Iran, our neighbouring countries, which were causing different level of canker diseases (Ibrahim et al., 2019). In addition, *Xanthomonas citri*, other pathogens that can cause the citrus canker-like symptoms in citrus fruits (orange) include *X. citri*  subsp. aurantifolii, Citrus bacterial spot, *Pseudomonas syringae*, *Alternaria alternata*, and *Phytophthora* spp., as well environmental stress factors (Gottwald et al., 2002). We used culture methods in our lab to investigate if Xcc. might thrive on the surface of seemingly healthy fruits (orange). Our (limited) findings revealed that presumably healthy fruits lacked recognizable Xcc. isolates, indicating that they were unlikely to be a source of inoculum transmission, Xcc. can multiply rapidly in fruit, stem, and foliar lesions, but it has a short lifespan outside of the plant (Shiotani et al., 2009). Furthermore, washing fruits with water, chlorine, and detergent, as well as treating fruits with sodium orthophenyl phenate (SOPP) in the packaging process before export, are the most effective methods for eradicating viable surface populations of bacteria from apparently healthy fruits. The surface tension of the water is reduced when detergent is used, allowing chlorine to reach the bacterial cell surface more easily. This method can also be used to clean the fruit surface of dirt, sooty mold, and scale insects (Gottwald et al., 2009). Because Xcc. was successfully isolated from citrus fruit lesions observed in orchards, thus indicating that the packinghouse procedures and post-harvest treatments will be

insufficient to remove the pathogen from canker lesions. Currently, there is no way to completely remove Xcc. from cankered fruits. A major source of concern is the spread of Xcc. to new locations in citrus-growing countries (Schans, 2011). Our findings back up previous study that demonstrated that Xcc. isolates may survive in canker lesions of harvested fruits throughout transit or storage, and that shipping fruit at a cool temperature (4–15 °C) had little effect on bacterium survival (Golmohammadi et al., 2007). The presence of living bacteria within lesions, according to the findings, could pose a danger of disease transmission via infected fruit. Using high bootstrap values, both neighbour joining trees suggested that isolates from the current investigation were indisputably belong to the Xcc. cluster. Our isolates were classified into one cluster (A pathotype) by the neighbour joining-atpD tree, which was unique from the  $A^*/A$ w pathotypes. Using an Xcc. strain collection from around the world (Ngoc et al., 2010). In our opinion, AtpD barcoding has promising potential as a pathotype-level discriminating tool for Xcc. Citrus is a high-yielding crop that is mostly grown in Pakistan (Koupaei et al., 2014). Citrus / trees are grown in streets, private and public / gardens, as well as in citrus growing districts across the country, posing a danger of transferring pathotype A isolates to a liable host via contaminated fruits. Because of Pakistan's commercially significant citrus output, strict border restrictions and a more intensive inspection programme are required. In marketplaces and citrus-producing areas where these markets exist, a secondary inspection survey should be conducted.

### **Conclusion**

This study was focused on the isolation and characterization of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri* that causes the citrus canker disease in citrus plants. Initially, the sampling was carried out from the citrus orchards of Khanpur in District Haripur, Pakistan. The different subspecies of *Xanthomonas citri* were isolated and identified by using biochemical and molecular techniques. Our findings reveal that the major cause of citrus cancer is *Xanthomonas spp.* The presence of living bacteria within lesions, according to the research, could pose a danger of disease transmission through infected fruit. That could be controlled by using chemical methods, but bacteria have proven to be rather more recalcitrant to such chemical treatments as compared to the fungal pathogens. Thus, there is a need for biological control by using bacteriophages that have proven to have the potential to huddle effective disease regulation as part of the unified management approach.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors greatly acknowledge and express their gratitude to the Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2024R335), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

**Funding statement.** The current research work is supported by a Research Grant provided by Higher Education Commission Pakistan through NRPU-HEC Project No. No: 10066/KPK/ NRPU/R&D/HEC/ 2017.

#### **REFERENCES**

[1] Adriko, J., Mbega, E. R., Mortensen, C. N., Wulff, E. G., Tushemereirwe, W. K., Kubiriba, J., Lund, O. S. (2014): Improved PCR for identification of members of the genus Xanthomonas. – European Journal of Plant Pathology 138: 293-306.

- [2] Ali, M. R., Hasan, M. F., Lia, R. S., Akter, A., Sumi, M. S. E., Hossain, M. F., Islam, M., Khalekuzzaman, M., Sikdar, B. (2017): Isolation and characterization of a canker disease causing pathogen from Citrus aurantifolia and evaluation of its biological control measure. – Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 5: 1526-1532.
- [3] Atiq, M., Fatima, K., Rajput, N. A., Sahi, S. T., Hameed, A., Usman, M., Ahmad, U., Sultan, A., Iqbal, S., Nawaz, A. (2023): Estimation of Biocidal Potential of Desert Phytopowders for the Management of Citrus Canker. – Sains Malaysiana 52: 757-770.
- [4] Buono, A., Priandana, K., Silalahi, B. P., Hendrastuti, E. S. (2023): Texture Analysis of Citrus Leaf Images Using BEMD for Huanglongbing Disease Diagnosis. – Jurnal Online Informatika 8: 115-121.
- [5] Chaube, H., Singh, V., Mukhopdhyay, A., Kumar, J. (1992): Plant diseases of international importance. – New Jersey, US: Prentice-Hall, 1992.
- [6] Gambley, C., Miles, A., Ramsden, M., Doogan, V., Thomas, J., Partmenter, K., Whittle, P. (2009): The distribution and spread of citrus canker in Emerald, Australia. – Australasian Plant Pathology 38: 547-557.
- [7] García, R. O., Kerns, J. P., Thiessen, L. (2019): Ralstonia solanacearum species complex: a quick diagnostic guide. – Plant Health Progress 20: 7-13.
- [8] Gmitter, F. G., Hu, X. (1990): The possible role of Yunnan, China, in the origin of contemporary Citrus species (Rutaceae). – Economic Botany 44: 267-277.
- [9] Golmohammadi, M., Cubero, J., Peñalver, J., Quesada, J., López, M., Llop, P. (2007): Diagnosis of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri*, causal agent of citrus canker, in commercial fruits by isolation and PCR‐based methods. – Journal of Applied Microbiology 103: 2309-2315.
- [10] Gottwald, T., Timmer, L. (1995): The efficacy of windbreaks in reducing the spread of citrus canker caused by *Xanthomonas campestris pv. citri*. – Tropical Agriculture 72(3): 194-201.
- [11] Gottwald, T. R., Graham, J. H., Schubert, T. S. (2002): Citrus canker: the pathogen and its impact. – Plant health progress 3: 15.
- [12] Gottwald, T., Graham, J., Bock, C., Bonn, G., Civerolo, E., Irey, M., Leite, R., Mccollum, G., Parker, P., Ramallo, J. (2009): The epidemiological significance of post-packinghouse survival of *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. citri for dissemination of Asiatic citrus canker via infected fruit. – Crop Protection 28: 508-524.
- [13] Guo, W., Grosser, J. (2002): Transfer of a potential canker resistance gene into citrus protoplasts using GFP as the selectable marker. – XXVI International Horticultural Congress: Citrus and Other Subtropical and Tropical Fruit Crops, Vol. 632: 255-258.
- [14] Hussain, K., Nawaz, K., Majeed, A., Haq, I., Lin, F., Ali, K., Afghan, S., Khan, F., Ghani, A., Raza, G. (2010): Molecular and biochemical characterization of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri* pathotypes. – African Journal of Biotechnology 9: 9092-9095.
- [15] Ibrahim, Y. E., El Komy, M. H., Amer, M. A., Widyawan, A., Al-Saleh, M. A., Saleh, A. A. (2019): Difficulties in identifying *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. citri A pathotypes. – Journal of Plant Pathology 101: 927-933.
- [16] Iqbal, Z., Valeem, E. E., Shahbaz, M., Ahmad, K., Khan, Z. I., Malik, M. T., Danish, M. (2007): Determination of different decline disorders in mango orchards of the Punjab, Pakistan. – Pakistan Journal of Botany 39: 1313.
- [17] Izadiyan, M., Taghavi, S. M. (2020): Isolation and characterization of the citrus canker pathogen *Xanthomonas citri* subsp. citri pathotype A, occurring in imported tangerine (Citrus reticulata Blanco) fruits. – Journal of Plant Pathology 102: 671-679.
- [18] Koller, O. C., Oliveira, R. B. L. D., Nunes, D. S., Dal Soglio, F., Panzenhagen, N. V., Sartori, I. A., Manteze, F. (2006): Quemical control of citrus canker in young plants managed in conventional and organic systems. – Ciência Rural 36: 1043-1048.
- [19] Koshariya, A. K., Mahant, M. M., Afsana, C., Reddypriya, P. (2023): Introduction To Plants Pathology. – AG Publishing House (AGPH Books).

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/2201\_665679

© 2024, ALÖKI Kft., Budapest, Hungary

- [20] Koupaei, F. Y., Taghavi, S. M., Shiotani, H., Tsuyumu, S. (2014): A PCR-based assay for differentiating A-and A\*-type strains of Xanthomonas citri subsp. citri, the causal agent of Asiatic citrus canker. – Journal of general plant pathology 80: 85-89.
- [21] Madhavi, M., Seshadri, K., Reddy, G. S., Reddy, M., Gopal, K., Rao, R. (2000): Tenali acid lime–a high yielding canker resistant acid lime clone. – Hi-tech Citrus Management– Proc. Intn. Symp. Citriculture, pp. 23-27.
- [22] Meneguim, L., Rinaldi, D. A., Santos, A. C., Rodrigues, L. S., Silva, M. R., Canteri, M. G., Leite Júnior, R. P. (2007): Sensibilidade de *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri* ao cobre e mancozeb. – Fitopatologia Brasileira 32: 247-252.
- [23] Mubeen, M., Arshad, H. M., Iftikhar, Y., Irfan Ullah, M., Bilqees, I. (2015): Bio-chemical characterization of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri*: a gram negative bacterium causing citrus canker. – J. Sci. Nat. 6(2): 151-154.
- [24] Naseem, S., Mahmood, S., Ali, Z. (2016): Occurrence of Citrus tristeza virus in Pakistan: a GIS based approach combining host distribution and disease reports. – Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences 53(3).
- [25] Neves, M. F., Trombin, V., Milan, P., Lopes, F., Cressoni, F., Kalaki, R. (2010): O retrato da citricultura brasileira. – Ribeirão Preto: CitrusBR, 137.
- [26] Ngoc, L. B. T., Verniere, C., Jouen, E., Ah-You, N., Lefeuvre, P., Chiroleu, F., Gagnevin, L., Pruvost, O. (2010): Amplified fragment length polymorphism and multilocus sequence analysis-based genotypic relatedness among pathogenic variants of *Xanthomonas citri pv. citri* and *Xanthomonas campestris pv. bilvae*. – International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 60: 515-525.
- [27] Pereira, C., Costa, P., Pinheiro, L., Balcão, V. M., Almeida, A. (2021): Kiwifruit bacterial canker: An integrative view focused on biocontrol strategies. – Planta 253: 1-20.
- [28] Saikia, S., Bora, P., Bora, L., Kaur, R., Devi, S., Singh, S., Minhas, J., Singh, A., Rana, R., Rawal, S. (2021): Bioagent mediated management of citrus canker. – The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 91(2): 198-201.
- [29] Schaad, N. W., Jones, J. B., Chun, W. (2001): Laboratory guide for the identification of plant pathogenic bacteria. – American Phytopathological Society (APS Press).
- [30] Schans, J. (2011): Scientific Opinion on the request from the USA regarding export of Florida citrus fruit to the EU. – EFSA Journal 9: 2461.
- [31] Schubert, T. S., Rizvi, S. A., Sun, X., Gottwald, T. R., Graham, J. H., Dixon, W. N. (2001): Meeting the challenge of eradicating citrus canker in Florida-again. – Plant disease 85: 340- 356.
- [32] Sharma, S. K., Sharma, R. R. (2009): Citrus canker approaching century: A review. Tree and Forestry Science and Biotechnology 2: 54-56.
- [33] Shehzadi, I., Naz, S. (2019): Morphological, Biochemical and Genetic Characterization of Citrus Canker Pathogen (Xanthomonasaxonopodis) from Citrus Cultivars of Punjab, Pakistan. – JAPS: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences 29(1): 117-124.
- [34] Shiotani, H., Uematsu, H., Tsukamoto, T., Shimizu, Y., Ueda, K., Mizuno, A., Sato, S. (2009): Survival and dispersal of *Xanthomonas citri pv. citri* from infected Satsuma mandarin fruit. – Crop Protection 28: 19-23.
- [35] Smith, S. L., Campos, M. G., Ozcan, A., Mendis, H. C., Young, M., Myers, M. E., Atilola, M., Doomra, M., Thwin, Z., Johnson, E. G. (2021): Multifunctional surface, subsurface, and systemic therapeutic (MS3T) formulation for the control of citrus canker. – Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 69: 10807-10818.
- [36] Sohi, G. S., Sandhu, M. S. (1968): Relationship between citrus leafminer (Phyllocnistis citrella Stainton) injury and citrus canker (Xanthomonas citri (Hasse) Dowson) incidence on citrus leaves. – J. Res. Punjab Agric. Univ. 5: 66-69.
- [37] Shouket, S., Khurshid, S., Khan, J., Batool, R., Sarwar, A., Aziz, T., Alhomrani, M., Alamri, AS., Sameeh, MY., Zubair, Filimban. (2023). Enhancement of shelf-life of food items via immobilized enzyme nanoparticles on varied supports. A sustainable approach towards food safety and sustainability. – Food Res Int 169:112940.
- [38] Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., Kumar, S. (2013): MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. – Molecular Biology and Evolution 30: 2725- 2729.
- [39] Tripathi, N., Sapra, A. (2021): Gram Staining. StatPearls [Internet].
- [40] Yasuhara-Bell, J., Santillana, G., Robene, I., Pruvost, O., Nakhla, M., Mavrodieva, V. (2023): Genome-informed multiplex conventional PCR for identification and differentiation of *Xanthomonas citri pv. citri* subpathotypes, the causal agents of Asiatic citrus canker. – PhytoFrontiers™ 3: 235-245.
- [41] Zhao, Y., Dong, Y., Chen, X., Wang, Z., Cui, Z., Ni, S. (2023). Using sulfide as nitrite oxidizing bacteria inhibitor for the successful coupling of partial nitrification-anammox and sulfur autotrophic denitrification in one reactor. – Chemical Engineering Journal 475: 146286.